Measurement of vehicle ground speed with inertia sensors – computation issues
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Abstract

This paper proposes a new method for the measurement of train speed using bogie mounted inertial sensors, which may achieve a measurement accuracy far better than what is specified in UIC-5014-05 for wheel slide control. The proposed method measures the speed from a time shift between the dynamic motions of two wheelsets which are derived from the responses of a railway bogie to track excitations, in particular track irregularities that always exist in rail tracks. Two inertial sensors will be required and mounted onto a bogie frame to measure the bounce and pitch accelerations (or lateral and yaw accelerations). The measured signals will be processed via dedicated filters to produce estimated wheelset movements (i.e. the track irregularities). The principle of the proposed method will be presented, but the paper will be mainly focussed on the assessment of computational demand and impact on measurement performance.
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1 Introduction

The absolute speed of trains is one of essential parameters in railway operations and also essential for modern traction and braking control systems. Conventionally, the vehicle speed is measured indirectly from the rotational speed of wheels using encoders [5]. However the measurement accuracy of the technique is compromised by changes in wheel radius (due to wear, or lateral movement of the wheels) and limited resolution of the encoders acceptable for rail applications, but more critically the measurement may become completely unacceptable when wheel slip or slide occurs in acceleration (under traction) and deceleration (in braking) operation modes. A number of alternative
measurement methods have been investigated, including the use of Doppler radars, eddy current sensors and video imaging [1–3]. Doppler radars are capable of measuring accurately train speeds at the positions/times of interest, but are not best suited for a continuous measurement which is essential in traction and braking control systems. The eddy current sensors or video imaging are proposed to detect time delays between two channels of measured signals, but there are practical limitations for their widespread application. In addition, it is possible to use GPS to derive vehicle speed from changes in train positions which could provide a simple solution if the reliability and availability of the signals can be ensured in all conditions.

This paper presents a study into the measurement of the vehicle absolute speed by detecting the time shift of track irregularities at two different wheelsets, typically the leading and trailing wheelsets of a bogie. Inertia sensors mounted on a bogie frame are required to measure the vibrations in the bounce and pitch directions, and then used to derive/estimate the track irregularities at the two wheelsets from the dynamic responses of the bogie to input excitations. The time shift between the two estimated track irregularities is then detected and converted into the speed measurement. The basic principle and general performance assessments of the proposed measurement technique are given in [6, 7], but this paper will be to examine main issues that affect the computational requirements such as the selection of step size for data sampling, the duration of running time windows, delays in the measurement, and detection reliability.

The paper is organised as follows. The configuration of a bogie vehicle used in the study and the principle of the proposed speed measurement method are introduced in section 2. The evaluation of the performance and robustness is provided in section 3 and practicalities such as measurement requirement and general issues related to computation demand are discussed in section 4. Conclusions are given in section 5.

2 Vehicle configuration and speed measurement principle

Figure 1 shows a side view diagram of a conventional bogie vehicle used in the study, consisting of a vehicle body, two bogies and four wheelsets. Coil springs and linear dampers are modelled for the primary suspensions, where linearised models are used to approximate the properties of air-springs in the secondary suspensions. Only motions relevant to the study are considered, including the bounce and pitch movements of the car body and the two bogie frames. The external excitations to the vehicle considered in the study are (random) track irregularities due to track misalignment and are generated in the computer simulations to represent the roughness of a typical main line with an appropriate spatial power spectrum ($A_r/f_r^2$) for the track vertical displacement.

The new measurement technique is developed based on the observation that all wheelsets in a vehicle travel through any positions/sections of rail tracks consecutively with delays determined by the travelling speed and the distances between the wheelsets. As the wheel spacing is largely a fixed vehicle parameter,
there is clearly a direct link between the time shifts in wheelset responses to the same track excitations and the vehicle travelling speed.

Fig. 2 shows the key stages of the speed measurement scheme studied in this paper. Two inertia sensors are required to provide the signals for the bounce and pitch motions. Although it is possible to use two accelerometers mounted above the two suspensions, in practice it would be more convenient to use a single sensor box at/near the middle of the bogie frame to measure the bounce acceleration (from an accelerometer) and the pitch rate (from a gyro). The use of the bogie based sensors is preferred to a more direct approach of mounting sensors on wheelset axles, because of the issues related to the sensor range and reliability in a harsh operation environment.

