Predicting the life time of steels in CCS environment from long term local corrosion experiments
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Abstract

To predict the reliability and safety during the injection of compressed emission gases – mainly containing CO\textsubscript{2} – into deep geological layers (CCS-technology, Carbon Capture and Storage), the influence of heat treatment on pit corrosion needs to be considered. Different heat treated steels used as an injection pipe with 13\% chromium and 0.46\% carbon (X46Cr13, 1.4034) and 0.2\% carbon (X20Cr13, 1.4021) as well as 16\% chromium steel X5CrNiCuNb16-4 (1.4542) were tested in laboratory experiments. The samples were exposed for up to 1 year to the distinct synthetic aquifer environment saturated with technical CO\textsubscript{2} at a flow rate of 3 l/h. The corrosion rate generally does not exceed 0.03 mm/year. Pits with maximum pit heights around 300 µm were obtained for hardened X20Cr13 with martensitic microstructure. The least amount of pits is found on X46Cr13. The higher carbon content in, X46Cr13 (0.46\% C), results in a lower amount of pits compared to X20Cr13 (0.20\%).
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1 Introduction

Engineering a geological on-shore aquifer CCS-site (CCS Carbon Capture and Storage [1–3]) corrosion of the casing and injection pipe steels may become an issue when emission gases, e.g. from the combustion processes of power plants, are compressed into deep geological layers [4–8]. From thermal energy
production it is known that the CO$_2$-corrosion is sensitively dependent on alloy composition, contamination of alloy and media, environmental conditions like temperature, CO$_2$ partial pressure, flow conditions and protective corrosion scales [6–16]. Considering different environments, aquifer waters and pressures, the analyzed temperature regime between 40°C and 60°C is a critical temperature region well known for corrosion processes [17–20].

High chromium steels exposed to a CO$_2$-environment generally precipitate slow growing pits mainly comprised of FeCO$_3$ (siderite) [4, 8, 17, 19, 21]. After the CO$_2$ is dissolved to build a corrosive environment, the solubility of FeCO$_3$ in water is low ($\text{pK}_{\text{sp}} = 10.54$ at 25°C). As a result of the anodic iron dissolution, a siderite corrosion layer grows on the alloy surface. These reactions have been described in detail by various authors [7, 17] and a precipitation model has been introduced by Han et al. [21], which was modified by Pfennig and Kranzmann [19]. In the initial stage, the steel is exposed to the corrosive environment, the CO$_2$-saturated brine, where the carbon dioxide forms carbonic acid in contact with the aquifer water. A ferrous hydroxide passivating film can form when its solubility limit is exceeded. A first reaction step may be attributed to the formation of Fe[II] compounds Fe(OH)$_2$ [7, 25], which leads to an increase of the local pH near the hydroxide film. Consequently, a ferrous carbonate film may be formed. Then the growth of the corrosion layer will proceed internally and externally depending on the various carbon and oxygen partial pressures. Localized corrosion may then start when the ferrous hydroxide film is locally damaged due to mechanical or chemical effects. The highly porous non-protective ferrous carbonate is then exposed to the brine environment where the pH is lower. As a result, the ferrous carbonate film dissolves and the steel is locally depassivated. This is accompanied by corrosion and passive film dissolution in a lateral direction followed by the detachment of the carbonate film. The removal of the detached film causes the pit to grow wider because the same steps will occur from the beginning on the newly exposed surface.

This work is a follow-up of our initial work on the local corrosion phenomena presented at “Air Pollution 2010”. In this paper the varying microstructures and their related corrosion behaviour of differently heat treated steels used for CO$_2$-injection into saline aquifer water reservoirs are of special interest.

2 Materials and methods

Laboratory experiments were carried out to determine the dependence of heat treatment on pit corrosion behaviour. Different steels used as an injection pipe with 13% chromium and 0.46% C (X46Cr13, 1.4034) as well as 0.2% carbon (X20Cr13, 1.4021) were tested. In addition, X5CrNiCuNb16-4 (1.4542) was investigated as typical steel used for geothermal pumps. The steels were heat treated differently following protocols usual in the field of metallurgy (table 1).

