The ongoing climate change is expected to affect the distribution and vitality of marine aquatic species through various links and changes in environmental conditions. The aim of the study was to analyse and compare climate change related effects on native and non-native benthic species groups in the Baltic Sea. We analysed the impact of changes on the charophytes (native Chara aspera and non-native Chara connivens) and gammarid amphipods (native Gammarus salinus and non-native Gammarus tigrinus). Currently, C. aspera and G. salinus are the most widespread and frequent species among charophytes and gammarids in NE Baltic Sea. C. connivens has been recorded in single locations in the 1960s, following the continuous enlargement of the distribution area since 2005. G. tigrinus has showed significant occupation success in the region since their first finding in 2003. The random forest modelling method was used to produce current species distribution models and to predict the potential changes of distribution based on future climate scenarios. In the brackish Baltic Sea, species are often living close to their salinity tolerance limits and the decrease in salinity will probably cause profound changes in distribution of both marine and freshwater species. Moreover, the model predictions showed that, probably due to wider salinity and temperature tolerance, the non-native species will gain advantages over native species. Due to climate change, a significant increase of the distribution areas of non-native species is expected to occur on account of the native species. The distribution area of C. connivens is predicted to double and G. tigrinus to increase by 15%. Changes in environmental conditions also favour the distribution of native charophyte C. aspera due to the freshwater origin of the species. However, the marine species G. salinus is predicted to lose 65% of its distribution area.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Contemporary climate-related changes have already caused adverse impacts on Earth’s environment [1], threatening diversity, stability and functioning of ecosystems [2]. The ongoing global change is predicted to further affect marine environments through various links and processes [3]. The climate change related impacts are among the strongest in the northern areas like the Baltic Sea, where the warming has been faster than the global average (by now 1°C [1], [4]) over the last decades [5]. In the Baltic Sea region, evident climatological changes have appeared as an increase in winter and spring air temperatures, increase in water temperature, decrease in seasonal ice extent, increase in precipitation and fresh water runoff, decrease in salinity, and changes in wind speed and storminess [6], [7]. On the other hand, the semi-enclosed, relatively young and brackish water Baltic Sea is highly vulnerable to such stressors, which affect both pelagic and benthic communities [8]. Effect on the distribution and vitality of the populations of both macrovegetation [8], [9] and zoobenthos species [8], [10] has been reported.

The Baltic Sea hosts a mixture of marine, brackish and freshwater species, living often close to their physiological tolerance limits [11]. Therefore, even small changes in abiotic conditions can dramatically affect species distribution and relationships [12]. Warming
climate and changes in hydrology may either enable or hinder the future distribution of species [8], [13]. Due to wider salinity and temperature tolerance, non-native species are assumed to gain advantages over native species [8], [14]. However, the problem deserves more study, because the tolerance to the changes vary among species [13], [15], [16]. There are also habitat generalists among both native and non-native species [17]. In addition to climate change effects, trophic status of the Baltic Sea can also change in the future as a result of anthropogenic factors (including pollution control measures stipulated by the HELCOM and EU directives) [4], [8]. However, these impacts are not studied in this article.

Using ensemble learning methods, we studied two groups of benthic organisms. Charophytes are one of the most important habitat formers among macrovegetation in the soft-bottom areas in the Baltic Sea [18]. Gammarids are diverse group of amphipod crustaceans which has important role in herbivory, detritus cycle and as a prey for fish [19]. Seven species of charophytes have been found in the Estonian coastal waters [16]. Besides climate change, the problem of alien species is also increasingly important in the Baltic Sea [8]. During current study we analysed the effect of climate change on the geographical distribution of native and non-native charophytes (native *Chara aspera* and non-native *Chara connivens*) and gammarid amphipods (native *Gammarus salinus* and non-native *Gammarus tigrinus*). The representatives of native species are currently both most widespread and frequent species among charophytes and gammarids in the NE Baltic Sea. First record of *C. connivens* in the Baltic Sea is from the 1850s [20]. Although the species is believed to be introduced to Baltic Sea from western Europa by ballast water of ships [20], the proven origin of the species is remained unclear and species is categorised as cryptic [18]. *Chara connivens* has been recorded in single locations in the 1960s in the Estonian coastal sea, following the continuous enlargement of distribution area since 2005 [18], [21]. The alien species *G. tigrinus* occurs naturally in North America. The species was introduced to Europe probably in ballast water. First finding in the Baltic Sea was 1975 followed by quick expansion of distribution area [22]. *G. tigrinus* has showed significant occupation success since the first finding of the species in Estonia in 2003 [22].

