3-D magnetic field of coils with an open metallic core in boundary-integral approach

K. Pawluk

Department of Fundamental Research in Electrotechnics, Institute of Electrical Engineering, 04-703 Warsaw, ul. Pozaryskiego 28, Poland

ABSTRACT

Boundary-integral approach to 3-D stationary magnetic fields exited by coils and/or current-carrying rings is presented. Metallic elements placed variously in neighbours of the coils are considered like inner boundaries for outer magnetic field. The boundary-integral model is formulated for indirect boundary quantities: monopole and/or dipole surface densities of the 'magnetic charge'. The author's BIMS package has been performed. Some results of computation of the magnetic field in an open magnetic core put inside or outside of the coils, axially or not, are presented. The original post-processing of the package affords possibilities to accomplish the particular design, rather untypical in engineering practice. This is a matter, among other things, that the coil inductance influenced by both the magnetic flux in the open core and the surface currents on screen plates can be precisely calculated.

INTRODUCTION

Boundary-integral model is to be effectively applied in order to study some parts of electric devices consisting of coils, current-carrying rings and metallic elements placed in the neighbours of them. The 3-D patterns that may be generally devoid of symmetry are considered. The boundary-integral model has been formulated for indirect boundary quantities: σ_m monopole surface density of the 'magnetic charge' (in teslas) and τ_m dipole surface density of the 'magnetic charge' (in teslas) generating single- and double-layer potentials respectively - see *Brebbia & co-authors* [11]. Both these quantities have fictitious nature but it has been proved that using them is useful for the model conception and effective for the computer code algorithms. The idea of the use of magnetic surface densities like the boundary variables was presented by *Tozoni* [8] as a secondary sources method on the form of two alternative models of stationary fields. The author observed, following the *Courant &*

Boundary Element Technology

Gilbert [1] fundamentals and *Jaswon* [2] formulations, that one common model obeying the secondary sources approach may be created in which both types of the magnetic charge surface densities are applied. This idea was comprehensively presented in [3] and shortly marked in [4]. Same test results pertaining to the distribution of the magnetic flux density on an open magnetic core have been reported in [6].

The monopole surface density σ_m is defined in any point P on the boundary Γ_f formed by the linear ferromagnetic body characterized by relative magnetic constant $\mu_{rf} \ge 1$. This quantity can be realized by supposition that a vary thin transition layer has being close against the body surface. Assuming that μ_r varies continuously from 1 to μ_{rf} crosswise the layer we gather that for infinite thin layer the monopole surface density of the magnetic charge may be imagined. The defining formula for σ_m uses the normal magnetic strength at two points P₁ and P₊ at both sides of Γ_f

$$\sigma_{\rm m}({\rm P}) = -\mu_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu_{\rm rf}}\right) H_{\rm L}({\rm P}_{\rm L}) = -\mu_0 \left(\mu_{\rm rf} - 1\right) H_{\rm L}({\rm P}_{\rm L})$$
(1)

expressing correctly the interface conditions for normal components of the magnetic field strength. In similar way the interface conditions for tangential components of the magnetic flux density in any point P on the boundary Γ_d formed by pseudo-diamagnetic medium of $\mu_{rd} \ll 1$, are described by the surface gradient of τ_m

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{I}}\tau_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{P}) = (1 - \mu_{\mathrm{rd}}) B_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{P}_{-}) = (\frac{1}{\mu_{\mathrm{rd}}} - 1) B_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{P}_{+})$$
(2)

The boundary variables obey the second kind Fredholm's equations set that with the simplest embedded gauge has the following form

$$\sigma_{\rm m}({\rm P}) + \int_{\Gamma_{\rm f}} K_{\rm f}({\rm P},{\rm Q}) \sigma_{\rm m}({\rm Q}) \, \mathrm{d}{\rm Q} + \int_{\Gamma_{\rm d}} K_{\rm f}'({\rm P},{\rm Q}) \tau_{\rm m}({\rm Q}) \, \mathrm{d}{\rm Q} = -u_{\sigma}({\rm P})$$

$$\tau_{\rm m}({\rm P}) - \int_{\Gamma_{\rm f}} K_{\rm d}'({\rm Q},{\rm P}) \sigma_{\rm m}({\rm Q}) \, \mathrm{d}{\rm Q} - \int_{\Gamma_{\rm d}} K_{\rm d}({\rm P},{\rm Q}) \tau_{\rm m}({\rm Q}) \, \mathrm{d}{\rm Q} = +u_{\tau}({\rm P})$$
(3)

where the kernels are given by formulae (4) and (5). They are expressed as relevant partial derivatives of the position vector r_{QP} with respect to *n* and *v* symbolizing the local co-ordinates externally normal to the boundaries at the points P (field point) and Q (source point) respectively

$$K_{f}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) = \frac{\lambda_{f}}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} |\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{QP}}|^{-1} \quad ; \quad K_{f}'(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) = \frac{\lambda_{f}}{2\pi} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial n \partial \nu} |\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{QP}}|^{-1} \quad (4)$$

