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Abstract

Oil spill on water surface and shorelines has been a major source of water pollution. With the damaging impact to ecology and the long term effects of environmental pollution, demand for materials for cleaning up the water in a quick and effective way has increased. A number of sorbents have been recommended for the purpose of picking up oil from water. These range from natural products, such as inorganic porous products and organic biodegradable products. However, most of them reveal limited oil sorption capacity and also absorb water. Hence, it is felt that there is a need of producing a synthetic oil sorbent that not only has high sorption capacity but also, unlike other contemporary synthetic sorbents, proves to be strong and cost effective. In this paper, we are presenting a novel super oil sorbent polymer (oil-sap) sheet consisting of ultrahigh molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE). The sorbent exhibits an uptake capacity of \((276 \, \text{g/g})\) and pickup density of \((58 \, \text{g/cm}^3)\). In addition, it comprises a mechanically strong structure (tensile modulus and breaking stress of 1332 MPa and 171 MPa respectively). The unique blend of uptake capacity and strength along with cost effectiveness of the raw material (polyethylene) makes these sheets feasible candidates for mass production and application.
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1 Introduction

Water pollution by oil spills is a key threat to the environment. Its damaging effect on the ecology calls for procedures to clean up the spill in a quick and effective way.
A number of sorbents have been recommended to uptake oil from spill. These range from natural products, such as multifarious inorganic porous products (like talc, clay, zeolites, silica, aerogel, calcium fly ash, etc.) (Arbatan et al. [1] and Karakasi and Moutsatsou [2]) to organic biodegradable products such as straw, hull, corn cob, peat moss, sugar cane bagasse, wood/cotton fibers, wool-based stuff, silk worm cocoon waste, etc. (Adebowo and Frost [3] and Chung [4]). However, most of them have drawbacks including limited oil sorption capacities and high water uptake (Chung [4]).

To prevail over these problems, synthetic sorbents like meltblown polypropylene (fiber-based) pads and booms (Bayat et al. [5] and Wei et al. [6]) or relatively thick (5 mm or greater) mats, sheets or rolls of melt-spun and fabric-stitched oleophilic polymers have been proposed. Examples of the latter include 3M® Type 156 pads and ALSORB® sheets or rolls (Herkenberg [7]). More recently, several articles have focused on preparing materials of high oil absorption capacity by using high surface area materials which include nanowire membranes (Yuan et al. [8]), nanocellulose aerogels (Juuso et al. [9] and carbon nanotubes (Gui et al. [10, 11]); however these processes typically increase the cost of sorbents. Also synthetic functional polyolefins (Chung [4] and Yuan and Chung [12]) have been proposed with uptake capacities as high as 50 g/g oil. Hydrogels (Chung [4], Min et al. [13]) for absorbing aqueous solutions with absorption capacities more than 1000 times the polymer weight have also been made. Unfortunately, these products exhibit water affinity (Chung [4]). Thin flexible polyethylene sheets (Herkenberg [7, 14]) consisting of multiple materials with increased cost and complication in the production process have been shown to exhibit oil sorption values exceeding 200 g/g. The saturation time reported for these sheets is long, suggesting a slow uptake mechanism.

In order to overcome the disadvantages associated with each of the previous products, our research approach has been focused to prepare an oil sorbent which can simultaneously exhibit a combination of several essential properties, including (a) high oil sorption capacity both in terms of g/g and g/cm³, (b) sufficient mechanical strength for practical applications, and (c) cost effectiveness of raw material.

2 Methodology

2.1 Preparation of oil sorbent

UHMWPE powder was placed in a vacuum oven for 24 hours and then was dispersed in mineral oil and petrolatum wax in a ratio of 10:45:45 by weight. Antioxidant Irganox 1010 in a ratio of 0.5 wt. % of total weight of mixture was also added. This mixture was heated to 80°C with high speed mixing until homogeneity is achieved. The mixture went through a twin screw extruder model where it was heated beyond the melting point of the polymer. Later, it was hot-pressed at 200°C in order to achieve a flat sheet followed by solvent extraction in a hexane bath for 10 minutes with constant stirring at room temperature to remove around 50–60% of the solvent. Sheets were later stretched by using
successive biaxial stretching technique at different stretching ratios using a crosshead speed of 400 mm/min at 121°C. The thus stretched films were placed in boiling hexane to extract and remove the residual solvent and then dried to obtain polyethylene porous films. A similar procedure for material preparation was used regarding fuel cell application (Saleem et al. [15]). The features that make this work distinct are as follows: Stretching temperature used in current study is 121°C which is closer to melting temperature of polyethylene and well over \( \alpha \)-transition temperature. The use of high draw temperature allowed the polymeric chains to slide past each other and facilitate in orientation of chains. Second and the most distinctive difference was the methodology that was adopted for the orientation of polymer chains as this is one of the most important steps in the preparation of porous sheets. In the current methodology some amount of oil was left in gel sheet during extraction and then stretching was performed. The inspiration of this step was taken from (Kono et al. [17]). According to his approach if the amount of oil in gel sheet is less than 10\%, the network structure is decreased and excessive compacting proceeds so that stretching could not be carried out with a high draw ratio and a super-thin film with a higher strength could not be obtained, on the other hand if amount of oil exceeds more than 80\% by weight, the gel sheet is excessively swelled and a high orientation stretching cannot be performed and breaking will occur and the resulting film will have a lower strength. This step suits our application as we need thinnest possible porous film with high mechanical properties in order to get the highest possible uptake capacity.

