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Abstract

Raney nickel-aluminium alloys are widely used in powder form as catalysts for hydrogenation reactions. While the catalytic powder may be produced via several processing routes in this paper we are concerned with powders manufactured via spray atomization of liquid metal. Before use, the powdered nickel-aluminium alloy undergoes a leaching process carried out in sodium hydroxide solution which dissolves aluminium from the solid and leaves a nanoscopically porous nickel rich product. This product is sometimes referred to as ‘spongy nickel’. The kinetics of the process is governed by both the rate of dissolution of aluminium into solution and the rate of surface diffusion of the nickel (which promotes the formation of the nanoscopically porous material). However, individual powder particles may contain more than one NiAl phase. Of particular interest to this work are the NiAl₃ and Ni₂Al₃ phases. This paper describes a preliminary attempt to model the leaching behaviour of these two phases using a kinetic Monte Carlo simulation.
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1 Introduction

Raney-type nickel catalysts have been used extensively in hydrogenation reactions, owing to their high catalytic activity in organic reactions. Raney-type Nickel catalysts are obtained from Ni-Al alloys, whereby a large proportion of the aluminium present is removed via caustic action: immersion in concentrated NaOH solution. The starting composition of the Ni-Al alloy and the leaching time/condition has been shown to have an impact on the structure and catalytic performance of the Raney-Ni product [1-5]. Traditionally, the composition used
for the precursor alloy is Ni – 50wt.% Al, consisting of NiAl₃, Ni₂Al₃ and Al-NiAl₃ phases. The precursor alloy is often doped with other elements and in an effort to increase the catalytic activity/selectivity of the activated Raney-Ni catalyst, although the effect of these promoters in the leaching process is not considered here.

![Magnified image of an atomised Ni – 50wt.% Al powder.](image)

Particles of the Ni-Al alloy may be produced via cast-and-crush or spray atomisation routes. The particles produced range from a few, to in excess of 200 microns diameter. Concentrated aqueous NaOH is used to ‘leach’ away a large proportion of the aluminium whilst leaving the nickel. In addition to significantly increasing the surface area of the powder particles, leaching produces hydrogen, which activates the Ni catalyst:

\[
2(Ni - Al)_{(s)} + 2OH^-_{(aq)} + 6H_2O_{(l)} \rightarrow 2Ni_{\text{Raney}} + 2Al(OH)_{4(aq)} + 3H_2(g) \quad (1)
\]

During the leaching process 10-20wt.% NaOH is used in order to prevent the formation of bayerite (Al₂O₃.3H₂O) which is produced when the aluminate formed in solution is precipitated at lower pH.

\[
2AlO_2^- + 4H_2O \rightarrow Al_2O_3.3H_2O + 2OH^- \quad (2)
\]

The leaching process is carried out at elevated temperatures (~50ºC) and produces a highly pyrophoric catalyst that requires storage in water or alcohol.

Two dominant processes that occur during this process are the dissolution/diffusion of the aluminium and the solid-state diffusion of the nickel present in the phases. Although it has been stated that the Ni – 50wt.% Al alloy contains the Al-NiAl₃ phase, it is the NiAl₃ and Ni₂Al₃ phases that are the concern of this paper as observation shows they are by far the most dominant phases present. In order to model the differences in the leaching behaviour of these phases, containing nickel and aluminium in differing ratios, the kinetic Monte Carlo (kMC) technique has been employed. In a seminal paper Erlebacher
et al [6] used this technique to model the leaching of silver from a Au – 50at.% Ag alloy, examining the evolution of nanoporosity.

An attempt is made here to model the structural transformations during the leaching of the precursor alloy to produce Raney-Ni catalysts using a kMC bond-breaking approach.