148

Boundary Element Technology

$$K_{d}'(P,Q) = \frac{\lambda_{d}}{2\pi} |\mathbf{r}_{QP}|^{-1} ; \quad K_{d}(P,Q) = \frac{\lambda_{d}}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} |\mathbf{r}_{QP}|^{-1}$$
(5)

where the permeability factors λ_f and λ_d in (4) and (5) are

$$\lambda_{f} = \frac{1 - \mu_{rf}}{1 + \mu_{rf}} ; \quad \lambda_{d} = \frac{1 - \mu_{rd}}{1 + \mu_{rd}}$$
(6)

149

and it is realized that for the ideal ferromagnetic medium $\mu_{rf} \rightarrow \infty$ what implies that $\lambda_f \rightarrow -1$ and for ideal diamagnetic medium (modelling supra-conducting screens) $\mu_{rd} \rightarrow 0$ implying $\lambda_d \rightarrow 1$. The right-hand-side terms of equations (3) $u_o(P) = 2\mu_0 \lambda_f H_{\perp}(P)$ and $u_r(P) = 2\mu_0 \lambda_d \psi_m(P)$ are to be determined on the positions of the core and screen boundaries with the assumption that the same core and screen elements are removed from the system under consideration.

The authors have performed the package termed BIMS based on the above magnetostatic model appropriated for design the structures composed of coils, cores and screens. The chosen computational results are shown in fig. 1. The distribution of σ_m has been computed like the solution of equations (3). The magnetic flux distribution calculated accordingly to the secondary sources approach as the joint effect of both sources: the given ring current exciting the magnetic flux in free space and σ_m appointing $\mu_0 H_{\perp}$ accordingly to formula (1) under assumption that $\mu_{rf} = 1000$. The results have been submitted to a kriging treatment to form the contour lines of B_{\perp} .



Figure 1: Magnetic flux density on a front wall of the open magnetic cores of lengths: (a) - 20 mm, (b) - 25 mm excited by 20 A carrying by the ring of average radius equal to 35,5 mm and the ring body cross-section 2,5; 0,3 mm.

150

Boundary Element Technology

MAGNETIC FLUX DUE TO BOUNDARY QUANTITIES

The intrinsic component of the ring-shaped coil inductance can be approximately calculated accordingly to commonly known algorithms. In author's paper [5] some preciseness pertaining to the inductance of the conducting ring has been reported. Let us develop this problem when the screen and cores are present in the neighbours of rings or ring-shaped coils, with reference to the BIMS package. The post-processing codes of the package are appropriated for engineering design. Besides the quantities directly obtained by solution of equations (3) some integral quantities are need for practice. Let us now to fix the main subject of the paper upon the algorithm for computing the magnetic flux of the ring influenced by the monopole and/or dipole surface densities of the magnetic charge. Fig.2 shows the outline of the object under consideration.



Figure 2: Model configuration

Magnetic flux due to dipole density

The magnetic flux Φ_c has to be determined through the surface Γ_c inside the circle \mathscr{L}_c of radius r_0 . The flux is generated by τ_m distributed on the surface Γ_d of the metallic element Ω situated at some distance from \mathscr{L}_c . The surface distribution of τ_m is seen as being previously determined. Considering the rectangles mesh on the whole Γ_d we determine mn^2 points Q_i , where: m - number of rectangles, n - order of the applied Legendre's polynomial determining the *Gauss'* quadrature. Let to each point $Q_i \in \Gamma_d$ the elementary magnetic dipole moment $j_i = j_i e_i$ (in teslas metres cube) be attributed that has obviously one non zero component, externally normal to $\xi \eta$ plane

Boundary Element Technology

$$j_{i} = \frac{S_{i}}{4} w_{jk} \tau_{m}(\xi_{j}, \eta_{k})$$
(7)

151

where: S_i - surface of the mesh rectangle, w_{jk} - *Gauss'* quadrature weights, ξ_j , η_k - local co-ordinates on the rectangle. The total magnetic flux Φ_c on Γ_c shall be the sum of mn² elementary fluxes Φ_{ei} involved by j_i . Relating to the x, y, z co-ordinate system marked on Fig. 2 we determine Q_i like the source point $Q_i(\rho_0, 0, 0) \in \Gamma_d$ and the field point $P_i(r_0 \cos\varphi, r_0 \sin\varphi, z_0) \in \mathcal{L}_c$, then the following Stokes' theorem is valid