2.2 Oil sorption set up

A specimen of known size was initially weighed dry and was then placed on one face on the surface of bath of diesel oil and allowed to float on the oil for certain period of time. Then it was collected and measured to get the final weight. Oil pick up ratio (g/g) and oil pick up density (g/cm\(^3\)) were then calculated.

2.3 Porosity of the membrane

The porosity (\( \varphi \)) of the membrane was estimated by the following equation:

\[
\varphi \% = 1 - \frac{W}{(\rho AT)}
\]

where \( W \) is the dry UHMWPE mass, \( \rho \) is the density of UHMWPE, \( A, T \) are the area and thickness of the sheet respectively. Mitutoyo micrometer was used to measure thickness.

2.4 SEM

JEOL 6700F Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) with energy dispersive analysis by X-ray was used to observe the surface of the porous sheets.
2.5 Tensile test

Tensile properties of the membranes were measured using the Advanced Rheometrics Expansion System (ARES) at an extension rate 2 mm/min at room temperature. Five samples were used for each test. The tensile modulus was obtained by plotting the stress versus strain. Aluminum foil and A4 sized paper were also tested to give better comparison of mechanical properties. Aluminum foil from Reynolds Wrap® has the thickness of 20 μm and weight per unit area of 0.00454 g/cm² while printing paper of size A4 from Paperone® has the thickness of 110 μm and weight per unit area of 0.008 g/cm². Tensile tests of sheets with different stretching ratios were performed. A stretching ratio of 12x12 means an elongation of 1100% whereas 9x9 and 6x6 refer to an elongation of 800% and 500% respectively. We refer 12x12, 9x9, and 6x6 samples as S1, S2, and S3 respectively.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Porosity

Porosities of the sorbent samples are presented in Table 1. The slight difference in porosities and major difference in thickness is due to the biaxial stretching. The stretching of the polymer modifies both pore shape and size. Pore deformation is known to happen in three stages: (a) initial stretching aligns pores in the direction of force; (b) continued stretching results in uniform deformation of pores; (c) at a critical level of strain small polymer fibrils in the polymer matrix fracture resulting in the formation of macro voids in the polymer (Morehouse et al. [16]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Thickness μm</th>
<th>Porosity %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>67.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>65.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>64.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Mechanical properties of UHMWPE composite membranes

Figure 1 summarizes the mechanical performances of the samples with different stretching ratios. It has been reported that both the Young’s modulus and the breaking strength increase with the drawing ratio because of the alignment of polymer chains in the direction of force (Gerrits and Lemstra [18]) and this fact has been validated. Aluminum foil and A4 printing paper were also tested to show the relative comparison both in terms of modulus and breaking strength. From the results it is evident that S1 is stiffer and stronger than all the other
materials presented in Figure 1. The strength and durability of the sorbent makes its handling, packaging, transportation, and practical usage easier. It is a crucial point that although the sorbents are extremely lightweight and thin, this does not make them weak.

![Mechanical properties of UHMWPE films.](image)

**Figure 1:** Mechanical properties of UHMWPE films.

### 3.3 Oil sorption

Figure 2 presents the oil sorption capacity of the novel porous sheets measured after 2 minutes of dripping. High oil uptake of our samples is mainly due to the combination of the following:
(a) high surface to thickness ratio maximizes the uptake value of \( g/g \) (Hekenberg [7], Harold [19]), and (b) further enhancement of sorption capacity is provided by the presence of pores in the polymer film (Harold [19]). The porous structure allows the oil to penetrate and swell the network without difficulty.

![Figure 2: Oil sorption capacities of samples S1, S2, and S3.](image)

Another important parameter in the selection of a sorbent material for use in oil spill applications is the “pick-up density”, as it answers the question of how much oil a unit volume of sorbent product can be expected to pick up. This parameter is directly relevant to the ease and efficiency of physically handling of the sorbent product at the deployment site (Herkenberg [7]). The pick-up density is crucial for calculation of a sorbent’s real-world application when calculating transportation and storage costs.

### 3.4 SEM

As we found out that sample S1 is stiffer, stronger and has higher sorption capacities than other samples, hence we chose it for SEM. Figure 3 illustrates the porous structure of UHMWPE sheets under the SEM. The pore size is in the range of 30–100nm. The raw UHMWPE prior to treatment is not porous and the pore structure has been formed due to the removal of the mineral oil and petrolatum wax from the sheet. The pores have enlarged by means of biaxial stretching.
4 Conclusions

The key goal of this work was to synthesize and test novel porous polymer films which could simultaneously exhibit a combination of several essential properties for oil sorption, including high oil sorption capacity (g/g), high pick-up density (g/cm³), mechanically strong for practical applications and cheap raw material. The results presented in this work have validated our proposed goals. The sorbent provides a unique blend of sorption capacity as well as improved mechanical properties.
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