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Abstract

Nowadays, diamond-like carbon (DLC) coatings are mainly used in many low loaded applications such as in machine tools, computer devices and many more. Especially the automotive industry anticipates a benefit in applying such coatings in association with the lightweight construction of mechanical parts, for instance in gear components. The ulterior motive is a global performance increase regarding environmental impact and power efficiency. In recent years, the slip-rolling resistance of DLC, a-C and ta-C thin film coatings was improved considerably. In view of the mechanical application of thin film coatings, there is still room for improvements. It was experimentally shown, that a-C and ta-C coatings can be slip-rolling resistant at room temperature in unaddivated paraffin oil up to ten million cycles under Hertzian contact pressures up to $P_{\text{omax}} = 2.9$ GPa. Typically, the prime coated steel substrates were made of the hardened and tempered steels 100Cr6H and Cronidur 30. The aim of the work this to overtake these results, i.e. at higher maximum Hertzian pressures up to $P_{\text{omax}} = 4.2$ GPa. Under such extreme conditions, it is necessary to choose special steels to avoid the failure of the substrate and to permit a higher load carrying capacity of the coating-substrate-system. In consideration of the increased substrate properties, two high toughness spring steels and an ultra-high toughness aerospace steel were tested on a twin disc tribometer of the Amsler type as well as on an Optimol TwinDisc test rig under mixed/boundary conditions. Different factors such as residual stresses of the substrate, influences of the lubrication, and wear behaviour were investigated. The respective results are presented here.
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1 Introduction

Original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) spur to meet the CO₂-targets. Among others, three of the major directions of development axes are:

I. reduction in friction,
II. light-weight design
   and
III. increase in lifetime.

The whole powertrain offers at least as much room for functional improvements, as the internal combustion engine. The contributions of the powertrain to light-weight design are characterised by

a. reduced component sizes
   and
b. higher torques using iso-dimensions.

Both aspects increase the Hertzian contact stresses, the P x V-values (factors) and power density as well as the stresses in the materials core (volume). These highly demanding requirements for implementing light-weight strategies in powertrains, directly raise questions about the most promising technical solutions. It is clear, that any kind of solution must show an equal frictional profile, preferably a reduced friction, compared to the state-of-the-art and in the same time at least an equal lifetime. For tribosystems, the following paths may offer lower friction and higher load carrying capabilities:

I. thin film coatings (e.g. DLC),
II. alternative base oils and additives (such as esters, polyglycols) and/or
III. novel steels.

Although thin film coatings have pushed the tribological barriers to new heights, they still do not comply with the quality demands in mass production and functional needs in highly sophisticated tribosystems.

For using coatings on carbon steel substrates, two major issues have to be considered. Firstly, especially carbon containing coatings need to match the constitutional behaviour of the carbon steels. Secondly, the annealing temperature of these steels is generally not high enough to sustain the higher deposition temperature required for improved adhesion of the coating.

Novel, but already existing steel grades can withstand a sufficiently high deposition temperature for a necessary adhesion of modern coatings. In addition, these uncoated steel alloys also offer coefficient of friction as low as these shown by DLC and other types of coatings under mixed and boundary lubrication.

Chemicals, thus lubricants are subjected to many regulations in view of their environmental impact. In consequence, the number of available high
performance additives will be restricted. Alternative base oils (esters, polyglycols) meet bio-no-tox criteria and offer an attractive tribological profile.

Although it is not imperative to respect these regulations be adhered to in all regions, keeping that in mind early in the product development cycle is both a corporate responsibility and good business practice. This is in line nowadays with the vision of business solutions based on the philosophy referred to as “Zeronize” [1, 2]. Zeronize symbolizes the efforts for the minimization of negative and adverse effects of energy conversion and/or mobility environment.

2 Experimental conditions

2.1 The substrates

In former investigations [4, 5] the substrates are mainly made of the quenched and tempered steels 100Cr6H (OVAKO ‘PBQ’) and Cronidur 30. Their hardness is in the range of 63 – 64 HRC (Rockwell hardness C) for the 100Cr6H steel and 58 – 59 in the case of the Cronidur 30. These steels are typically used in bearings.

In order to realize the light-weight design, especially in gear components in automotive applications, it is essential to utilise steel grades with high toughness and fatigue strength properties. Fig. 1 illustrates the increasing demands on material grades used for gear wheels. Hence, it is a major task to find a material solution which forms a good compromise between hardness in the tooth contact and ductility and basic strength of the tooth base. In consideration of the

![Figure 1: New demands on light-weight gear components derived from DIN 3990-5 (1987).](image-url)
increased demands placed on the substrate properties, two high toughness steels and an ultra-high toughness aerospace steel were selected for the new test series.