2 Kinetic Monte Carlo (kMC)

2.1 Rates of diffusion and dissolution

In order to simulate the time evolution of the leaching of a Raney-Ni structure a kinetic Monte Carlo method is used. As such, it is a requirement that the processes taking place, i.e. adatom diffusion (Ni or Al) and aluminium dissolution, occur at known rates that serve as the inputs of the model. In the case of the diffusion and dissolution of atoms, the processes are assumed to proceed at a rate described by the following Arrhenius relationships respectively:

\[
k_n^{\text{diff}} = v_{\text{diff}} \exp \left( \frac{-nE_b}{k_b T} \right) \tag{3a}
\]

\[
k_n^{\text{diss}} = v_{\text{diss}} \exp \left( \frac{-nE_b}{k_b T} \right) \tag{3b}
\]

where \( k_n^{\text{diff}} \) is the rate constant for diffusion of an atom (Ni or Al), \( k_n^{\text{diss}} \) is the rate constant for dissolution of an atom (Al only), the prefactors \( v_{\text{diff}} \) and \( v_{\text{diss}} \) are attempt frequencies, \( n \) is the number of bonds, \( k_b \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is the absolute temperature (K) and the bond energy is taken as \( E_b = 0.15 \text{eV} \).

The diffusion of both elements present means that the final nanoscopic spongy Nickel structure is not revealed by leaching but rather is constructed during leaching by diffusion of Ni adatoms. (Although Ni adatoms do not dissolve there exists a case in the model whereby the Ni atoms may be removed. Should a Ni adatom be present at the surface where it is only neighboured by a single aluminium atom, which is itself selected randomly for dissolution, the Ni atom would become unattached. For simplicity, this Ni atom is removed from the simulation.) Equations 3a and 3b mean that atoms with fewer bonds are more likely to dissolve or diffuse. Clearly, adatoms diffusing to lower energy sites (i.e. sites where they have more bonds) will tend to become less likely to diffuse further. In this way Ni adatoms tend to cluster together at exposed surfaces and build up a nanoscopic structure.

Knowledge of the rates of all permitted transitions allows the time increment for each iteration of the model to be calculated. A residence-time algorithm is used by the model to model both of the above processes to model the evolution of the active catalyst.
2.2 Bortz–Kalos–Liebowitz (BKL) algorithm

The Bortz–Kalos–Liebowitz algorithm was used to determine the evolution of the spongy nickel on an event-by-event basis. It proceeds as follows.
1. The time is set to zero; $t = 0$.
2. Determine all possible transition states and calculate the cumulative function, $R_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} k_j$ for $i = 1 \ldots N$, total number of transitions.
3. Get a uniform random number, $u \in (0,1]$.
4. Find the event to carry out, $i$, for which $R_{i-1} < uR < R_i$.
5. Find all transitions and associated rates, $k_i$ that have changed due to the transition.
6. Get another random number, $u \in (0,1]$.
7. Update the time with $t = t + \Delta t$, where $\Delta t = -\log u / R$.
8. Return to step 2.

2.3 Crystal structures

The simulations are performed with the atoms arranged on a simple cubic orthogonal computational mesh. Since more than one phase maybe present, it cannot be assumed that all sites are suitable for an atom to be positioned in each phase, nor are neighbouring sites on the mesh necessarily suitable for diffusion within a particular phases. The phases considered with respect to crystal structure are NiAl$_3$, Ni$_2$Al$_3$ and NiAl. (Within the computational mesh, some vacancies are distributed randomly that permit solid-state diffusion as an event that can take place, although this is of negligible effect.)

2.3.1 NiAl$_3$

The NiAl$_3$ phase in an orthorhombic phase, although to permit the use of a cubic mesh the lengths of the lattice are distorted. This allows the approximation of the NiAl$_3$ phase to that of a cubic AuCu$_3$ structure.

2.3.2 Ni$_2$Al$_3$

The Ni$_2$Al$_3$ phase is less straightforward than that of NiAl$_3$. The structure of this phase can be derived from the CsCl structure by the removal of every third (111) plane of Ni atoms [7]. The simple cubic lattice is maintained by a slight contraction along the (111) direction.