The bounce and pitch motions are both affected by the inputs at the two wheelsets and hence the time delay (and the speed) from the leading wheelset to the trailing one can sometimes be detectable from the measured signals directly. However, the effect of the suspensions compromises the reliability, accuracy and speed range of the detection [6]. To overcome the problem, two filters are designed to estimate the track irregularities at the two wheelsets so that the effect
of the bogie/suspension dynamics and associated uncertainties are removed. There have been studies on the use of model based techniques to estimate track inputs [4, 8], but for practical implementation it is also possible to use fairly simple filters to derive the signals accurate enough for detecting the time shifts between the two channels even though the absolute estimations may be less precise [7]. The detection of the time delay between the track irregularities (or any two signals) can be achieved by computing their cross correlations and detecting the time shift at peak values. The time shift can then be readily converted to the speed signal.

3 Performance evaluation

The UIC standard UIC-5014-05 for wheel slide control (in braking) defines specific requirements for the measurement of vehicle speed - relevant data for the measurement accuracy is given below:

- 5km/h (1.39m/s), if measured speed is above the real speed
- 10 km/h (2.78m/s), if the real speed is lower than 200 km/h
- 15 km/h (4.17m/s), if the vehicle real speed is above 200 km/h

Those may be simplified to the measurement error of 5km/h (1.39m/s) or less as a guideline for the performance assessment in the study.

Fig 3 shows the cross correlations between the estimated track irregularities at the vehicle speed of 60m/s, where the sampling interval is 1ms. Three different time windows of 0.1s, 0.3s and 0.5s are used, and in all three cases a peak value is detected at the time shift 42ms – indicating a measured speed of 59.52m/s and an measurement error of less than 0.5m/s. The error is caused by the truncation in the

![Figure 3: Cross correlations, at the speed of 60m/s ($T_s = 1ms$).](image)
detection of time shift. For the sampling interval of 1ms, the maximum error due to
the truncation is 1.44 m/s at the speed (1.96m/s if the speed is 70m/s). A smaller
sampling interval will be needed to reduce the truncation error [9].

Although the selected time windows produce the same result in the time shift
detection, there is a potential problem that the detection may become unreliable
as a reduced time window tends to have relatively large cross correlations at
other time shifts compared to the peak value. This is better demonstrated in Fig 4
where the cross correlations in all three cases are scaled to the same peak value.
Therefore it is essential to determine and use appropriate time windows at
different speeds and on different tracks, which may be ensured by monitoring a
peak to mean ratio.

In general, longer time windows for cross correlation computations are
required for detecting lower vehicle speeds because of the reduced signal
frequencies in track irregularities. Fig. 5 shows the cross correlations of the
estimated track inputs at the speed of 20m/s and Fig. 6 is the scaled version of
Fig. 5. A time shift of 125ms at the peak value is detected in all cases, which
gives a measured speed of 20m/s. There is no truncation error in this case,
although there can be an error of upto 0.16m/s for the sampling interval of 1ms
in a worst case scenario.

Compared with the high speed of 60m/s, the time windows have to be
substantially increased in order to achieve similar level of peak/mean ratio. The
increased time window may introduce additional measurement errors when a
train is accelerated or decelerated. If the maximum accelerations of 0.5 m/s² (e.g.
for inter-city trains) and 1 m/s² (e.g. for urban rapid transit) are considered, a
time window of 1s represents a typical delay of 0.5s in time shift detection, or
measurement error of 0.25m/s and 0.5 m/s respectively.

![Figure 4: Scaled cross correlations, at the speed of 60m/s (Ts = 1ms).](image)
The most challenging aspect of the proposed method is to push the detection boundary at the very low speed, where both the magnitude and the frequency of the track irregularities detectable from the bogie frame will be very low. Fig. 7 shows three cross correlations at the speed of 1.389 m/s (or 5 km/h) with three different time windows of 5, 7 and 9 s. The effect of the sensor noises (set at 1% of the maximum acceleration at the speed of 60 m/s) is clearly demonstrated, but
the noises may not necessarily present a major problem in detecting the peak values [9]. Even with the noises, the detected time shifts in the three cases are 198*9ms, 199*9ms and 200*9ms – giving the measured speed of 1.403, 1.396 and 1.389m/s respectively. The sampling interval used is 9ms, which would give a worst truncation error of 0.5%.

Figure 7: Cross correlations, at the speed of 1.389 m/s ($T_s = 9ms$).