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{ci} = \int_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}_{c}} \boldsymbol{A} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} A_{\varphi} \boldsymbol{r}_{0} d\boldsymbol{\varphi}$$
(8)

where the magnetic vector potential is expressed

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{PQ}} \times \boldsymbol{j}_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{y}}}{|\boldsymbol{r}_{\mathbf{QP}}|^{3}}$$
(9)

The unit vector normal to Γ_d at Q_i is

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{v}} = C_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{x}} + C_{\boldsymbol{y}}\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{y}} + C_{\boldsymbol{z}}\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{z}}$$
(10)

where c_x , c_y , c_z - direction cosines between ν -axis and the x, y, z co-ordinate axes respectively. After necessary substitutions and formal operations the tangential component of the magnetic vector potential is to be written

$$A_{\varphi} = \frac{j_{i}}{4\pi} \frac{C_{y} z_{0} \sin\varphi + (C_{x} z_{0} + C_{z} \rho_{0}) \cos\varphi - C_{z} r_{0}}{[(r_{0} \cos\varphi - \rho_{0})^{2} + (r_{0} \sin\varphi)^{2} + z_{0}^{2}]^{3/2}}$$
(11)

that leads up to two parts of integral formulae (8) for $\Phi_{ci} = \Phi_{i1} + \Phi_{i2}$. The first term comprising both the second and third members of the numerator of formula (11) can be transformed into a general complete elliptical integral that using the *Press & co-authors* [10] notation

$$\int_{0}^{\overline{2}} \frac{(\cos^2\psi + b\sin^2\psi) \, \mathrm{d}\psi}{(\cos^2\psi + p\sin^2\psi) \sqrt{1 - k\sin^2\psi}} = \operatorname{cel}(k_c, p, a, b)$$

is to written

π

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{i1} = F_{i1} \operatorname{cel}\left(k_c, p, a, b\right) \tag{12}$$

Boundary Element Technology

where:
$$F_{i1} = \frac{j_i r_0 [c_z (\rho_0 + r_0) + c_x z_0]}{\pi [(r_0 + \rho_0)^2 + z_0^2]^{3/2}}$$
; $k^2 = 1 - k_c^2 = \frac{4 r_0 \rho_0}{(r_0 + \rho_0)^2 + z_0^2}$

and $p = k_c^2$; a = 1; $b = \frac{C_z (r_0 - \rho_0) - C_x z_0}{C_z (r_0 + \rho_0) + C_x z_0}$. Thus, the relevant computer

code for formula (12) is to be simply performed. The sin-member of the numerator of formula (11) is a pseudo-elliptic integral of exact analytical solution. Expressing this integral in the notation similar to (12) we have

$$\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sin\psi\cos\psi\,\mathrm{d}\psi}{(\cos^{2}\psi + p\sin^{2}\psi)\sqrt{1 - k\sin^{2}\psi}} = \mathrm{pel}(k, p)$$

and finely

152

$$\Phi_{i2} = F_{i2} \operatorname{pel}(k, p)$$
 (13)

where: $F_{i2} = \frac{2j_i r_0 c_j z_0}{\pi [(r_0 + \rho_0)^2 + z_0^2]^{3/2}}$ and both k and $p = 1 - k^2$ like in

formula (12) what gives pel(k, p) = $\frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^2}} - 1 \right)$. In many practical

configurations is $c_i = 0$ implying $\Phi_{i2} = 0$ but it is the case of Γ_d either parallel or perpendicular to Γ_c . The use of formulae (12)-(13) is not restricted by the position of the source point Q_i except it is really adjacent to \mathcal{L}_c that does rather not happen in practice.

Magnetic flux due to monopole density

The use of formula (8) to compute the magnetic flux generated by σ_m of the previously calculated distribution is hardly possible because the magnetic vector potential is not simply expressible by the monopole surface density of the magnetic charge. Let us present the algorithm idea leading up to an effect-ive computing this magnetic flux and, in consequence, the inductance of the coil under consideration. We refer ones again to Fig. 2.

