Crop management in a district within the Ebro River Basin using remote sensing techniques to estimate and map irrigation volumes
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Abstract
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1 Introduction

For several hydrological and agricultural issues such as water resources management, irrigation scheduling, crop water requirements and others, to know how they are affected by the climatic and agronomic variations (soil and plant conditions) is basic. In order to cope with these variations it has been demonstrated that a confident estimation of evapotranspiration (ET) is essential, not only because it provides information that can be applied directly in the water budget, but also because ET has a high sensitivity which can be used to define some biophysical parameters [1]. Direct and indirect ET methods have been developed and give a good accuracy, however, some authors such as Schultz and Engman [2] have demonstrated that studies based on conventional field data collection are often limited because they cover only the area close to the weather station. Although this ET estimation is adequate for local studies, in large irrigated areas it is important to have reliable spatial and temporal ET values to determine the actual crop water demands and the water need over time. It is important to consider spatially distributed data covering any time period throughout the system. As no conventional method can be regarded as suitable to cover both spatial and temporal scale [3] and because the constant lack of data [4], an important alternative has been the introduction of remotely sensed data. This data can provide information about a specific crop and land condition covering both spatial and time variations. It has been demonstrated [2] that the combination of remotely sensed and ground-meteorological data can create more realistic and physically based models to analyse heterogeneous evaporative surfaces. Reliable ET values produce accurate estimations of the real crop water requirements (WRn) and net crop water volumes (Vn) for the irrigated districts.

In a first attempt [5], the Surface Energy Balance Algorithm for Land (SEBAL) [6] was applied to provide reliable ET values for large areas. These values were used as input data in water management models such as the IRRIVOL methodology used in the Flumen district at the Central Ebro Valley (CEV), Spain [7]. A general problem in the Ebro Basin is the low water efficiency associated with the irrigation districts [8]. The direct benefits of accurate Vn values are the improvement of the water use. The conclusions of this study indicated that despite some variations observed between SEBAL and IRRIVOL, which uses the Blaney and Criddle equation to compute crop ET (ETc) [9], the agreement was good. The variations observed were mainly associated to climatic factors (haze and bochorno wind) present during the image capture. Once these variations were detected and removed from the analysis, the agreement obtained between both methods improved significantly. This confirmed that the SEBAL method provides ET data that represents the actual spatial field conditions. However, for WRn and Vn, the agreement between SEBAL and IRRIVOL was fair. The variations between the two methods could be ascribed to an arrange of causes including over-irrigation, use of additional water for land preparation, or atypical crop cultivation resulting in the actual crop development that differs from the theoretical one used by traditional methods. Also, an important difference was observed in the water requirements...
for constant flooded crops such as rice. The satellite data in this case could not
determine the actual condition of the crop and the ground because the water
layer. However, similar differences between the theoretical and practical rice
WRn have been obtained using conventional methods making it necessary to
consider an extra water requirement.

In this paper, the water demands analysis of the Flumen District has been
extended to compute net irrigation volumes (Vi) considering the field and
climatic factors identified previously and applying a water efficiency per
morphopedologic units (Eam). This will provide a real water efficiency (Ea) for
the whole District and determine the actual crop water requirements and its
impact on the availability of the water resources.

2 Field sites

The Ebro Basin is the most extensive hydrographical basin of Spain with an area
of 85,399 km² (almost 17.3% of the total country area) of which the Aragon
Community occupies the Central Ebro Basin (CEB). In the Depression or Valley
(CEV) at the CEB, which corresponds to a flat topography, the Riegos del Alto
Aragon (RAA, *Irrigation lands at the Aragon’s Northern Area*) system is
located. The RAA is one of the most ambitious extensive irrigated districts in the
Basin with an irrigated area of 1,685 km² (71.4% of the total irrigated land in
Aragon). The RAA is integrated by the subsystems: Cinca, Monegros, Flumen
and Violada, each one is named according to the main canal that irrigates it
[10,11], fig. 1.

