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Abstract

Concerns about global climate change and depletion of fossil fuels have increased interest in biomass and other renewable energy sources. Due to shortage of clean biomass much attention is recently devoted to various biowaste streams. This paper focuses on biowaste gasification, where the produced synthesis gas can be subsequently used to produce biofuels, including Fischer-Tropsch hydrocarbons, methanol and hydrogen. The thermodynamic efficiency of biowaste gasification is evaluated for various feedstocks using energy and exergy indicators. It is shown that exergetic efficiency is lower than corresponding energetic efficiencies. Modification of biomass gasification is proposed to improve process efficiency. Finally, the conversion efficiency of overall chains biomass-to-biofuel is discussed.
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1 Introduction

With declining petroleum resources and concerns about climate change more attention is now being directed towards sustainable production of chemicals and transportation fuels. In this respect biomass has neutral CO₂ impact and is the only current sustainable source of carbon. The most promising biomass-to biofuel route is a two-stage process involving production of syngas from biomass gasification, followed by synthesis of transportation fuels, involving Fischer-Tropsch hydrocarbons, methanol, and hydrogen. It was previously demonstrated that the gassier is one of the least-efficient unit operation in the whole biomass-to-biofuels chain. Therefore, an analysis of the gasifier alone can substantially contribute to the efficiency improvement of the whole biofuel production technology.
Introduction of bioenergy raises many technological, and also ethical questions, particularly in developing countries. In industrialized countries the agriculture is quite advanced and some countries can produce enough biomass for food as well as for biofuels. However, in some very dense populated countries, particularly The Netherlands, there is land shortage for biomass cultivation to cover all needs. On the other hand, the food production in many developing countries is rather low and these countries should first focus on producing edible biomass for food before they use biomass for biofuels. Moreover, the average conversion efficiency of sunlight into chemical energy in biomass through photosynthesis is about 0.5-1.0% what is much lower compared to other forms of renewable energy such as photovoltaics or wind energy, Herman [1].

Therefore the application of biomass wastes is very attractive not only from energy point of view but also from ecological reasons. The development of very efficient biomass-to-biofuels processes is currently one of the major challenges for renewable energy technology. One of the difficulties with measuring energy efficiency is the lack of consensus on the evaluation of performance of energy systems. The traditional analysis based on the First Law of Thermodynamics shows only the mass and energy flows and does not take into account how the quality of the energy and material streams degrades through the process. In this paper the exergy analysis, which is based on the Second Law of Thermodynamics is used to analyze the conversion of biomass to biofuels.

The main purpose of this paper is study gasification efficiency of biomass wastes. The paper starts with an explanation of evaluation of thermodynamic efficiency using exergy concept. In section 3 the exergetic efficiency of gasification of different biomass wastes is evaluated and compared. Modification of biomass gasification to improve the efficiency is proposed in section 4. Finally, exergetic efficiency of biowaste-to-biofuel chains is presented in section 5.

2 Thermodynamic efficiency using exergy concept

The method of exergy analysis is often considered as a new method to analyze energy systems and is applied in different fields of engineering and science. The exergy concept is based on the Second Law of Thermodynamics and defined as the maximum amount of work that can be obtained from a material stream, heat stream or work interaction with respect to environmental conditions, Szargut et al [2]. The environment is considered as a medium composed of common substances existing in abundance within the Earth’s atmosphere, oceans, and crust. The reference state is usually taken to be at standard temperature \((T_0 = 298\, \text{K})\) and pressure \((p_0 = 1\, \text{atm})\). Some reference species include CO\(_2\), O\(_2\), N\(_2\), having a mole fraction of 0.0003, 0.2099, 0.7903 in dry air, respectively.