Let the surface distribution of σ_m on the walls Γ_f of a ferromagnetic core element be calculated by the BIMS package or it is determined in any other way. Consider the rectangle mesh on the whole Γ_f and determine mn² Gauss' quadrature points Q_i for each of them we should, refereeing to formula (7), attribute the elementary magnetic charge Q_{mi} (in teslas metres squared) as follows

Boundary Element Technology

$$Q_{\rm mi} = \frac{S_{\rm i}}{4} w_{\rm jk} \sigma_{\rm m}(\xi_{\rm j}, \eta_{\rm k}) \tag{14}$$

153

The cylindrical surface Γ_b based on \mathscr{L}_c and expanded to minus infinity is marked in Fig. 2. Providing for various possible positions of Ω we should consider two magnetic fluxes: Φ_c through Γ_c and Φ_b through Γ_b , both joint with the magnetic charge placed inside $\Gamma_b \cap \Gamma_c$ by the *Gauss'* law: $Q_m = \Phi_b + \Phi_c$. To express both of them in dependance upon the elementary magnetic charge we have

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\text{bi}} = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{\text{mi}}}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{z_0}^{-\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{r}_{\text{QP}} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_n}{|\boldsymbol{r}_{\text{QP}}|^3} \boldsymbol{r}_0 \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{z} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \quad ; \quad \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\text{ci}} = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{\text{mi}}}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{z_0} \frac{\boldsymbol{r}_{\text{QP}} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_n}{|\boldsymbol{r}_{\text{QR}}|^3} \rho \, \mathrm{d} \rho \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$$
(15)

where P_b , P_c and e_n for relevant fluxes are marked in fig. 2. The first formula of (15) is preferable; it may be integrated almost everywhere except, owing to singularity, the points $Q_i \in \Gamma_b$. Then, with regard to the *Gauss'* law the following result for Φ_{ci} is obtained

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\text{ci}} = \boldsymbol{Q}_{\text{mi}} - \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\text{bi}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{Q}_{\text{mi}}}{2} \begin{cases} 1 - k_{\text{b}} \text{cel}(k_{c}, p, a, b) &, \text{ if } \rho_{\text{Q}} < r_{\text{o}} \\ k_{\text{b}} \text{cel}(k_{c}, p, a, b) &, \text{ if } \rho_{\text{Q}} > r_{\text{o}} \end{cases}$$
(16)

where the factor

$$k_{\rm b} = \frac{2 r_0 z_0}{\pi (r_0 + \rho_{\rm Q}) \sqrt{(r_0 + \rho_{\rm Q})^2 + z_0^2}}$$
(17)

and $\operatorname{cel}(k_c, p, a, b)$ - general complete elliptic integral of the following parameters: $k_c^2 = 1 - 4r_0\rho_Q/[(r_0 + \rho_Q)^2 + z_0^2]$; $b = (r_0 - \rho_Q)/(r_0 + \rho_Q)$; a = 1; $p = b^2$.

In the case when formula (16) is not applicable $(\rho_Q = r_0)$ the second integral of (15) has got to be used for direct determination of Φ_c . After the relevant integral operations the following resulting formula is obtained

$$\Phi_{\rm ci} = \frac{Q_{\rm mi}}{2} \left[1 + k_{\rm d} \, {\rm nel} \, (k_{\rm c}, p, a, b) \right] \tag{18}$$

where nel(k_c, p, a, b) is special non-elliptic integral of the same k_c^2 like one in formula (16). The integral nel(k_c, p, a, b) differs from cel(k_c, p, a, b) since in the term under the sign of integration the member $\cos^2 2\psi + p\sin^2 2\psi$ is present in the denominator of nel, before $\cos^2 \psi p\sin^2 \psi$ occurring in cel. Other parameters are: $b = [\rho_Q(r_0 - \rho_Q) - z^2]/[\rho_Q(r_0 + \rho_Q) + z_0]$; a = -1. The factor before nel(k_c, p, a, b) is

154

Boundary Element Technology

$$k_{\rm d} = \frac{2\left[\rho_{\rm Q}\left(\rho_{\rm Q} + r_{\rm 0}\right) + z_{\rm 0}^2\right]}{\pi z_{\rm 0} \sqrt{\left(\rho_{\rm Q} + r_{\rm 0}\right)^2 + z_{\rm 0}^2}} \tag{19}$$

In the BIMS package post-processing code the integral $nel(k_c, p, a, b)$ has been approximated by the *Weddle's* rule based upon the 6-order *Newton-Cotes'* quadrature - see *Korn & Korn* [8], especially appropriated at 96 points of a circular argument.

COMPUTATIONAL EXPERIMENTS

The above presented algorithms haves been tested to compute the contribution of σ_m and τ_m to the total inductance of conducting rings.