The focus species – *C. aspera* and *C. connivens* – are of freshwater origin with ability to tolerate brackish conditions. *C. aspera* can be found at salinity range 0–18, *C. connivens* 0–9 [18], [23]. Six species of gammarid amphipods have been found in the Estonian marine waters [24]. The focus species – *G. salinus* and *G. tigrinus* – are considered as euryhaline. *G. tigrinus* can be found between salinity range 0–25 [25] while *G. salinus* is limited by minimum salinity 2 [26].

The main aims of this study were to (1) model the current and future distribution of charophytes and amphipods in the NE Baltic Sea, and (2) to compare the predicted changes in native and non-native species, that reflect the establishment success of the newcomers.

2 MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study area

The study was conducted in the Estonian coastal waters in the NE Baltic Sea (Fig. 1). The study area included three major sub-basins of the sea (Baltic Proper, Gulf of Finland and Gulf of Riga) and a small West Estonian Archipelago Sea, which is surrounded by islands and the Estonian mainland. All the sub-basins exhibit considerable gradients in depth, wave exposure and salinity. Salinity exceeds 7 in the westernmost study area while it falls to almost 0 in the inner parts of bays with riverine inflow. The coastal zone is extensively shallow in the
western part of the study area while steep coastal slope strongly limits the extent of shallow coastal area in the Gulf of Finland.

2.2 Benthic data

To evaluate the temporal dynamics of the native species and the establishment success of the non-native species, a long-term dataset of the Estonian Marine Institute, University of Tartu, from years 1960–2019, was used. Sampling were performed based on species determination by a scuba diver (macrovegetation), in a laboratory based on underwater video recordings (macrovegetation), quantitative samples collected by grab samplers (macrovegetation, zoobenthos) or by scuba divers with a metal frame (macrovegetation, zoobenthos). In the laboratory, the samples were sorted and all macrobenthic organisms were identified under a microscope. As the amount and location of sampling sites have varied during 1960–2019, mean values of five-year periods were used to assess the frequency of occurrence of the studied species. To illustrate the massive increase in abundance of *G. tigrinus*, the abundance data collected annually from the same site in Pärnu bay was used.

Data from 11,474 macrovegetation sampling sites from years 2005–2015 were used as an input for a mathematical model to predict the occurrence of the charophytes (Fig. 1). The presence-absence data of the species was collected as described in the previous paragraph. Data from 9,987 benthic sampling sites from years 2005–2019 were used as an input for a mathematical model to predict the occurrence of the gammarid amphipods (Fig. 1). Temporal replicates of sampling sites were removed and data only from the most recent sampling event was retained. Geographical coordinates were determined in each sampling site using a handheld GPS device.
2.3 Environmental data

Water depth, wave exposure, salinity, temperature and sediments were used as abiotic environmental variables in the modelling process. All the abiotic data layers were already available as raster layers in the geographical information system (ESRI ArcGIS). Depth data were obtained from the Estonian Maritime Administration. A simplified wave model was used to characterize the wave impact. The wave model incorporates shoreline topography, fetch length and wind data to mimic wave conditions by using empirically derived algorithms [27]. The sediment type (expressed as a value between 0 and 1) described the summed proportion of soft substrate types (mud, clay, sand, gravel) in seabed substrate. Temperature and salinity data both for the present and future conditions originated from the ECHAM5/RCAO general circulation model output [28]. The coupled physical–biogeochemical model used regionalized data from the ECHAM5 [29] and included the output from the 3D Rossby Centre Atmosphere Ocean model [30]. As the ocean circulation model was three-dimensional, we used the data from bottom layer only.

2.4 Future climate scenario

Future climate change scenario included seasonal means of the summer (June to August) and winter (December to February) water temperature and salinity for the period 2070–2099. The future climate scenario based on the A1B emission scenario. The scenario considers the predictions of future developments (technology, economy, demographic change etc.) and emissions of different greenhouse gases, included CO2 [31].

Based on the future climate scenario, the mean salinity is predicted to decrease from 5.5 to 3.7 in the study area. However, the change is not spatially uniform and some areas face a change of up to 3.3. The mean temperature is predicted to increase from 16.6 to 18.3°C in summer and from 1.4 to 4.5°C in winter. Likewise, the temperature change is not spatially uniform with maximum change of up to 7.7°C in summer and up to 3.9°C in winter.