Exergy, contrary to energy concept, is not conserved in a process. The sum all entering exergy streams is always higher that that of all leaving streams and the difference is called exergy loss or irreversibility \(I\). Irreversibility represents the internal exergy loss in process as the loss of quality of materials and energy due
to dissipation. It relates also to entropy production $\Pi$ in the system according to the following equation:

$$I = T_o \Pi$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Among the different forms of exergy, three forms are the major contributors to the total exergy and they are: thermal exergy, work exergy, and exergy of materials. The exergy balance of a process can be represented in the following form using exergy values of all streams entering and leaving the process:

$$\sum_{IN}^{\text{E}_j} + E^Q + E^W = \sum_{OUT}^{\text{E}_k} + I$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\sum_{IN}^{\text{E}_j}$ and $\sum_{OUT}^{\text{E}_k}$ are exergy flow of all entering and leaving material streams, respectively, $E^Q$ and $E^W$ are the sums of all thermal exergy and work interactions involved in a process.

3 Exergetic efficiency of biomass gasification

Current gasifiers, such as fluidized bed and downdraft, are applied to gasify various biomass feedstocks as well as coal. The exergetic efficiency of gasification depends on the chemical composition of a fuel and in this section the efficiency of different feedstocks is compared and benchmarked against gasification of coal. These biomass feedstocks include various types of biomass and biowastes, such as wood, vegetable oil, sludge, and manure, which vary greatly in chemical composition, energy content, ash and moisture content. Table 1 shows proximate and ultimate analyses for coal and the biofuels that are considered, Ptasinski \textit{et al.} [3].

Table 1: Proximate and ultimate analysis of various biofuels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Proximate analysis (wt%)</th>
<th>Ultimate analysis (wt% of organic fraction)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>Ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable oils</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated wood</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated wood</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass/plants</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>5.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sludge</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>25.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manure</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>17.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The efficiencies of gasification of these fuels are shown in Figure 1. The efficiencies are either based on energy (lower heating value LHV) or exergy (chemical and chemical and physical exergy) and are defined as the ratio of the exergy (energy, respectively) of syngas to exergy (energy, respectively) of
biomass. It is assumed that biomass is gasified using air and syngas leaves the gasifier at chemical equilibrium at the gasifier temperature.

The energetic efficiencies of sludge and manure gasification are much lower compared to that of other fuels, including coal. It is mainly due to high moisture content in these biowastes what results in rather low gasification temperature, about 600°C. All other fuels contain less moisture and can be gasified at much higher temperatures, in the range of 650–850°C. This figure shows that the efficiencies of coal and vegetable oil based on chemical exergy are higher that of other fuels. The similar conclusion can be drawn with respect to the efficiency based on the chemical and physical exergy. For all fuels exergetic efficiencies are lower than energetic efficiencies what means that less useful work (exergy) can be obtained from syngas compared to heat (LHV). The exergetic efficiencies are more meaningful than the energetic ones if syngas is used to produce transportation fuels, as the final product is work obtained from a vehicle.

The exergetic efficiency of wet biowastes, such as sludge and manure, can be improved by using enthalpy of the syngas for drying of biowastes. In this case the gasifier system consists of three units: biomass dryer operating at a temperature 100°C placed before the gasifier, the gasifier, and heat exchanger placed downstream gasifier where product gas is cooled down and produces heat needed for the dryer. The temperature of the product gas leaving this gasification system is lower than gasification temperature as heat is removed for the evaporation of water.

The gasification system is analysed for the sludge, which is dried from its initial moisture content of 32.5% to water percentages varying from 30% to 11%. This decreases the final temperature of the product gas from 600°C to temperatures between 514 and 297°C. Figure 2 shows the effect of drying the sludge on the overall efficiency of the gasification system. It is clear that decreasing the moisture content of the biomass entering the gasifier increases all efficiencies of the process. The largest benefit is obtained when the sludge is dried from 32.5% to 19% moisture.
4 Improvement of gasification using torrefaction

The properties of biomass and biowastes can be improved by a process known as torrefaction, which comprises thermal pre-treatment in an inert gas at temperatures 230 to 300°C, Bourgois et al [4]. The torrefied biomass has a lower O/C and H/C ratio due to removal of water and carbon dioxide, as shown for beach wood in Figure 3, so called van Krevelen diagram, Prins et al [5].