In case of the Ultra-High Toughness (UHT) steel grade, this material combines properties such as high surface hardness (63 – 64 HRC), hot hardness for usage up to 427°C and high fracture toughness. Previous investigations in rolling contact fatigue tests revealed a superior bearing life [7]. The special heat treatment of this steel starts with a VIM-VAR (Vacuum Induction Melting-Vacuum Arc Remelting) melting, followed by a carburizing procedure, a double annealing (10h at 560°C) and finally a subzero cooling (-196°C). This procedure generates the typical bearing requirement. The importance of special remelting processes for example on 100Cr6H is presented in [9]. In order to transform a higher rate of austenite into a martensitic structure, the subzero cooling is necessary. This is the reason why not only the UHT steel was cooled at subzero temperatures but also the Cronidur 30, 100Cr6H and High Toughness I (HT I) steel.

Especially high deposition temperatures (during PVD/CVD-processes), i.e. in the range of annealing temperatures, can degrade the intrinsic tooth root load capacity of the steel, engendering of carbon steels a global weakening of the performances due to an ineffective material combination “gear material – coating” [10]. A deposition temperature up to >300°C makes the utilisation of stable steel grades in future applications essential.

A selection of the mechanical properties is shown in tab. 1. Steel grades with higher manganese sulphide (MnS) contents are well-known for their protective effect especially in tool applications [8, 11]. Therefore, the steel grade ‘High Toughness I’ (HT I), with a low content of MnS and other inclusions, was selected for the tribological tests.

Table 1: Mechanical properties of steel substrates used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>100Cr6H (AISI 52100)</th>
<th>Cronidur 30 (AMS 5898)</th>
<th>High Toughness I</th>
<th>High Toughness II</th>
<th>Ultra-High Toughness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density ( \rho ) [g/cm³]</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>7.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus ( E ) [GPa]</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>n.d.a.</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain [%]</td>
<td>n.d.a.</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td>~ 10</td>
<td>~ 9</td>
<td>&lt; 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness [HRC]</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenacity ( K_{IC} ) [MPa m(^{1/2})]</td>
<td>~ 16.5</td>
<td>~ 21</td>
<td>n.d.a.</td>
<td>~ 52</td>
<td>&gt; 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending strength ( \sigma_{404} ) [MPa]</td>
<td>&gt; 2000 (traction)</td>
<td>~ 1100</td>
<td>n.d.a.</td>
<td>n.d.a.</td>
<td>n.d.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength ( R_m ) [MPa]</td>
<td>~ 2300 (at 61 HRC)</td>
<td>~ 2300</td>
<td>~ 1600</td>
<td>~ 2150</td>
<td>~ 1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual austenite content [%]</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>n.m. (&lt; 2.2%)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d.a. – no data available, n.m. – not measurable (detection limit 2.2%)
2.2 Test parameters

The slip-rolling tribological tests were carried out in an Amsler-type twin disc tribometer and in a newly constructed Optimol TwinDisc tribometer. Fig. 2 presents a sketch of the arrangement of the discs in the Amsler-type. In general tests on twin disc tribometer are a good experimental method for technical applications, because they are a good compromise for simulating the real gear or bearing contact. The corresponding experimental parameters are shown in tab. 2. In this testing machine, two discs with the same diameter roll against each other on their cylindrical surface.

Figure 2: Specimen alignment and geometry in the Amsler-tribometer. The lower disc dips into a heatable oil reservoir during the tests.

Table 2: Experimental conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions of the discs</td>
<td>Diameter: 42 mm; Width: 10 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Grounded/ polished curved disc (radius of curvature: 30 or 21 mm) against coated cylindrical disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate</td>
<td>100Cr6H, Cronidur 30, HT I, HT II, UHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of motion</td>
<td>Rolling with a 10% slip rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial average Hertzian pressure $P_{0\text{mean}}$</td>
<td>$1.5 – 1.94$ GPa ($F_N = 930 – 2000$ N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation at speed</td>
<td>390 – 354 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliding speed $V_{\text{diff}}$</td>
<td>0.08 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycles $n_{\text{tot}}$</td>
<td>Up to $10^7$ or rupture (damaged surface area of 1 mm²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sliding distance</td>
<td>Up to 13.2 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrounding temperature</td>
<td>120 °C oil temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubrication</td>
<td>Factory fill oil SAE 0W-30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The geometry of the discs with an outer diameter of 42 mm generates a contact of the ball-cylinder type. In the recent experiments the top, ball-shaped counter discs were uncoated and only pre-polished or ‘as-grinded’, turning at a speed of 354 revolutions per minute (rpm). The lower, cylindrical discs were coated and impelled at a rotating speed of 390 rpm, leading to a slippage of 10%. The force is applied by means of a spring leading to an average Hertzian contact pressure from 1.0 up to 1.94 GPa. For comparison, an average contact pressure of 1.25 GPa corresponds to a maximum Hertzian pressure of $P_{\text{0max}} = 1.875$ GPa, which is equivalent to a load stage of 12 in the FZG test rig. This load stage is the most demanding test procedure for gears as described in the international standard ISO 14635-1. In order to gain practical advantages over additives containing, high performance lubricants, it is obvious that the coatings have to withstand Hertzian contact pressures much higher than FZG load stage of 12 (to avoid the useless development of a “stillborn technology”).