Figure 1: Central Ebro basin covering the Aragon Autonomous Community
and the location of the Flumen District.
The Flumen District covers an area of 33,729 ha (20% of the RAA irrigated land) [10], it includes a main irrigation system (50 years old) and some enclaves (territories included within a bigger territory but with different geographic characteristics), and old irrigated plains (i.e. huertas, older than six centuries) along the riverbanks [7].

According to Bielsa et al. (1998), the physical availability of water in the CEV can be divided into the left and right banks, having the Ebro River as common collector. Thus, the canal system is very important since it provides the water for irrigation. Almost all rivers in the RAA system have an irregular flow and present salinity problems [10]. However, the water availability depends strongly of the climatic variations in the zone. Martínez-Cob and Tejero-Juste [12] reported for the CEV mean annual variations for precipitation from 354 to 475 mm, for air temperature from 13.1 to 14.5 °C and for air relative humidity from 65 to 76 %. Precipitation presents two maxims in spring and autumn, and two minimums in summer and winter. Wind speed for the Flumen is moderate (from 1.0 – 2.5 m·s⁻¹), although the topography reinforces the influence of continental winds. In extreme conditions, a northwest winter wind called cierzo is present, whereas in summer there is a southeast dry and hot wind called bochorno. Both type of winds have a drying action, which imparts a high aridity to the zone throughout the year [10]. Although salinity of some soils is a constraint for agriculture, irrigation makes possible to grow a variety of crops. The main crops grown are: winter cereals (barley and wheat), maize, alfalfa, forage, rice, and sunflower covering an area around 66% of the District. They are responsible of most water consumption in the District [13].

3 Data and methods

This section provides a brief description of the available data to assess the applicability of remote sensing techniques in the computation of accurate Vi values. The methodology includes the estimation of ETₐ, WRₐ and Vn these steps are mentioned briefly since they were reported in a previous paper [5].

3.1 Data sources

The meteorological data for the Flumen District were collected for the four-year study period (1997 to 2000) from two main sources: 1) Sariñena and Monflorite National Automatic Weather Stations Network (EMAs) that record 10-minute intervals for each variable measured (precipitation, air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and wind direction and atmospheric pressure); and 2) Basic Weather Stations (BWS) that record daily precipitation and temperature and some stations also recorded sunshine hours.

The phenological information collected was sown and harvested dates, and vegetation characteristics such as height. Also, records of water delivered by the Ebro Hydrographical Confederation (CHE) were available in a continuous daily basis, thus records of the monthly and annual water requirements (VₙCHE) were achieved. The CHE supplies the water requested for the farmers, who estimate
the water demands based on the crop sown and the area occupied using empirical methods, experience or both. The CHE checked the amount of water requested with the land area registered and the crop declared to be sown, however, the water application is determined by the farmer.

Finally, fifteen Landsat images (TM and ETM+ sensors) were used for the four-year study period. Images were acquired for the maximum crop-growth stage periods, thus summer images were chosen. Winter images have not been included, because winter crops are in their initial stage (more bare soil) or they have not been sown yet. The images were atmospheric, geometric and radiometrically corrected. Also, an enhancement was performed and problems associated to haze occurrence were identified. As result, two images were eliminated from the analysis.

3.2 Methods

For a number of years, ETc in the Flumen District has been calculated using the Blaney-Criddle (BC) equation with data from the Sariñena BWS, local experimental crop coefficients (Kc), and corrected by a local coefficient of 0.88. These BC-ETc values have been utilised in the IRRIVOL methodology that follow the FAO guidelines to compute WRn and Vn [9]. The IRRIVOL methodology utilises remotely sensed data to derive an annual land cover-classification map for the six main crops. This map is combined with meteorological data to provide WRn and Vn maps using Geographical Information System (GIS) techniques [7]. The estimation of water requirements includes zones with and without water metering points or plots with potential reuse (runoff or seepage) [13].