![Figure 3: Change of composition of biomass in torrefaction.](image-url)
During torrefaction the biomass partly devolatilises what leads to a decrease of mass, but initial energy content of the biomass is almost completely preserved in the solid product (fuel). Typically, torrefied biomass retains 70–90% of the original wood mass whereas the heating value increases by 5–25% and this way the energy density is increased by 20–40%. Torrefied biomass has a brown color and its properties are changing towards that of coal. Torrefaction is particularly attractive for mixture of biowastes as it leads to a uniform chemical composition of the final product, e.g. in pellets.

Biomass torrefaction can be used as the pre-treatment step before biomass gasification and different systems are possible, as indicated in Table 2.

Torrefaction can be coupled with the circulating fluidised bed (CFB) gasifier (Ila) where the volatiles from the torrefaction reactor are not utilized. The hot product gases from the gasifier are physically quenched with cold gas to a temperature of 800°C. Steam produced in Heat Recovery Steam Generator (HRSG) is partially used to provide heat for the torrefaction process and partially exported. The pressure of the steam generated in the HRSG is tailored for controlling the torrefaction temperature: 45 bar for torrefaction at 250°C and 90 bar for torrefaction at 300°C.

In the more advanced system (see Table 2 and Figure 4) wood torrefaction can be coupled with entrained flow (EF) gasifier (IIIab), Prins et al [5]. In this system the volatiles from the torrefaction reactor are introduced in the top of the gasifier to induce a “chemical quench”. The conventional biomass gasification of wood (without torrefaction) in CFB gasifier (I) is used as a reference for efficiency comparison.

Table 2 shows that the efficiency for oxygen-blown EF gasification of torrefied wood (IIIb) is quite comparable to that of air-blown CFB gasification of wood (I), and slightly lower than that if torrefied wood is produced at 250°C (case IIIa). However, the highest amount of chemical exergy retained in the product gas is observed for case IIIb, what is very convenient for the subsequent chemical synthesis of transportation biofuels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Air-blown CFB gasification (950°C)</th>
<th>Torrefaction and air-blown CFB gasification (950°C)</th>
<th>Torrefaction and O₂-blown EF gasification (1200°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas: chemical exergy</td>
<td>no torrefaction (I)</td>
<td>torrefaction at 250°C (IIa)</td>
<td>torrefaction at 300°C (IIb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas: physical exergy</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>67.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>73.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Exergetic efficiency of biofuels from biomass gasification

Currently the most promising route to sustainable transportation fuels is based on production of syngas from biomass gasification, followed by synthesis of biofuels, Huber et al [6]. Production of potential transportation fuels, including Fischer-Tropsch hydrocarbons, methanol, and hydrogen from various biomass feedstocks and biowastes is attractive using this method, Ptasinski [7].

Figure 5 shows a schematic diagram of conversion process for production of biofuels from biomass gasification. A wide range of biomass sources, such as traditional agricultural crops, residues from agriculture and foresting, sewage sludge and municipal solid wastes can be used to make biofuels. These biomass feedstocks vary greatly in chemical composition, energy content, ash and moisture content and the best and economically attractive feedstock can be selected.

For wet biomass feedstocks drying is needed to adjust the moisture content into a desired value. In the gasifier biomass reacts at high temperature (typically 900°C) with air, oxygen, or/and steam to produce syngas, which contains CO, H₂, CO₂, CH₄, H₂O, and N₂. CO and H₂ are the building reagents for synthesis of different biofuels. Subsequently syngas is cleaned from undesired components produced in the gasifier, such as tars, H₂S, NH₃, and HCl. The synthesis of most biofuels is usually a high-pressure process and therefore syngas is compressed up to the desired reactor pressure. Before the biofuel synthesis the ratio between CO and H₂ in syngas is adjusted in a water gas shift (WGS) reactor, according to the chemical reaction:

\[
\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2
\]
In a catalytic synthesis reactor specific biofuels, such as Fischer-Tropsch hydrocarbons or methanol are produced. In case of hydrogen production, only WGS reactors are sufficient and no specific synthesis reactors are needed. The biofuel formed in the synthesis reactor is separated from by-products in a separation unit. The remaining by-products contain usually high energy content and they can be used to produce electricity in a power generation unit or process steam in the heat integration unit. The heat recovery is particularly needed as in the overall process synthetic gas is cooled from a high temperature in the gasifier to much lower temperature in the biofuel synthesis reactor.

Figure 5: Schematics of biomass-to-biofuels production.

Figure 5 can be used to produce Fischer-Tropsch (FT) hydrocarbons from sawdust. In this process 57 ton/h of sawdust is converted into 5.6 ton/h of liquid FT products and 4.0 MW of electricity is also produced. On weight basis the net output of hydrocarbon fuel is only 15.2%. The exergy analysis shows that the biomass feed contains 210.8 MW exergy and the final liquid products contain 72.9 MW with co-production of 4.0 MW of electricity.

Methanol may play a significant role as a synthetic fuel for the future as it has higher energy content per volume than the other alternative fuels. Generally, methanol can be produced from any organic source including biomass, and municipal wastes according to the flowsheet shown in Fig. 5. Using sewage sludge as a feedstock (50,000 tons dry solids/year) the plant can produce 4300 tons methanol/year. On weight basis the net output of methanol is only 8.6% but on the exergy basis 22.8 MW of sludge exergy is converted into 15.4 MW of methanol exergy.

Various biomass resources, such as wood, vegetable oil, and manure can be also used to produce hydrogen. Currently, hydrogen is the most promising energy carrier for the future. Using wood as a feedstock the plant converts 1000 kg/h biomass into 76 kg/h hydrogen and 1330 kg/h CO₂-rich gas as the by-product. The net output of hydrogen on mass basis is thus 7.6% only. The exergy analysis indicates that 4.64 MW of wood exergy is transformed into 2.54 MW exergy of hydrogen and 0.61 MW of CO₂-rich gas.
Figure 6 shows exergetic efficiency of various biomass-to-biofuel routes that are discussed above but also including hydrogen produced from biomass using biochemical processes such as fermentation and anaerobic digestion. The exergetic efficiency for hydrogen-from-natural-gas process by methane steam reforming (SMR) is also reported in this figure, as a typical value for fossil fuels, Rosen et al [8]. All reported biomass routes have lower exergetic efficiency compared to fossil fuels. The highest efficiency is for hydrogen-from-biomass processes from high quality feedstocks, such as wood or vegetable oil. It is demonstrated that biomass gasification is the least-efficient process step in the overall biomass-to-biofuels route.

![Figure 6: Exergetic efficiency of various biomass-to-biofuels processes.](image)

### 6 Conclusion

Biomass as a gasifier fuel can provide the world more renewable transportation fuels. However, major limitations are shortage of land and water and competition with food production. Therefore application of biowastes is very attractive not only from energy point of view but also from ecological reasons.

The most promising large-scale production of biofuels consists of gasification of biomass (biowastes) and subsequent conversion into liquid (gaseous) fuels, such as FT hydrocarbons, methanol, and hydrogen. In all investigated processes the largest exergy losses are due to biomass gasification, which are intrinsic. Wet wastes (e.g. sludge, manure) are attractive feedstocks in many countries due to limited availability of so-called clean biomass. However, exergetic efficiency of conversion of wet biowastes is quite low as gasification at optimum conditions is not always possible.

The efficiency of gasification of wet biowastes can be improved by using the enthalpy of the syngas for drying of biomass. The properties of biomass, even of dry biowastes, can be improved by a thermal pre-treatment called torrefaction. In this process the O/C ratio in biomass is reduced, in addition to the higher energy
density, what results in the improved thermodynamic performance of gasification.
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