Use of radiation active surfaces in building facade design

N. Nikolov

Department of Art, Architecture and Design, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, USA

Abstract

The paper documents the research conclusions, design methodology, and development of a prototype for an exterior wall panelling system which utilizes proprietary self-cleaning photo catalytic cement panels. The developed method of design is performatively modelled utilizing data from site conditions as a means of customizing each particular cladding panel variation to its location. The paper illustrates an 11.26% efficiency gain of the proposed panel compared to that of the flat panel and an overall increase of total surface available for photo catalysis. In addition, 55.28% of surface area of proposed panel has higher exposure to radiation than the flat panel. 9.99% of proposed surface is exposed to 90% of total accumulated annual radiation.
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1 Introduction

Research into photo catalytic cements has been progressing for over ten years and this emerging technology offers building professionals a renewed opportunity to contribute toward sustainable goals while improving value. Photo catalytic cement uses daylight to react with and neutralize common air pollutants such as nitrogen and sulfur oxides, carbon monoxide, and VOCs – the reaction takes place on the surface of the concrete and the resulting inert nitrates can be washed off manually or by rain. (The cement contains titanium dioxide, which is a photocatalyst activated by daylight. The photocatalytic reaction results in oxidizing reagents converting hazardous NOx into harmless NO3- . On a bright and clear day the process can eliminate up to 90% of nitrogen oxides, aldehydes, benzenes and chlorinated aromatic compounds. When the sun is not directly
shining and the UV radiation is low up to 70% of the pollutants can still be eliminated. The photocatalytic reaction does not consume the photocatalyst; (Source: [1]).

The environmental benefits of using photo catalytic cement are many – in addition to eliminating air-pollutants and its self-cleaning properties, reduced clinker content, comparable strength to Type I early-strength cements, and relatively high reflectivity make the use of this new admixture to concrete a sensible contribution to environmental protection and rehabilitation.

Literature on current products, such TioCem™ and TX Aria™, indicates that on a bright and clear day the process of photo catalysis can eliminate up to 90% of nitrogen oxides, aldehydes, benzenes and chlorinated aromatic compounds.

When the sun is not directly shining and the UV radiation is low - up to 40% of the pollutants can still be eliminated.

An important aspect to the material’s performance is that the photocatalytic reaction does not consume the photocatalyst and the process caries on in perpetuity.

As pressures on our profession to contribute more to sustainable development continue to intensify, it is our belief that pairing environment-based design and innovative materials, will offer a renewed opportunity to innovate.

2 Approach

The developed method of design is performatively modeled utilizing data from site conditions as a means of customizing each particular cladding panel variation to its location. One type of data used comes from the site’s longitude and latitude, which in turn is linked to data describing geographic orientation and data linked to those two parameters, such as yearly solar stress, incident solar radiation. (A process by which electromagnetic radiation is propagated through space. This process is to be distinguished from other forms of energy transfer such as conduction and convection; source: [2]), absorbed and transmitted solar energy. (Absorption is the process by which solar energy is captured by a building material, reducing its available amount. Transmittance is the fraction or percent of a particular frequency or wavelength of electromagnetic radiation that passes through a building material without being absorbed or reflected; source: [3]), (based on the applied surface and material properties) and photosynthetically active radiation. (Photosynthetically active radiation designates the spectral range of solar radiation that photosynthetic organisms are able to use in the process of photosynthesis, mostly overlapping with the spectrum of light visible to the human eye. Photons at shorter wavelengths tend to be damaging to cells while photons at longer wavelengths do not carry enough energy to initiate photosynthesis.)

Once the panel geometry is derived it is compared to a flat vertical panel of identical material and orientation and, lastly, a possible incorporation into a small building structure on the chosen site is illustrated.
2.1 Site

For the purposes of testing our design approach we have selected a medium density suburban location at latitude 40°39'50"N (40.66°), longitude 75°22'0"W (-75.37°), and altitude 116m (380'). The climate during the hot summer months from mid-June until mid-August is classified as warm humid and the weather station is less than a mile away, which makes the available weather data highly pertinent. The values are gathered from weather data available from US Department of Energy [4] and formatted in Weather Tool™ [5].

In general building design, the most undesirable orientation for radiation sensitive components, such as glazing, is towards those portions of the sky in which the sun is low in its daily path, usually towards the East and West. The best orientation for a vertical surface balances the effects of solar radiation during the under-heated period (fig. 1), blue line and during the overheated period (fig. 1, red line). An analysis of the case study location leads to the conclusion that the worst case scenario for glazing is at 15 degrees from the East, based on average daily incident radiation on a vertical surface. A façade 80.25° degrees from the East is identified it as most exposed to solar radiation and as such an obvious choice for use of photocatalytic cement products.