The advantage using remotely sensed data was increased by the use of remote sensing algorithms such the SEBAL technique. This involves the determination of the land surface physical parameters from spectral reflectance and radiance and the introduction of meteorological data such as air temperature, humidity, and wind speed at a reference height. The SEBAL technique uses these variables to estimate the energy flux parameters (sensible, soil and latent) and obtains ETa as the residual form of the energy balance equation [14]. The SEBAL ETa values correspond to the instant of satellite overpass, thus a temporal interpolation must be made to determine daily values. Moreover, as the CHE water invoices are available in a monthly basis, the crop water demands need to be compared on this timescale. Thus, the SEBAL daily ETa values are extrapolated to monthly values using scaling factors developed from the FAO-56 Penman-Monteith equation [15]. Monthly SEBAL ETa values were used to compute SEBAL WRn subtracting the effective precipitation (Pe) from SEBAL ETa according to Cuenca [16]. Pe was assigned as fixed value to each pixel using Thiessen polygons.

IRRIVOL computes WRn on a monthly basis subtracting the value of Pe from the BC-ETc. Then WRnIRRIVOL values are assigned according to the land classification map, thus WRnIRRIVOL values for each one of the six main crops are obtained. SEBAL WRn are compared with IRRIVOL WRn obtained from previous researches [13].
As the land cover classification maps were available for each year under study, the SEBAL and IRRIVOL WR\textsubscript{n} values were multiplied for the hectarage of the six main crops to compute V\textsubscript{n} per crop, and these are then summed up to obtain the total monthly V\textsubscript{n} for both IRRIVOL and SEBAL. For SEBAL V\textsubscript{n} predictions, two approaches were adopted. The first considered the crop water demands including months out of crop season (SEBAL\textsubscript{F1}) and compared the V\textsubscript{n} estimate with the water delivery invoices by CHE, that is the water supplied for irrigation. The second considered the crop water demands for only the six main crops growing period (SEBAL\textsubscript{F2}) and the V\textsubscript{n} values obtained were compared with those obtained by IRRIVOL [13].

The irrigation water volumes (Vi) were calculated as indicates eq. 1.

\[
Vi = V_n \times E_{am}^{-1}, \text{hm}^3
\]  

where \(E_{am}\) is the soil water efficiency, which was obtained experimentally for each of the morphopedological units in the Flumen District [17], table 1 [18]. Vi values for both SEBAL and IRRIVOL were computed for each type of crop and for each type of structure. In order to check if these calculated values correspond to the actual water demands, a final comparison was made using the water delivery CHE records (V\textsubscript{CHE}).

| Table 1: Surface water application efficiency for land evaluation units in the Flumen District. |
|---|---|---|
| Morphologic type | Area, (ha) | Efficiency, \(E_{am}\) |
| Platforms | 7558 | 0.4 |
| Slopes | 17263 | 0.6 |
| Terraces | 2905 | 0.8 |
| Bottoms | 4517 | 0.8 |

4 Results

The ET\textsubscript{a} validation process carried out to test the reliability of the SEBAL technique at regional (Flumen District) scale confirmed that SEBAL produces reliable representations of the ground and crop conditions except for crops under constant flooded fields such as rice. These differences occur because the satellite sensed the rice fields as shallow water bodies. Thus, the standing water background affects the spectral reflectance of rice, the sensitivity of spectral vegetation indices and the surface temperature (Ts), which is lower than would be observed for this crop under a different irrigation system [19,20].

4.1 Crop water requirements, WR\textsubscript{n}

As SEBAL can detect variations associated to irrigation practices, rainfall events and climatic variations on the particular instant of satellite overpass, the estimation of WR\textsubscript{n} has a high level of confidence. SEBAL WR\textsubscript{n} values were
lower than \( \text{WR}_{\text{nIRRIVOL}} \) since they involve the actual conditions on the field including changes in the crop development, fig. 2.

**Figure 2:** Differences between \( \text{WR}_{\text{nSEBAL}} \) and \( \text{WR}_{\text{nIRRIVOL}} \) without rice \( \text{WR}_n \). Dotted lines are the upper and lower limits of the standard deviation of the differences (\( \text{sdiff} \)).