The first example pertains to the conducting ring of average radius equal to 100 mm. The radius of circular section of the ring body is 1 mm. The inductance of the ring in free space calculated by the use of author's [5] program is just 0,387 µH. An open magnetic core on the form of ferromagnetic box of square cross-section is placed symmetrically inside the ring. The core length is just 140 mm and three transversal sizes of it are considered: $50 \times 50, 60 \times 60$ and 70×70 mm. For the relative magnetic constant of the core $\mu_{\rm rf} = 1000$ the following contributions have been obtained: 0.028; 0.049 and 0,074 μ H increasing the ring inductance by 7,2; 12,6 and 19,1 % respectively. The following results have been obtained when the magnetic core was replaced for an electromagnetic screen formed by the metallic nonferromagnetic box of high conductivity. Supposing that the frequency of the ring current is sufficiently high we apply an almost ideal diamagnetic model of $\mu_{rd} = 0.001$ to determine the dipole surface density of the magnetic charge on the box walls. Thus, the equivalent effect of the induced surface current can be effectively calculated. For the screen sizes identical to the core ones we obtained the following contributions: 0,018; 0,021; and 0,026 µH decreasing the ring inductance by 4,6; 5,4 and 6,2 % respectively.

The second example concerns the above ring equipped with outer magnetic core formed by the ferromagnetic box of sizes $240 \times 240 \times 100$ mm. The ring is placed 40, 30 and 20 mm apart parallel to the largest box wall. The computed contributions to the ring inductance are 0,180; 0,269 and 0,448 μ H thus, it is considerably augmented of 46,5; 69,5 and 115,7 % respectively. For the diamagnetic model of the electromagnetic screen of the same sizes the magnetic dipole contributions are 0,109; 0,174 and 0,303 μ H decreasing the ring inductance by 24,3; 38,8 and 67,6 %. When the ring is placed perpendicularly to the core wall in the distance of 120 and 110 mm than the only slight influence of the core is observed, namely 0,022 and 0,024 μ H

Boundary Element Technology

that means the increasing of the core inductance of 5,7 and 6,2 %. For the screen replacing the core we have 0.0155 and 0,0216 μ H determining the decrease in the ring inductance by 3,5 and 4,8 %.

CONCLUSIONS

As well the above mentioned test examples as the other design results have proved that both the indirect boundary-integral approach based on the use of the secondary sources method [3],[4] and the inductance determination studied more thoroughly [5]-[7] are perfectly suitable to perform the computer codes. The BIMS package for all his test features enabled us to confirm the general correctness of the theoretical approach and revealed to be useful for design practice.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to the *Polish State Committee for Scientific Research* for financial support for grant no 307969101, which made this research possible.

REFERENCES

1. Courant, R., Hilbert, D. Methoden der mathematischen Physik II Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1968.

2. Jaswon, M.A. 'Some theoretical Aspects of boundary integral equations' *Boundary elements method, Proceedings of the 3rd International Seminar*, ed. Brebbia, C.A., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1981.

3. Pawluk K. 'Boundary-integral scalar model for 3-D magnetic field' [in Polish] *Prace Instytutu Elektrotechniki*, Vol. 158, pp. 5-41, 1991.

4. Pawluk K. 'Indirect integral-boundary model of 3-D magnetic field, *ISEF'91 Proceedings* ed. Turowski, J., Sykulski J., pp. 33-36, James & James Sc. Publ., Compel Vol.11, No 1, 1992.

5. Pawluk K. 'About the inductance of conducting ring structures' [in Polish] *Prace Instytutu Elektrotechniki*, Vol.170, pp. 5-23, 1992.

6. Pawluk, K., Krauze, U., Kucharska, M. 'The boundary-integral approach to 3-D open magnetic field influenced by core and screen plates' *The proceedings of third Polish-Japanese joint seminar on modelling and control of electromagnetic phenomena*, pp. 73-37, Kazimierz, Poland, Institute of Electrical Engineering, 1993.

7. Pawluk K., Krauze, U., Kucharska, M. 'Coil inductance dependent on screen and core plates' *Proceedings of ISEF'93*, Warsaw, Poland, Institute of Electrical Engineering, 1993.

8. Tozoni, O.V. Method of secondary sources in electrotechnics [in Russian], Energya, Moscow, 1975.

156

<u>w</u>

Boundary Element Technology

9. Korn, M., Korn, M.S. Mathematical handbook for scientists and engineers, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, Toronto, London, 1961.
10. Press, W., Flannery, B., Teukolsky, S. The art of scientific computing, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1968.

11. Brebbia, C.A., Telles, J.C.F., Wrobel, L.C. Boundary element techniques. Theory and applications in engineering, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1984.