The number of cycles, recorded by an optical sensor, was in the last years raised from 1 million up to 10 million cycles in endurance tests. The vast metallurgical development and numerous practical experiences of recent years have forced to increase the number of cycles as well as to increase the contact pressure. For example, a test with 10 million cycles takes approximately 18 days. The number of cycles is one of the failure criterions in slip-rolling tests.

The development of the coefficient of friction (COF) and the wear volume cannot be as easily assessed as criterion for a critical damage. Previous test have shown that the rise in the COF is not necessarily followed by a failure of the coating. Therefore, damage was arbitrarily defined as ‘critical’, if a single damaged surface area of 1 mm² could be observed. The size of such a flaked spot corresponds to 3 times the contact area at an initial, average Hertzian pressure of 1.0 GPa (or equals the contact area at 1.5 GPa). It might occur that a certain coating is damaged in several locations leading to a total damaged area larger than 1 mm². Such a coating would still count as ‘resistant to slip-rolling’, as long as none of the single damages exceeds an area of 1 mm². This failure criterion has so far proven to be easy to handle, quick and successful. In recent literature, however, the total removal of the coating is proposed as failure criterion for lubricated slip-rolling tests [3]. This failure criterion ignores the fact that the coated part is no longer protected. The functionality of the system/component can no longer be guaranteed.

In the newly designed twin disc test rig, it is possible to test thin film coatings under mixed/boundary conditions up to an average Hertzian contact pressure of $P_{\text{0mean}} = 2.8$ GPa ($F_N = 5000$ N). The aim of the development is to test the behaviour of thin films under extreme conditions. This test rig is powered by two electric motors, which actuates autonomous from each other. Thus, the slippage of the two discs is freely adjustable. In order to ensure comparableness of the results obtained on both test rigs the experimental conditions (rpm, slippage, lubrication, temperature) are always kept identical, except for the Hertzian contact pressure.

During the tests, the Castrol SAE 0W-30 factory fill oil (VP1) was used for lubrication. This oil was selected for its temperature resistance which permits to
conduct long run tests at high temperatures (120°C), typical for car engines. Applying the parameterized standard test conditions, each set of samples starts in the mixed and boundary regime. The regime of lubrication is important for the slip-rolling resistance. According to ref. [6] an evaluation of the minimal oil film thickness of the factory fill oil at 120°C at a load between 0 and 5000 N lead to a value of \( h_{\text{min}} = 0.037 - 0.024 \mu m \).

3 Results of the slip-rolling resistance experiments

First of all, reference tests were necessary to investigate the benefit of applying a coating on the cylindrical disc. Therefore, the samples were all tested under the aforementioned conditions without any coating and self-mated (uncoated steel vs. uncoated steel). Starting with a Hertzian contact pressure \( P_{0\text{mean}} = 1.5 \text{ GPa} \) further tests were carried out up to 1.94 GPa. Following the tests pictures in fig. 3 were taken of the wear track, stressed under \( P_{0\text{mean}} = 1.5 \text{ GPa} \), via optical microscopy. These pictures and the associated surface roughness (before testing) are presented in fig. 3. The spherical counterbody is relatively undamaged, wear can be only found within the track. The cylindrical Cronidur 30 and 100Cr6H discs used so far commonly show microcracks of about 20 to 100 \( \mu m \) usually directed transversally to the rolling track and traces. In comparison to Cronidur 30 and 100Cr6H, the high toughness steel samples do not show microcracks or micro-pitting on such scale. Only SEM images at higher magnification show several initiating micro-pitting (cf. fig. 4).