2.3.3 NiAl

It important to include an NiAl phase in the model since this phase is resistant to the leaching of aluminium in the NaOH solution and exhibits different electrochemical behaviour [8]. The NiAl phase can be created and destroyed during the simulation via the diffusion of Ni and Al atoms although this phase is not included as a starting constituent of the simulations.
3 Results and discussion

Modelling the leaching of NiAl alloys to produce Raney-Ni catalysts using the kMC method leads to inherent advantages and disadvantages. kMC allows realistic timescales to be achieved that can be related to experimentation, whereas longer times are hard to achieve using molecular dynamics methods. There is no requirement by kMC for thermodynamic equilibrium; however mechanisms and associated energy barriers have to be known – in this case two have been identified. Using the BKL algorithm, the time increment alters as mechanisms become more or less prevalent, which can be beneficial. A drawback of using kMC for modelling the leaching of large NiAl powders is large CPU times. This requires efficient coding of the model, data structures etc. Finally, it is accepted that the Arrhenius law may not be fully adequate, such as when kinetic energy is accumulated when crossing a barrier and can be used to cross other barriers.

Below are very preliminary results from using the kMC model, examining the effect of composition and crystal structures of the phases present. In addition, the relative leaching behaviour of the two phases is assessed, including the orientation of the particular phases exposed to the aqueous NaOH solution.

![Figure 2: Solid solution of Ni-Al (Ni – light, Al – dark, FCC assumed). Mesh: left-hand side 60at.%Al, right hand side 75at.%Al; (a) initial mesh, and (b) after leaching.](image)

3.1 Effect of composition alone

Shown in figure 2 is a hypothetical FCC solid solution of Ni and Al where the right-hand side of the mesh contains 15at.% more Al than the left. During the simulation, the 75at.% Al is subject to a higher degree of Al removal. The higher Al content in contact with the NaOH solution permits more rapid dissolution, in addition to a larger amount of permitted sites for the Ni adatoms to diffuse to, exposing further Al atoms.

The faster break-up of the higher Al-composition region in an advancing-front-type reaction and the greater cohesion of the lower Al-composition region can be seen in figure 2. This behaviour tends to agree with observation and lent some initial confidence in the use of the kMC method.
3.2 Effect of atomic arrangement

As stated previously, NiAl$_3$ and Ni$_2$Al$_3$ phases have a different crystallography to one another. Figure 3 incorporates the crystal structure of the NiAl$_3$ phase, whilst still approximating the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ as regions of solid solution. It can be seen from figure 3 that the crystal structure of the NiAl$_3$ promotes a structure to be formed that is much changed from the solid solution. The solid solution Ni$_2$Al$_3$ maintains the same form during leaching, although in this case there has been a build up of nickel at the entrance to adjacent developing nanopores. The effect of this would be that it would further reduce the Al removal rate of the Ni$_2$Al$_3$. The formation of these pores would inevitably effect the diffusion and thereby affect processes occurring [9].

![Approximated NiAl$_3$ crystallography in central region, hypothetical random solid solution assumed for Ni$_2$Al$_3$ at either edge. Ni – dark and Al – light; (a) initial mesh, and (b) after leaching.](image)

Finally, using the assumptions outlined in section 2.3.1 and 2.3.2, and applying the same lattice parameter to both phases, it was possible to construct a simple cubic orthogonal computational mesh containing structured regions of both phases of interest. This is shown in figure 4(a).

Simply from observation, the NiAl$_3$ phase (right) in figure 4(a) should break up more quickly than the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ phase present (left), owing to the fact that there exists a layer of Al over the NiAl$_3$, whereas the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ phase is covered with Ni atoms. Initially, removal of aluminium from the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ would require diffusion of the Ni or removal of Al from the NiAl$_3$ phase at the interface of the two phases.

As expected, the NiAl$_3$ phase disintegrates much more quickly than Ni$_2$Al$_3$, which can be seen from above in figure 4(b). The leaching from the NiAl$_3$ phase reveals a structure that is comparable to that which characterises a Raney-Ni catalyst. Importantly, figure 4(b) also shows that FCC Ni forming over both phases.