![Figure 1: Optimum orientation, weather tool™ 2011, ©autodesk inc.](image)

The annual cumulative incident solar radiation (direct only), annual cumulative photosynthetically active radiation (direct only), and total monthly stress south-facing façade (sun-path diagram), provide a good insight about what time of year is most critical for a vertical facade with southern orientation and how inefficient a vertical flat façade is in capturing the available photosynthetically active radiation (fig. 2).
2.2 Cumulative solar radiation

For the purpose of maximum exposure to solar radiation a plane needs to be in perpendicular orientation to the direction of the sun. (The sun imparts more of its energy on a surface when it strikes it directly front-on than if the radiation strikes at an angle [6].) Over the movement of the sun such a plane will continually revolve like the head of a sunflower, continually inscribing a spherical polyhedron (the size of its side can be thought of as either infinitely small to approximate a sphere or of a given dimension to reflect available solar radiation data). Using a modified code ported into vb.net® and integrated into a Grasshopper® by Ngai [7], we visualize the yearly incident solar radiation [8]. (Grasshopper® is a graphical algorithm editor integrated with Rhinoceros® 3-D modeling tools. Rhinoceros®, also known as Rhino, is a 3-D modeling software.) (The algorithm is based on National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s Solar Position Calculator [8]). We determine that over the year (at the time of writing, 2011 data was used), 90% of annual accumulated amount of solar radiation – direct light only, not accounting for ground reflections –occurs at Azimuths ranging between 121.73° and 235.94° and Solar Altitude angles ranging between 8.72° and 57.41° (fig. 3).
Figure 3: Incident Solar Radiation on a sphere, area of 90% of total annual radiation amount shown in red. Source: Author 2013.

The further design is based on the premise that the spherical surface from fig. 3, in red, represents the collection of all normals parallel to the sun position within the above mentioned range and, more importantly, its constant curvature (being spherical) enables even distribution of solar stress. This surface optimally faces the sun year-round and represents the geometry with maximum exposure to 90% of the solar radiation.

3 Panel design

Developed in Grasshopper®, the design surface is comprised of developable surfaces with horizontal inclination between 121.73° and 235.94° and vertical inclination between 8.72° and 57.41°. This corresponds to the previously identified limits for solar elevation and azimuth. Having all surface normals within these ranges of inclination ensures that for any given sun position from the design orientation there is fully performing portion of the surface (fig. 4). Each surface has an equal amount of normals oriented within the above range in both concave and convex position – the produced pattern is mirrored relative to a central horizontal axis to generate a surface which is topologically equivalent in both short and long axes.

3.1 Comparison to a generic flat concrete vertical panel

A new concrete panel with the above surface is simulated in Grasshopper and compared against a vertical flat surface of equal overall height, width, and depth, and in identical orientation. The comparison shows an 11.26% increase of surface area of the proposed panel compared to that of the flat panel, which leads
to an overall increase of total surface available for photo catalysis. In addition, 55.28% of surface area of the proposed panel has higher exposure to radiation than the flat panel. 9.99% of proposed surface is exposed to 90% of total accumulated annual radiation (fig. 5). (This solar irradiance is calculated using code ported into vb.net® and integrated into Grasshopper® by Ted Ngai Jan 30,
2009. In it, he states that the calculation of solar irradiance is based on algorithm by University of Oregon Solar Radiation Monitoring Laboratory [9], and does not account for radiation reduction through various kinds of scattering (vapor, particle, ozone...etc) [10].

3.2 Scales

An interesting observation can be made that the panel’s performance is not related to its scale. Comparisons of a number of different scales produce density patterns with varying aesthetic readings and fabrication implications. Most importantly, all of them result in identical total areas of surface available for photocatalysis and with the same large amount of surface area with higher exposure to radiation than that of a flat panel. The final size and scale of the panel is derived from typical construction material dimensional module of 120cm x 240cm (4’x8’) (fig. 6).

Figure 6: Close-up of proposed photo catalytic concrete panel. Source: Author 2013.

4 Building design

The building design follows the general rules of environmental design: the footprint is a rectangle with the long sided facing North-South and short sides
facing East-West. The east- and west-facing facades are glazed and set back with
120cm (4’) overhangs. The west roof rake is pitched at 25.9° to the South toward
the lowest solar altitude at 12pm on December 23 to minimize incident radiation
exposure on the roof. For similar reasons, the North eave is pitched 9° toward the
highest Sun position to the West (fig. 7). The roof pitch values are taken from
tabulated daily solar tables formatted in Solar Tool 2011 (table 1).

Figure 7: Design development, parti model. Source: Author 2013.

Table 1: Tabulated daily solar data for Dec 23 (partial). Solar tool™ 2011,
©autodesk, inc. 2010.
The building utilizes a standard light-wood balloon construction with 5x20 (2x8) wall studs, 5x35 (2x14) floor joists, 2x30 (2x12) roof joists, plywood floor and roof deck, plywood sheathing at north and south walls, let-in braces and translucent polycarbonate panels at east and west walls, standing seam metal roof and flashing, photo catalytic concrete panels fastened to structural substrate (fig. 8).

Figure 8: South-West, and bird’s eye exterior views. Source: Author 2013.

5 Conclusion

Buildings can consume up to 40% of primary energy and 72% of electricity consumption – each of building’s energy demands is closely related to the building envelope and can be decreased with efficient envelope design. In climates where the exterior temperatures exceed the desired indoor temperature for extended periods of time, the sensible envelope design and choice of exterior cladding is imperative.

The proposed panel design is intended to augment existing building materials and technology and their interface with any particular construction method is generic. In addition to linking environmental parameters to formal design criteria applicable to an innovative material, this research project so far has led to the discovery that the increase or decrease in the surface area of the panels does not affect their performance. In order to go beyond the critique of functionalist parametricism the author’s indent is to test through both mockups and simulations panels of varying scales for aesthetic and stylistic interpretations as well as empirically verify the proposed panels’ effect on the performance of the façade system.
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