In prescribing the changes in wind conditions, a uniform 10% increase in annual mean wind speed was used. Due to partly counteraction processes, the global sea-level increase and glacio-isostatic uplift in the Fennoscandian uplift area, the sea-level changes were considered negligible in our study area and were not considered in future modelling. Also, no changes in sediment data were used in the present and future scenario models. For more detailed explanations, see Torn et al. [16].

2.5 Modelling

The random forest (RF) modelling method was used to produce current species distribution models and predict the potential changes of distribution based on future climate scenario. Random forests or random decision forests are an ensemble learning method in statistics and machine learning [32], [33]. For more details, see Torn et al. [16] and Peterson et al. [34]. The models were trained using the current climate data (see the previous sections). For future predictions, the values of temperature, salinity and wave exposure based on the future scenarios were entered into the models. Model predictions were calculated to each point in the prediction dataset covering the study area with 100 m rectangular equispaced grid. The point-wise predictions were converted to GIS georeferenced raster layers. The modelling and conversion to rasters was done in the statistical software R 3.6.1 [32]. The R package randomForest [33] was used for RF modelling.
3 RESULTS

3.1 Current distribution of species

The distribution range of charophyte *C. aspera* covers practically whole Estonian coastal sea while distribution of *C. connivens* is mainly restricted to western Estonia and only few findings have been found in Gulf of Finland (Fig. 1). *C. connivens* has been recorded in single locations in the 1960s in Estonian coastal waters, following the continuous enlargement of distribution area since 2005. Since 1995, the frequency of non-native *C. connivens* among findings of other charophytes has been 8–15% (Fig. 2).

Distribution of both studied macrozoobenthos species covers whole Estonian coastline. However, the current distribution area of native *G. salinus* is remarkably wider compared to *G. tigrinus*. *G salinus* occurs also in offshore areas while *G. tigrinus* was mainly found in sheltered bays or near coastline (Fig. 1). *G. tigrinus* has showed significant occupation success in the region since first finding in 2003, currently the non-native species form up to 21% of findings of gammarids (Fig. 3). In addition to rapid increase of distribution range, *G. tigrinus* is dominant species among gammarids in many areas (Fig. 4).

![Figure 2: Frequency of occurrence of charophytes based on long-term (1960–2019) data in the Estonian coastal area](image)

![Figure 3: Frequency of occurrence of gammarids based on long-term (1960–2019) data in the Estonian coastal area.](image)
3.2 Future distribution of species

The future climate change influenced the distribution of all the studied species in the NE Baltic Sea. The climate change scenario indicated that possibly both Chara species increase their range (Fig. 5). Distribution area of the non-native C. connivens was predicted to double while native C. aspera was predicted to increase 18%. The distribution of C. connivens increased notably in several regions of the study area. Contrastingly, a significant decrease of 65% of distribution area of the native G. salinus was predicted. The biggest loss was predicted to take place in Western Estonia Archipelago Sea and in the Gulf of Riga. The distribution of the non-native G. tigrinus was expected to increase 15%. Future increase of G. tigrinus was predicted also in West Estonian Archipelago Sea and in some sheltered bays in the Gulf of Finland.

4 DISCUSSION

According to the current data, the studied native benthic species (C. aspera, G. salinus) are more widely distributed than newcomers. However, the future shifts in temperature, salinity and wave exposure may cause marked changes in distribution of many species. Based on the model predictions, the climate change will cause a significant increase of the distribution area of non-native species compared to native species. Distribution area of C. connivens is predicted to doubled and G. tigrinus to increase 15%. Change of environmental conditions also favour the distribution of native charophyte C. aspera while G. salinus is predicted to lose 65% of its distribution area (Fig. 5). The largest changes were predicted to take place in relatively shallow and moderately sheltered West Estonian Archipelago Sea, where benthic species diversity is reported to be the highest [34].