Furthermore, the stylus profilometry is applied to quantify wear rates perpendicular to the sliding direction. The volumetric wear coefficient \( (k_V) \) as result of the test with cylindrical and spherical discs is shown in fig. 3. In recent investigations [4, 5, 6] coatings of different suppliers were tested and the wear rate was quantified. The coatings were deposited on the super-polished Cronidur 30 and 100Cr6H steels. Fig. 5 indicates that a coating-substrate system against an uncoated disc entails a decreasing of the wear rate. A particular point to consider is the failure of coating G(5) after 3.29 million cycles. Local delamination of coating G(5) during the tests led to achieving the abort criterion and an increased wear coefficient. A high potential reveals the HT I alloy. With wear rates close to coated systems it can be assumed that a coating on this system benefits the wear rate of the coated cylindrical sample, except coating G(5). The question of whether the application of an expensive and elaborate coating makes sense, will be more clearly in comparison to uncoated systems. Coated cylindrical samples after 10 million cycles indicate to an approximately 4 times less wear coefficient than the uncoated discs. The a-C:H coatings I(4, 10, 11) have indeed a higher hardness and Young’s modulus \( (H \approx 24 \text{ GPa} \text{ and } E = 200...214 \text{ GPa}) \) than the a-C coating G(5) \( (H = 19 \text{ GPa} \text{ and } E = 204 \text{ GPa}) \). It can be assumed that the hardness and/or the Young’s modulus of the coating have an important influence on the wear mechanism [6]. So the different properties of both coating types cause consequently to higher wear especially of the uncoated counterpart or in extreme case to failure of the coating.
Figure 3: Optical microscope images of uncoated discs and their counter bodies after test at $P_{\text{mean}} = 1.5$ GPa. Cylindrical 100Cr6H and Cronidur 30 samples with obviously micro-pitting.
Figure 4: SEM images of HT II and UHT steel inside the wear track with a 10,000x magnification.

Figure 5: Comparison of wear rates $k_V$ of different steel-steel and steel coating combinations. Test of coating G(5) interrupted after 3.29 million cycles.

Looking at the COF at the beginning and end of the tests as one of tribological criterions, uncoated UHT and HT I are with COF$^{\text{End}}$ of 0.047 and 0.069 in the range of steel-coating-systems [4]. Fig. 6 show distinct differences between the both solutions. Assuming that fact, it can be said, that a thin film coating enhances the reduction in friction (COF).

In order to show the benefit of the novel high toughness alloys it was necessary that the tests were carried out under more stringent conditions. For this
reason the uncoated steel samples were tested at a higher Hertzian contact pressure of $P_{\text{mean}} = 1.94$ GPa, conditions in which Cronidur 30 and 100Cr6H exhibit macro-pitting and in some areas micro cracks on the >50 µm scale. SEM investigations of the UHT sample after 10 million cycles revealed small, marginal cracks (~2 µm) in chromium carbid enclosures (cf. fig. 7). The carbides were detected by means of EDX-element mapping. HT I and HT II showing similar surface conditions with singular microcracks on the scale of 4 µm. Pictures of optical microscopy and the test results can be found in fig.8.

By using $P_{\text{mean}} = 1.94$ GPa the wear rates of the uncoated high toughness steels remained in the same order of magnitude, by the COF of HT I was with 0.059 closed to thin film coatings.
4 Conclusion

In this contribution, advantages in slip-rolling resistance of high toughness steel grades under Hertzian contact pressures up to 1.94 GPa (mean pressure or in $P_{0\text{max}} = 2.94$ GPa) were demonstrated. With the application of these uncoated alloys it is possible to withstand higher load carrying capabilities at temperatures of 120°C without failure of the substrate. This represents a necessity in order to push thin film coatings to higher contact pressure, as they offer low COFs. Even the wear rate of several uncoated steels lies in the range of steel-coating-systems. For comparison, the wear rates for the uncoated high toughness steels were on the cylindrical disc in the range of 1.8 to $7.8 \times 10^{-10}$ mm³/Nm under identical testing conditions. Distinct differences between uncoated and coated steel matches required concerning the COF at the end of the tests. The COFs of coated samples were in the range of 0.043 to 0.056 whereas the COF of uncoated samples was situated at a higher level of 0.069 to 0.083. The only exception is the UHT steel with a COF of 0.047. With a low wear rate and COF this alloy offers a high potential in following tests.

In future investigations it is planned to analyse, how a coating on the cylindrical disc will affect the wear behaviour and the COF of the uncoated steel-steel system up to a maximum Hertzian contact pressure of $P_{0\text{max}} = 4.2$ GPa. It is essential to change the perception of DLC coatings being an ‘expensive problem-solver’ towards DLC’s being a reliable and advanced solution for applications under extreme conditions.
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