Mechanical characterisation of nanocellulose composites after structural modification
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Abstract

Recently cellulose nanofiber has attracted researchers’ attention as a new bio-based reinforcing phase, because the mechanical property of the cellulose nanofiber seems to be excellent; for example, tensile strength and modulus are about 2 GPa and about 140 GPa. However, the mechanical properties of polymer composites reinforced by the cellulose nanofiber are markedly lower than expected. In this study, polyvinyl alcohol-based nanocellulose fiber-reinforced composites were fabricated as a model nanocomposite system. We tried to improve the mechanical properties of the cellulose nanofiber-reinforced composites by controlling the orientation of cellulose nanofiber through multiple mechanical extension treatments. In the present study, the alignment of cellulose nanofiber in polymeric matrix can be controlled by applying 10 times mechanical extensions at most. The effectiveness of this fiber alignment control has been successfully demonstrated; the tensile strength and Young’s modulus of the cellulose nanofiber-reinforced composites after the mechanical extension treatments reached almost the two-fold value as compared with those of the untreated composites.
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1 Introduction

Natural fiber-reinforced biodegradable composites (namely green composites) have gotten a lot of scientists’ attention in the research field of composite
materials, because the green composites have various advantages; such as low density, high strength, high modulus, and biodegradable nature [1, 2]. Thus, the green composites are thought to be a possible alternative composite material for traditional petroleum-derived polymer composites with high environmental loads. Many studies concerned tensile and flexural properties of the green composites reinforced by a wide variety of thick natural fibers, for example jute, ramie, hemp, and bamboo fibers [3–5].

As potential nanoscale reinforcement derived from biomass, cellulose nanofibers have drawn researchers’ attention. The pure cellulose nanofibers, which contain neither lignin nor hemicellulose, typically have diameter of 5-100 nm. It is thought that the cellulose nanofibers possess excellent mechanical properties, e.g., tensile strength of about 1.7 GPa [6] and Young’s modulus of about 140 GPa [7]. These excellent mechanical properties are comparable with those of glass and aramid fibers.

The mechanical properties reported for the cellulose nanofiber-reinforced polymer composites are much lower than expected. The starch-based green composites reinforced by cellulose nanofiber have flexural strength of about 68 MPa and flexural modulus of 6.6 GPa [8]. There are several reasons for this inferior mechanical property; one of highly possible reasons is a fiber alignment, i.e. random orientation of cellulose nanofiber in the polymer matrix.

In the other nanocomposite system, as a possible orientation control method for the nanofiber, magnetic field orientation control and electric field orientation control of carbon-based nanofillers were studied until now. In the research using the electric field orientation control, the fiber orientation of carbon nanotube was performed by applying electric field, and then fabricated epoxy-based polymer composite [9]. It was reported that the modulus in the normal direction of the orientation-controlled nanocomposites was lower than that of randomly oriented composites. Additionally, there was report on orientation control for cellulose whisker by applying an electric field [10].

In this study we tried to control the cellulose nanofiber orientation by multiple mechanical extension method. In the multiple mechanical extension method, the alignment of cellulose nanofiber in polymeric matrix can be controlled by applying 2-10 times mechanical extensions. The effectiveness of the fiber alignment control has been successfully demonstrated experimentally; the tensile properties of the cellulose nanofiber composites after fiber alignment treatment have almost the two-fold values as compared with those of the untreated composites.

2 Experimental methods

2.1 Preparation of nanocomposites

Polyvinyl alcohol powder (162-16325, Wako Pure Chemical Industries Co., Ltd. Japan, hereafter PVA) was used as a biodegradable polymer matrix. Commercially available cellulose nanofiber (KY-100G, Co., Ltd. Daicel Co., Japan, hereafter CNF) was used as reinforcement. PVA powder was dissolved in hot water using a mantle heater at 80°C, after 25 g of PVA was mixed with distilled water of 475g, and 5wt.% PVA solution was prepared. After that, CNF of 1.5g was mixed with distilled water of 135g to prepare water suspension with 1wt.% CNF. This
suspension and the PVA solution were mixed to make the PVA mixture having CNF content of 30wt.%. Afterwards, the composite material was produced by pouring this PVA/CNF suspension in a plastic container (i.e. casting), and then dried it in an oven kept at 30°C, resulting in a final nanocomposites sheet.

2.2 Mechanical extension treatment for nanocomposites

The PVA/cellulose nanofiber-reinforced composites (CNF content=30wt.%) were cut into rectangular shape (50×120 mm), and then immersed in distilled water for 10 min. The water-soaked composite sample was mechanically extended by a hand-made tension instrument as shown in Fig. 1, and then dried for 24 h. These steps were repeated 10 times in the same manner. Finally, the composite sample was hot-pressed at 15 MPa and at 180°C for 10 min.

Figure 1: Device for mechanical extension.

2.3 Tensile testing

Tensile tests of all the samples were carried out at a tensile speed of 1.0 mm/min on an Instron universal testing machine (Model 5567, U.S.A.). The shape of the tensile test specimen was 10 mm wide, 90 mm long, and the gauge length was 30 mm. Tensile strength and Young’s modulus were determined from the measured stress-strain curves.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of number of extension treatment

The variation in tensile strength and Young’s modulus as a function of the number of mechanical extension treatments is indicated in Fig. 2, showing the effect of mechanical extension treatments on the mechanical properties of PVA/CNF nanocomposites. It can be seen that the nanocomposite mechanically extended just once have higher mechanical properties than original nanocomposites. The
mechanical properties of nanocomposites peak at 5 times extension; however, they
decrease at 10 times extensions.

Figure 2: Variation of tensile strength and Young’s modulus of PVA/CNF
nanocomposites; fiber content=30wt.%.

The corresponding stress-strain curves of the nanocomposites are shown in
Fig. 3. We can see that not only strength and modulus but also deformability is
improved after mechanical extension treatments. In addition, this higher tensile
strength might be attributed to the effect of the proper fiber alignment in polymer
matrix.

Figure 3: Typical stress-strain curves of PVA/CNF nanocomposites; fiber
content= 30wt.%.
3.2 Effect of testing direction

In order to check the effectiveness of multiple mechanical extension treatment, we have evaluated the effect of pulling direction during tensile testing on the mechanical properties of PVA/CNF nanocomposites. Figure 4 shows the variation in tensile strength and Young’s modulus of PVA/CNF nanocomposites tensile-tested along 0 degree and 90 degrees directions (Fig. 5). The corresponding date
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Figure 4: Tensile strength and Young’s modulus of PVA/CNF nanocomposites; fiber content = 30wt.%.  
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Figure 5: Typical stress-strain curves of PVA/CNF nanocomposites tested along 0 and 90 degrees; fiber content = 30wt.%. 
for non-treated nanocomposites is also indicated in the same figure for reference. The mechanical properties of the composites tested along 90 degrees direction are lower than those of untreated nanocomposites, indicating that the effectiveness of multiple mechanical extension treatment.

4 Conclusions

The effect of multiple mechanical extension treatment on the mechanical property of PVA/CNF nanocomposites was investigated. From the results obtained in these investigations, the following conclusions were reached.

1) Both tensile strength and Young’s modulus peaked after 5 times extension treatment. However too many extension treatments (e.g. 10 times) do not contribute to the mechanical properties of nanocomposites.

2) The tensile strength of nanocomposites tensile-tested along 90 degrees, namely along the transverse direction, was lower than that of untreated nanocomposites. This result also supports the effectiveness of the multiple mechanical extension treatment.
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