Distribution patterns of species are defined by their requirements of environmental conditions. In general, charophytes cannot tolerate rough wave action and high salinities and therefore are more frequent in sheltered to moderately sheltered less saline areas in the Baltic Sea [18], [22]. However, species-specific differences of habitat preferences are detected [35]. While the genus Chara has freshwater origin, the salinity tolerance of the species differs. For example, Chara baltica and Chara canescens cannot tolerate salinity below 1.5–2 PSU while all other genus Chara species occurring in the Estonian coastal sea can grow both in fresh and brackish water [35]. In Estonian coastal sea, C. connivens prefers higher temperatures, greater depth, and lower salinity compared to C. aspera.
Figure 5: Distribution of native \((C. \textit{aspera}, \ G. \textit{salinus})\) and non-native species \((C. \textit{connivens}, \ G. \textit{tigrinus})\) as predicted by RF models for current and future climate scenarios. The western part of the study area is zoomed in for easier comparison.
Salinity and the temperature are the most important factors determining the distribution of gammarids [36]. Based on the current and previous studies, *G. salinus* prefers more exposed and deeper areas compared to *G. tigrinus* [22], [24]. Ongoing climate change is predicted to decrease salinity up to 3.3 unit in Estonian marine areas. This decline will cause a shift of the distribution of marine species toward more saline western areas. Due to the salinity tolerance of *G. salinus*, the future shift in salinity in the study area is predicted to cause the disappearance of the species in West Estonian Archipelago Sea. The same trend is predicted also for important habitat forming macrovegetation species like *Furcellaria lumbricalis* and *Zostera marina* [13].

All brackish seas in Europe are subject to intense invasion of non-indigenous species [37]. The wide salinity gradient in brackish water allow for greater range of invasive species to establish suitable areas. The low species richness of the Baltic Sea makes the area especially vulnerable for invasions [14]. Although the breadth of environmental niche space of both non-native species was narrower compared to native species [24], [35], it has not hindered previous or further range expansion of the species in Estonian marine waters. This range expansion is due to the fact that the ongoing climate change in the aquatic environment (e.g. rise of temperature, decrease in salinity) shifts towards more favourable conditions for *C. connivens* and *G. tigrinus*.

Although the first findings of *C. connivens* in the Baltic Sea were in the southern areas, the species disappeared from these areas for decades [23]. For a long period, the distribution of the species in the Baltic Sea has been registered only in few locations in Sweden and Estonia [18]. Currently, the species is constantly expanding its range and abundance in Estonian coastal sea. New findings have been recorded lately in Finland (2004) and Poland (2011, 2012) [20], [38].

Among the recent benthic invaders, *G. tigrinus* have proven to be the most aggressive in the Baltic Sea [39]. Already two years after the first finding, the species accounted for about 50% of the total occurrence of gammarids in the south coast of Saaremaa Island [21]. *G. tigrinus* is more selective about environmental conditions in Estonian waters compared to its native range. Although the species is euryhaline, it is more frequent at salinities 0–5 and prefers sheltered bays in Estonia [39]. These preferences will lead to increase of distribution area of the species in the future due to climate change.

It has been demonstrated in an experimental study [40] that adult gammarids exert a significant predation pressure on juvenile amphipods. Moreover, the breeding season starts earlier in the invasive *G. tigrinus* than in local gammarids and the brood size is larger in the invasive species [40]. Thus, the combined effect of predation on juvenile amphipods and large brood production of *G. tigrinus* could be plausible explanations describing increased abundance of *G. tigrinus* and decrease of local gammarid populations in the north-eastern Baltic Sea. The projected climate change could further facilitate the success of *G. tigrinus*. Additional to the fact that gammarids are important diet for other amphipod individuals [40], gammarids are also considered as one of the most important nektobenthic herbivores and crucial food choice for various coastal fish species [41], [42]. It has been proven that, for example, the native fish species *Gasterosteus aculeatus* prefers *G. tigrinus* as a food source [43], so predicting increased distribution of the species in the future could also support the increase of the certain fish species. However, in general, the predicted summarized loss of the distribution of the both studied gammarid species under the future climate conditions can have a strong negative impact on several fish species who diet contains gammarids.

Predicting future changes is an important tool for risk assessment and management of marine resources. In the future, changing thermohaline conditions, increased storm wave height and turbidity may cause changes in compositions and spatial patterns of bottom
This study predicts species-specific responses to climatic changes and gives an opportunity for better planning of further monitoring activities, environmental legislation and coastal zone management.

5 CONCLUSION
Our monitoring and modelling studies have shown that currently the studied native species, charophyte Chara aspera and gammarid amphipod Gammarus salinus, are more widely distributed than their non-native congeneric species. However, decreasing salinity, increasing temperature and changes in wave exposure will have specific effects on all marine species. Most importantly, the predicted climate change will cause a significant increase in the distribution area of non-native species (Chara connivens, Gammarus tigrinus), which would largely occur on account of native species. The largest, adverse changes were predicted to take place in the relatively shallow and moderately sheltered West Estonian Archipelago Sea, which is currently a hot-spot of benthic biodiversity.
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