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Abstract

The analysis of seismic ground response at the site was conducted using a numerical method, which is developed in three main phases: the definition of the geometric, geological and geotechnical model of the subsurface, the definition of the seismic input, the choice of one or more computer codes to use and the processing of the results. The reconstruction of the geological and geotechnical model of the subsurface has highlighted a morphology quite irregular especially with regard to the covers. In order to assess the effects due to the local stratigraphy, it has been decided to carry out seismic 1-D response analyses, using the computer codes EERA and STRATA. The 1-D analysis was also used for the calibration of computer codes by the modelling of simplified systems and comparing the results obtained by the different codes in order to verify its adequacy. The site response analyses have been developed for some sites in the city of Catania (Italy). On the sites some strategic buildings are localised to upgrade against seismic risk. In situ investigations were carried out in order to determine the soil profile and the geotechnical characteristics for the sites under consideration, with special attention given to the variation of shear modulus and damping with depth. Seismic Dilatometer Marchetti Tests (SDMT) have been carried out, with the aim of evaluating the soil profile of shear wave velocity (Vs). Moreover the following investigations in the laboratory were carried out on undisturbed samples: Resonant Column tests; Direct shear tests; Triaxial tests. The available data obtained from the Seismic Dilatometer Marchetti Tests results enabled us to evaluate the shear modulus profile.
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1 Introduction

The city centre of Catania, which is recognized as a typical Mediterranean city at high seismic risk, was investigated by in situ tests, including also Seismic Dilatometer Marchetti Test (SDMT). Seismic amplification of the ground motion phenomena were reported by historical sources following the 1693 (Ms = 7.0-7.3, Io = X-XI MCS) and 1818 (Ms = 6.2, Io = IX MCS) Sicilian strong earthquakes [1–4] (Figure 1). The most significant features seem to have occurred in the Catania area, situated in the meioseismal region of both events. These effects are significant for the implications on hazard assessment mainly for the central part of the city, where most facilities are located. The Val di Noto earthquake of January, 11 1693 is the best remembered by Sicilians. The shock of January 11 which developed from the epicentre (situated at sea but not far from the coast) measured XI on MCS [5, 6] (see figure 1). The Etna earthquake that took place on February 20, 1818 was one of the feeblest ever occurred but its effects were noticed over a vast area. This event as a whole show that the quake reached the peak of IX on MCS [7] (see figure 1). The isoseismal map explains that the earthquake was perceived almost in every part of Sicily from Siracusa to Noto and Palermo. In order to study the dynamic characteristics of soils in the Catania city centre, laboratory and in situ investigations have been carried out to obtain soil profiles with special attention being paid to the variation of the shear modulus (G) and damping ratio (D) with depth. Seismic Dilatometer Marchetti Tests (SDMT) have been also carried out in the zone of the historical city centre, Piazza Roma, where the case study building of National Institute of Geophysics and Volcanology (INGV) is located, with the aim of an accurate geotechnical characterisation, evaluating also the soil profile of shear wave velocity (Vs), as well as the profile of the horizontal stress index Kd. Moreover, the following investigations in the laboratory were carried out on undisturbed samples: Resonant Column tests; Direct shear tests; Triaxial tests.

Figure 1:  Isoseismal maps with shocked localities. (a) Earthquake of January 11, 1693; (b) Earthquake of February 20, 1818 (after [4], modified.)
2 Geotechnical characterisation by SDMT tests

To evaluate the geotechnical characteristics of the soil, the following in situ and laboratory tests were performed at the Catania test site: N°. 1 Seismic Dilatometer Tests (SDMT); N°. 1 Cross-Hole Test (C-H); N°. 1 Down-Hole Test (D-H); N°. 3 boreholes, Direct Shear Tests; Triaxial CD Tests; Resonant Column Tests (RCT). The investigation programme was performed in the zone of Piazza Roma in Catania city centre. The Seismic Dilatometer Test (SDMT3) has an effective depth of about 35 m. Figure 2 shows the prospect of the building INGV. Figure 3 shows the location of the three boreholes, where have been also retrieved undisturbed samples. Figure 4 shows the location of the SDMT in the Catania city centre. The SDMT [8–10] provides a simple means for determining the initial elastic stiffness at very small strains and in situ shear strength parameters at high strains in natural soil deposits. Source waves are generated by striking a horizontal plank at the surface that is oriented parallel to the axis of a geophone connects by a co-axial cable with an oscilloscope [11, 12]. The measured arrival times at successive depths provide pseudo interval Vs profiles for horizontally polarized vertically propagating shear waves. The small strain shear modulus \( G_0 = \rho V_s^2 \) where: \( \rho \) = mass density. SDMT obtained parameters are: Id: Material Index; gives information on soil type (sand, silt, clay), figure 5(a); M: Vertical Drained Constrained Modulus, figure 5(b); Cu: Undrained Cohesion, figure 5(c); Phi: Angle of Shear Resistance, figure 5(d); KD: Horizontal Stress Index, figure 5e (the profile of KD is similar in shape to the profile of the overconsolidation ratio OCR. KD = 2 indicates in clays OCR = 1, KD > 2 indicates overconsolidation. A first glance at the KD profile is helpful to “understand” the deposit; V_s: Shear Waves Velocity, figure 6(a); \( (G_0 = \rho V_s^2) \) Small Strain Shear Modulus, figure 6(b). The “INGV site” site at the city centre of Catania is characterized by sandy silts with silty clays at greater depths.