Exposure tests in CO$_2$-saturated aquifer brine were carried out using samples of the alloys above with 8 mm thickness and 20 mm width and 50 mm length. A hole of 3.9 mm diameter was used for sample positioning. The surfaces were activated by grinding with SiC-Paper down to 120 µm under water and dipping
Table 1: Heat treatment of samples used in exposure experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>material</th>
<th>heat treatment</th>
<th>temperature [°C]</th>
<th>hold time [min]</th>
<th>cooling medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X20Cr13</td>
<td>Normalizing</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4021</td>
<td>Hardening</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hardening + Tempering 1</td>
<td>1000 / 800</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hardening + Tempering 2</td>
<td>1000 / 670</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hardening + Tempering 3</td>
<td>1000 / 755</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X46Cr13</td>
<td>Normalizing</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4034</td>
<td>Hardening</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hardening + Tempering 1</td>
<td>1000 / 650</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hardening + Tempering 2</td>
<td>1000 / 670</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hardening + Tempering 3</td>
<td>1000 / 700</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X5CrNiCuNb16-4</td>
<td>Normalizing</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4542</td>
<td>Hardening</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hardening + Tempering 1</td>
<td>1040 / 550</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hardening + Tempering 2</td>
<td>1040 / 650</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hardening + Tempering 3</td>
<td>1040 / 755</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Oil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples of each base metal were positioned within the liquid phase [17–19]. The brine (known to be similar to the Stuttgart Aquifer [23]: Ca$^{2+}$: 1760 mg/L, K$^{+}$: 430 mg/L, Mg$^{2+}$: 1270 mg/L, Na$^{+}$: 90.100 mg/L, Cl$^{-}$: 143.300 mg/L, SO$_4^{2-}$: 3600 mg/L, HCO$_3^{-}$: 40 mg/L) was synthesized in a strictly orderly way to avoid precipitation of salts and carbonates. Flow control (3 NL/h) of the technical CO$_2$ into the brine was done by a capillary meter GDX600_man by QCAL Messtechnik GmbH, Munich. The pH after the experiments was between 5.2 and 5.6. The exposure of the samples between 24 h and 1500 h into corrosive CCS environment was disposed in a climate chamber according to the conditions at the geological site at Ketzin/Germany at 60°C at ambient pressure – each material in a separate reaction vessel (figure 1). X-ray diffraction was carried out in a URD-6 (Seifert-FPM) with CoK$\alpha$-radiation with an automatic slit adjustment, step 0.03 and count 5 sec. Phase analysis was performed by matching peak positions automatically with PDF-2 (2005) powder patterns. Mainly structures that were likely to precipitate from the steels were chosen of the ICSD and refined to fit the raw-data-files using POWDERCELL 2.4 [22] and AUTOQUAN® by Seifert FPM.

Sample surfaces were analyzed with a light optical microscope Axiophot 2 by Zeiss. Here the kinetics were obtained by counting the pits per frame (6 frames per sample, 2 samples per parameter) and giving the average pit number. Pit widths were measured light optically and pit depths were obtained from 3-D-images. These 3-D-images were realized by the double optical system Microprof TTV by FRT. Mass gain was analyzed according to DIN 50 905.
part 1-4. After surface analysis, the samples were embedded in a cold resin (Epoxicure, Buehler), cut and wet polished first with SiC-Paper from 180 µm to 1200 µm. The finishing was done with diamond paste 6 µm and 1 µm. Different light optical and electron microscopy techniques were used to investigate the layer structures and morphology of the samples.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Surface and morphology

The complicated multi-layered carbonate/oxide structure is described in detail by Pfennig and Bäßler [17]. It reveals siderite FeCO₃, goethite α-FeOOH, mackinawite FeS and akaganeite Fe₈O₈(OH)₈Cl₁₃₄ as well as spinel-phases of various compositions. Carbides, such as Fe₃C, were identified within the corrosion layer, similar to the high-temperature corrosion phenomena [24]. The pits are covered with the same precipitates of the corrosion products formed on the surface elsewhere (figure 2).