The most significant \( \text{WR}_n \) differences were observed for sparse canopies such as sunflower (SF), maize (M) and rice (R) and during the summer season. The \( \text{WR}_n \) variations for rice were considerable because the irrigation practice, which was a limitation for the SEBAL procedure. Rice IRRIVOL \( \text{WR}_n \) uses the Tolosa adjustment \cite{21} that adds an empirical factor of 15,000 m\(^3\)·ha\(^{-1}\) to the theoretical \( \text{WR}_n \) values in order to cope the crop water demands. However, this factor was not considered appropriate for SEBAL, because the algorithm could reflect accurately the crop and ground conditions.

Casterad \cite{22} explained the \( \text{WR}_n \) under-estimations obtained using IRRIVOL as result of an inappropriate estimation of the Pe. This is because rainfall in summer is short and heavy followed by strong wind and high temperatures that dry the foliage almost immediately \cite{22}. However, this is an aspect that merits further work.

### 4.2 Crop water volumes, \( V_n \)

SEBAL_F1 was expected to be close to the CHE invoices, which recorded the total monthly water supplied to the whole district. SEBAL_F2 should be similar to the \( V_{n\text{IRRIVOL}} \) values, since they are also restricted to the crop period established, according to the practice in the Flumen District. As a result, SEBAL_F2 and IRRIVOL \( V_n \) values should be lower than the CHE records, because the six main crops are responsible for almost 90% of the overall water requirements. However, significant \( V_n \) differences were obtained between IRRIVOL and SEBAL, and these were mainly related to the SEBAL problems for rice fields, fig. 3.
To allow the comparison of total volumes with CHE data, and knowing that IRRIVOL already includes for rice the Tolosa adjustment factor (15,000 hm$^3$), the rice-$V_{nIRRIVOL}$ were used instead of the rice-$V_{nSEBAL}$. Thus, total SEBAL_F1 and SEBAL_F2 $V_n$ were adjusted as indicated in eqs. 2 and 3:

$$V_{nSEBAL_F1(r-adj)} = \left[ total \ V_{nSEBAL_F1} - rice V_{nSEBAL_F1} \right] + rice V_{nIRRIVOL} \quad (2)$$

$$V_{nSEBAL_F2(r-adj)} = \left[ total \ V_{nSEBAL_F2} - rice V_{nSEBAL_F2} \right] + rice V_{nIRRIVOL} \quad (3)$$

Fig. 4 shows a good match between $V_n$CHE and $V_{nSEBAL_F1(r-adj)}$ and $V_{nIRRIVOL}$ and $V_{nSEBAL_F2(r-adj)}$ as expected, excluding those months affected by the bochorno wind and haze problems and Mar-99 due to the water shortage in the dams at the Pyrenees, which provide river flows and the irrigation water to the Districts.

The final agreement between SEBAL_F1(r-adj) and CHE was good with a bias of -1.45 hectm$^3$ and a $s_{diff}$ of ±4.95 hm$^3$. The comparison between IRRIVOL and CHE indicates that the crop water requirements are higher than the water supplied by the CHE. As IRRIVOL only considers the water demands for the six main crops, this result was foreseen. Although, the agreement between IRRIVOL and SEBAL_F2(r-adj) was expected good it was moderate, quite similar to the one obtained between CHE and IRRIVOL, giving a bias of 1.49 and a $s_{diff}$ of ±5.74 hm$^3$.

The reliability of the $V_n$SEBAL values obtained allows the introduction of irrigation performance indicators, which permit to evaluate the performance of an irrigated district based on their sensitivity to the irrigation management [23]. In this work, the ratio between RECORDED/COMPUTED $V_n$ [13] or the field
application ratio \((E_a = \text{COMPUTED/RECORDED } V_n)\) were used. These rations together with a major farmers’ participation help to monitor, identify and quantify some problems in order to reduce the low water efficiencies. This low efficiency could be related to differences between the estimated and reported cropped area, poor crop husbandry (e.g. sunflower which is often grown only to receive the EU subsidy), not considering the water requirements for tillage, or non-accurate water request for supply by the farmer.