3.3 Orientation of exposure

Until this point, leaching has only been simulated as occurring in [100]-type directions (i.e. from the top of the orthogonal mesh in figure 4 downwards). In an attempt to consider more orientations, a spherical particle is modelled to take
account of multi-directional exposure to the NaOH solution. The starting spheres of the NiAl$_3$ and Ni$_2$Al$_3$ are shown in figure 5(a). The spherical regions of each phase shown in figure 5 represent particles that have radii of approximately 100 atoms. It is recognised that this is much smaller than the real particles, highlighting the need for improvements to both memory efficiency and code optimisation in order to model larger particles.

Figure 4: NiAl$_3$ and Ni$_2$Al$_3$ (left and top in (a) and (b) respectively) structures included. (a) initial mesh, and (b) after leaching: Ni – dark and Al – light.

The spheres represented in figure 5(a) have been leached from all exposed surfaces to produce the structures shown in figure 5(b). The resulting leached simulated structures that can be seen in figure 5(b) once again show a greater break-up for the NiAl$_3$ phase and a greater cohesion demonstrated by the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ phase. However, this difference is far less pronounced in this case than for the [100]-type dissolution in figure 4, indicating that the starting condition/position is critical at the start of a simulation. The surface of the leached spheres for both cases is predominantly Ni, although on-going experimentation suggests that a higher Al content would be expected.

Figure 5(b) shows that the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ particle contains a greater number of Al atoms within the evolving pores. This may be recently revealed Al atoms that have not been removed, but may also be the NiAl phase described in section 2.3.3, which would be identified in the simulation and prevent Al being removed from this phase in contact with the NaOH solution. In addition, the structural changes that take place in Ni$_2$Al$_3$ phase during caustic leaching is a topic of much debate [10]. Predictions made by a model with respect to these structural changes would be of much interest.

Figure 6 shows the removal rate (R) of Al and the total number of atoms exposed at the surface (N) for both cases in figure 5. For the Ni$_2$Al$_3$ particle, despite being haphazard, a fairly constant removal of Al was observed with a continually increasing surface area, shown in figure 6(a) (left). In contrast, the NiAl$_3$ particle in figure 5 showed a rapid rate of Al removal, which is completed earlier on reflecting the quicker break-up of this phase, figure 6(b) (left). The
number of atoms at the surface of the NiAl$_3$ particle increases much more quickly than Ni$_2$Al$_3$, a constant level is reached after a relatively short time, figure 6(b) (right). It also appears that the NiAl$_3$ particle is beginning to coarsen (i.e. a slightly decreasing surface area) after further time by surface diffusion of Ni and coarsening leading to a reduction in the number of atoms present at the surface, shown in figure 6 (right).

Figure 5: Simulated single-phase spheres of NiAl$_3$ and Ni$_2$Al$_3$ phase structure; (a) $t=0$, and (b) $t=200s$. (N.B. these are not representative of a multi-phase powder.)
Figure 6: The rate of aluminium removal (atoms/s), $R$, and the number of atoms at the surfaces of the octants shown in figure 5 over time, $t$; (a) $\text{Ni}_2\text{Al}_3$, and (b) $\text{NiAl}_3$.

4 Conclusions

The prototype kMC model appears capable of simulating features of the leaching process assuming that all the relevant physiochemical processes taking place have been included and assigned appropriate rates. It has been shown that the leaching of hypothetical solid solutions appears logical. The $\text{NiAl}_3$ and $\text{Ni}_2\text{Al}_3$ phases that are present in cast-and-crush and spray-atomised NiAl powders can be approximately represented on a simple cubic computational grid using some simple assumptions and minor adjustments in lattice parameters. The simulated leaching of these phases can be seen to progress as would be expected and yield leached structures close to those observed for NiAl alloys leached with aqueous NaOH. Future work will concentrate on improving the quantitative nature of the model, attempting to link the predicted morphologies to catalytic behaviour and increasing the size of the computational domain to be able to deal with realistically sized particles.
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