3.2 Kinetics: corrosion rate, amount of counted pits and maximum pit depth

To evaluate the influence of the heat treatment the samples were examined via light optical methods to predict the amount of counted pits and the pit depths. Kinetics was obtained via weight loss according to DIN 50 905 after exposure to the CO₂-saturated aquifer water. The results are given in figures 3 to 5 with respect to corrosion rate, maximum penetration depth of pits and amount of counted pits.
3.2.1 Corrosion rate

The corrosion rate generally does not exceed 0.03 mm/year and therefore is in good agreement with DIN 6601 allowing for 0.01 mm/year for pressure vessels. After 6000 h of exposure time all steels show an increase of corrosion rate. This may be due to the depassivation of the surface layers after long exposure to the CO₂-saturated saline aquifer environment. Since the rate is very low, the increase may as well be related to the manual descaling procedure. For samples with more and deeper pits a longer etching time is required possibly resulting in partial dissolution of small layers of the base material. With corrosion rates obtained via mass gain method about 0.002 mm/year X5CrNiCuNb16-4 shows the lowest loss of base material for samples that were hardened or hardened and tempered. Normalized samples corrode around 0.01 mm/year determined after 6000 hours of exposure. The heat treatment does not influence the corrosion rate.
Figure 3: Corrosion rate after 6000 hours of exposure to aquifer brine water at 60 °C and ambient pressure (X20Cr13, X46Cr13 and X5CrNiCuNb16-4 (microstructure) heat treated prior to exposure.
of X20Cr13 and X46Cr13. These are comparable for both steels around 0.003 mm/year. Therefore hardening or hardening+tempering X5CrNiCuNb16-4 would provide best corrosion resistance in a CCS-site borehole in a saline aquifer environment.

3.2.2 Local corrosion (pit formation)

Pits were obtained metallographically and via optical volume measurement and are found on all 3 steel qualities with maximum pit intrusion depths around 300 µm for hardened X20Cr13 with martensitic microstructure after 6000 h of exposure. Overall figure 4-6 reveal that pit depths measured on X46Cr13 do not penetrate as deep as pits measured on the other steel samples. Still, the heat treatment does not influence the maximum penetration depth significantly except
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Figure 5: Maximum penetration depth after 6000 hours of exposure at 60°C and ambient pressure of X20Cr13 (microstructure), X46Cr13 and X5CrNiCuNb16-4 heat treated prior to exposure.
for hardened samples and X5CrNiCuNb16-4 hardened+ tempering1. For the 13Cr steels (X20Cr13 and X46Cr13) normalizing and hardening+tempering1 show less intrusion (8-25 µm) than the other heat treatments, while hardening+tempering 2 and 3 seem to be best for X5CrNiCuNb16-4 (10 µm).

3.2.3 Amount of counted pits
The heat treatment has little influence on the amount of counted pits per unit area, because there is little to no lowest amount of counted pits for one distinct heat treatment. The least amount of pits is found on X46Cr13. Comparing steels with the same chromium content of 13% the higher carbon content in X46Cr13 (0.46% C), results in a lower amount of pits compared to X20Cr13 (0.20%). For X20Cr13 and X46Cr13 hardening and tempering2/3 samples show the lowest amount of pits after 6000 h while X5CrNiCuNb16-4 has a rather high number of pits per m2. The lower amount of pits on different samples after 6000 h of exposure is due to surface corrosion phenomena: that is that pits consolidate to shallow pit corrosion and are not longer counted as single pits. These surface corrosion products prevent the access of corrosive media to the bulk material.

Figure 6 reveals typical surfaces with localized corrosive attack measured via optical profilometer. Pit depth was demonstrated in figure 5. Pit depth is a statistical phenomenon and cannot be predicted easily. Penetration depth of ca. 600 µm after 8 months of exposure will give pit growth rates over 0.1 mm/year and indicate that these steels have to be monitored carefully but may still be suitable for injection pipes in CCS environments.

4 Conclusion
Pit growth cannot be calculated as easily as surface corrosion rates because of its low predictability. Therefore, it is not possible to give corrosion rates and
lifetime predictions regarding pit corrosion in CCS technology. Summarizing the kinetic results the heat treatment preferred to obtain the least corrosive attack is normalizing or hardening+tempering for X20Cr13 and X46Cr13. For X5CrNiCuNb16-4 the combination hardening+tempering should be preferred. For heat treatments regarding hardening and tempering a significant good corrosion resistance cannot be given. Although pit growth rates will be above 0.1 mm/year but below 1 mm/year it may still be possible that the analysed steel qualities are suitable for injection pipes in CCS environments if monitored closely. In future long term exposure experiments and detailed microstructure analysis will be necessary.
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