![Figure 4: CHE, IRRIVOL and SEBAL net water volumes (Vn), hm\(^3\) adjusted.](image)

### 4.3 Net irrigation volumes, Vi

\(V_i_{\text{IRRIVOL}}\) values were taken from previous research in the area for each of the years under study [24]. Rice-\(V_i_{\text{IRRIVOL}}\) values were considered the same for rice-\(V_{n_{\text{IRRIVOL}}}\) because of the irrigation method. For SEBAL again the two approaches \(\text{SEBAL}_F1\) and \(\text{SEBAL}_F2\) were considered as well for SEBAL \(V_n\). In a similar way to \(V_n\), to evaluate properly the differences observed for the SEBAL estimations and knowing that IRRIVOL already considers for rice the Tolosa adjustment factor, the rice-\(V_i_{\text{IRRIVOL}}\) were used instead of the rice-\(V_i_{\text{SEBAL}}\). Thus, total \(\text{SEBAL}_F1\) and \(\text{SEBAL}_F2\) \(Vi\) are redefined as indicated in eqs. 4 and 5:

\[
V_{i\text{SEBAL}_F1(r-adj)} = \left[\text{total } V_{i\text{SEBAL}_F1} - \text{rice } V_{i\text{SEBAL}_F1}\right] + \text{rice } V_{i\text{IRRIVOL}} \tag{4}
\]

\[
V_{i\text{SEBAL}_F2(r-adj)} = \left[\text{total } V_{i\text{SEBAL}_F2} - \text{rice } V_{i\text{SEBAL}_F2}\right] + \text{rice } V_{i\text{IRRIVOL}} \tag{5}
\]

Fig. 5 shows the \(Vi\) differences obtained for CHE&SEBAL\(_F1(r-adj)\) and IRRIVOL&SEBAL\(_F2(r-adj)\) plotting the differences against their averages.
Figure 5: Monthly Vi, hm³, differences plotted against their average between (a) CHE&SEBAL_F1(r-adj) and (b) IRRIVOL&SEBAL_F2 (r-adj).

The difference range for CHE&SEBAL_F1(r-adj) and IRRIVOL&SEBAL_F2 (r-adj) remains almost constant as the average increases. The agreement between CHE and SEBAL_F1(r-adj) was good with a bias of 0.09 hm³ and a $s_{diff}$ of $\pm$ 3.82 hm³; the months with higher differences were Jul-97 and Aug-00. Also, the agreement between Vi IRRIVOL and Vi SEBAL_F2(r-adj) was good with a bias of 0.56 hm³ and a $s_{diff}$ of $\pm$ 2.53 hm³. The main advantage of accurate Vi values is that Ea can be calculated with high accuracy for the whole system. In this case, Ea was around 90% for each year under study.

Additionally, the SEBAL Vi map not only permits the analysis for the whole district, but also, very significantly, the analysis at field scale can be achieved with high precision, fig 6.

For the first time this allows those plots with low water efficiency to be pinpointed, allowing specific, targeted actions to be taken to improve crop management and, in consequence, guarantee better water and land use.
5 Conclusions

The differences observed between SEBAL-F1_{r(adj)} and CHE highlight some problems of the CHE in achieving an effective timing and allocation of water requirements and quite frequently CHE tends to cause over-delivery of water.

Results obtained give confidence in the use of SEBAL to compute the irrigation volumes, although for rice-water requirements further studies are required. This confirms that the SEBAL procedure is one of a number of techniques that identify crop-water-soil conditions using satellite based remote sensing. This facilitates crop, land and water management offering a wide range of alternatives to maximise yield with less water use. Spatial coverage permits the detection of spatial inconsistencies related to the crop conditions, water application, soil types, micro-relief, etc. On a regional scale, the computation of more accurate water balances will allow the allocation or re-allocation of the water resources. Also, the analysis of alternative crops could be based on its water and land requirements and not only on its economic value. On a local scale, the identification of problems associated with the crop development can be achieved, thus improving the crop management, which can be monitored using \( \text{ET}_a \), \( V_n \), \( V_i \), or the crop yield.
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