Figure 2: Prospect of the INGV building at the Piazza Roma city centre.
Figure 3: Location of the three boreholes in the Piazza Roma city centre.

Figure 4: Location of the SDMT in the Piazza Roma city centre.

Figure 5: (a) \( I_d \): Material Index; (b) \( M \): Vertical Drained Constrained Modulus; (c) \( C_u \): Undrained Cohesion; (d) \( \phi \): Angle of Shear Resistance; (e) \( K_D \): Horizontal Stress Index.
Boreholes S1, S2 and S3 have, respectively, a depth of 60, 80 and 60 m. 11 samples have been retrieved starting at the depth of 4.50 m up to the depth of 72.50 m from borehole S2. Results of the laboratory tests (Direct shear tests; Triaxial tests) performed on samples show that soils characterised are with values of the angle of shear resistance of about 20°–26°.

3 Shear modulus and damping ratio by laboratory tests

Shear modulus G and damping ratio D of the various lithological deposits were obtained in the laboratory by Resonant Column Tests (RCT) and by Cyclic Loading Torsional Shear Tests (CLTST). Soil nonlinearity can influence significantly seismic response of soil [13–17]; thus it has to be carefully detected. Experimental values of normalized shear modulus G/G₀ (a) and the damping ratio D (b) versus shear strain observed during resonant column tests and during cyclic loading torsional shear tests on sandy silts with silty clays from INGV site are reported respectively in Figure 7 for the sample retrieved by borehole S1 at a depth of 4.50 m and in Figure 8 for the sample retrieved by borehole S2 at a depth of 14.50 m. The undisturbed specimens have been isotropically reconsolidated to the best estimate of the in situ mean effective stress. The same specimen was first subject to RCT, then to CLTST after a rest period of 24 hrs with opened drainage. CLTST were performed under stress control condition by applying a torque, with triangular time history, at a frequency of 0.1 Hz.
Seismic acceleration and evaluation of site effects

Site response analysis, performed by EERA and STRATA codes [18, 19], was carried out by all the normalized shear modulus and damping ratio profiles reported. 1-D columns have respectively a height of 200 m and of 600 m and are excited at the base by accelerograms obtained from the synthetic seismograms of 1693 and 1818, with a PGA of 0.207g (Figure 9(a)) corresponding to a return period of 475 years in the current Italian regulatory text “seismic hazard and seismic classification criteria for the national territory” obtained by a probabilistic approach in the interactive seismic hazard maps by [20, 21]. Further analyses have been performed using scaled seismograms to the maximum PGA of 0.282g due to the strategic functions of INGV case study building (corresponding to the return period of 975 years, Figure 9(b)). Using these time histories, response spectra concerning the investigated site have been deduced. The soil response at the surface was modeled using the Equivalent–linear Earthquake site Response Analyses of Layered Soil Deposits computer codes EERA and STRATA for calculus of amplitude ratios and spectral accelerations. Figure 10 shows the results in terms of maximum
Figure 9: Interactive seismic hazard map of the city of Catania: (a) 10% probability of exceedance in 50 years (return period of 475 years); (b) 5% probability of exceedance in 50 years (return period of 975 years).

Figure 10: Results in terms of: (a) maximum accelerations at the surface; (b) response spectrum for the 475 year return period.

Figure 11: Results in terms of: (a) maximum accelerations at the surface; (b) response spectrum for the 975 year return period. Figure 11 shows the results in terms of maximum accelerations at
the surface and in terms of response spectrum for the 975 year return period. Figs 12 and 13 show, respectively, the response spectra for the 475 and 975 year return period. Results of the site response analysis show high values of soil amplification factors ranging between 1.40 and 1.80 both for the 475 and the 975 year return period of the scenario earthquake. Probably this fact is due to a non-linear behaviour of soil that often occur [22], especially in presence of the strong accelerations of the 475 and 975 years of earthquake scenario. High values of soil amplification factors often occur in the city of Catania due to the characteristics of soils, both stratigraphic and topographic and also in other sites [23–36]. The results of the site response analyses have been then used for the evaluation of the Dynamic Soil Structure Interaction (DSSI) [37, 38] of the investigated building area, in terms of the maximum surface acceleration of the scenario earthquake chosen in the analyses.

![Figure 12: Spectral accelerations obtained for the 475 year return period.](image1)

![Figure 13: Spectral accelerations obtained for the 975 year return period.](image2)
5 Conclusions

In this paper some information concerning the geotechnical characterisation by SDMT and other tests for the soil response analysis evaluation of the Piazza Roma zone in the city centre of Catania (Italy) have been presented. Local site response analyses have been brought for the Piazza Roma area by 1-D linear equivalent computer codes EERA and STRATA for the evaluation of the amplification factors of the maximum acceleration. High values of soil amplification factors ranging between 1.40 and 1.80 often occur in the city of Catania due to the stratigraphic characteristics of soils, which assume a non-linear behaviour during shaking.
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