The more serious problem is that a much increased time window is needed at such low speed in order to detect the time shift between the two signals reliably (using the standard cross correlation calculations), which would result in not only substantial delay in the speed detection/updating, but also increased errors in acceleration/braking. Research is ongoing to study more intelligent data processing solutions to reduce the time for detecting the time shift and/or to compensate for the delay.

4 Computation demand

To implement the proposed method in real time for a continuous measurement of the vehicle speed, an on-line data processing using running time windows will need to be carried out in following steps (and repeated in a time interval of $T_s$ which may be varied on line).

A) Execution of two filters for the estimation of the track irregularities at the two wheelsets. Simple second order filters have been shown to be sufficient
for the application [7]. The z-transform of the discrete time (digital) filters is given in equation 1. The output signals from the filters are then re-combined to give the estimated track data. The required operations are: 12 Multiply; 5 Add and 5 Subtract operations for each time interval.

\[ G(z) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 z^{-1} + a_2 z^{-2}}{1 + b_1 z^{-1} + b_2 z^{-2}} \]  

(1)

B) Computation of the cross correlations \( R_{xy} \) as shown in equation 2, where \( v_{w1} \) and \( v_{w2} \) are estimated derivatives of the track vertical displacements at the leading and trailing wheelsets respectively; \( N \) is the total number of sampled data used for each time window \( (T_{w/hw} = N \times T_s) \) of the cross correlation computation; and \( m \) is the number of shifted intervals. The time shift for each element of the resulted sequence \( R_{xy}(m) \) will be \( T_{\text{shift}} = m \times T_s \). This is the most computational intensive part of the data processing and in a worst case scenario could require \( N^2 \) number of operations for Multiply, Add/Subtract and Store/Read Data. It is possible (with more intelligent programming to reduce the operations to \( N \) Multiply operations, \( N \) Add and \( N \) Subtract operations plus save and read data to/from memory \( N \) times.

\[ R_{xy}(m) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{w1}(i + m) \cdot v_{w2}(i) \]  

(2)

C) Detection of the time shift at the peak value \( M \) and peak_square/mean_square ratio \( P_c \). The former is used in the next step for speed calculation and the latter provides an indication of ‘distinctiveness’ of the peak value to ensure the reliability of the detection. The detection of the time shift \( M \) may be achieved in \( N \) number of data comparisons, although further improvement may be needed to reduce the effect of sensor noises at low speed by computing an average of the time shifts of several highest peaks. The computation of \( P_c \) would involve \( N+1 \) Multiply, \( N \) Add and 1 Divide operation(s) per time interval.

D) Calculation of the vehicle speed as indicated in equation 3, where \( 2L_b \) is the wheel spacing. The maximum computation will be 1 Divide operation per time interval. 1 Multiply operation would be needed whenever the time interval is changed, and some additional overhead in computation is also necessary to determine appropriate time intervals from previous/current speeds.

\[ V_m = \frac{2L_b}{M \times T_s} \]  

(3)

Clearly, there is a high level of demand for computation. To evaluate the feasibility and cost implications for real applications of the measurement scheme, a medium spec DSP chip (at the cost of around US$50) is selected – key performance parameters are given in Table 1. For a time window with 1000 data, the estimated computation time based on the device is less than 0.07ms for single
precision calculations and less than 0.12ms for double precision operations. Both figures are much smaller than typical sampling intervals required for the truncation error considerations.

Table 1: Performance of TMS320C6413B (32 bits, 300MHz) floating point DSP - figures in bracket indicate clock cycles for pipelined instructions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Single precision</th>
<th>Double precision</th>
<th>Number of Instructions in 0.1ms (SP)</th>
<th>Number of Instructions in 0.1ms (DP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Add</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>6 (2)</td>
<td>10000, (30000)</td>
<td>5000, (15000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtract</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
<td>10000, (30000)</td>
<td>5000, (15000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiply</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>6 (2)</td>
<td>10000, (30000)</td>
<td>5000, (15000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divide</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If/then</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load a word</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>7500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Store a word</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>30000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Concluding remarks

A simple and practical method for the measurement of vehicle ground speed have been presented, which would be able to provide an effective measurement over a wide range of operation speeds and conditions including wheel slip/slide. The computation demand for real applications have been assessed, which indicate that the measurement scheme may be readily implemented using a low cost medium spec DSP device.
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