Numerical simulation of vortex-induced vibration of a pivoted cylinder using the spectral element method
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Abstract

The present paper describes preliminary results of numerical simulation of VIV (vortex-induced-vibration) phenomenon around a pivoted circular cylinder subject to steady flow. The present flow model is based upon the Navier-Stokes equations with velocity-pressure formulation. The governing equations are solved through the Spectral Element Method (SEM), which possesses the property of high-order spatial accuracy as proposed by Karniadakis and Sherwin in their book Spectral/hp Element Methods for CFD. The solution procedure and characteristic aspects of the present modelling and numerical method are briefly stated. The coupling method of the body motion with the flow problem is restated from the viewpoint of the present problem. A series of numerical estimation of VIV flow characteristics have been carried out for varying parameters of the problem such as the reduced velocity and damping parameter, etc.
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1 Introduction

In general, VIV (vortex-induced-vibration) problem is focused upon reduction and suppression of VIV as noted in Blevins [2] and Sarpkaya [3]. As indicated by Raghavan [4], and Bernitsas and Raghavan [5], however, it seems to be probable to extract considerable energy from VIV phenomena. For example, Professor Bernitsas has developed an energy extraction device known as
VIVACE (Vortex Induced Vibration Aquatic Clean Energy) which converts ocean and river current energy to electricity (Bernitsas et al. [6]). MOERI/KORDI is also developing its own energy extraction device which utilizes a pivoted circular cylinder subject to background current flows.

The present paper consists as follows. The physical problem under consideration is introduced. Flow model and governing equation are briefly explained. Numerical method and solution procedure are addressed. Some of numerical results of a specified configuration are presented.

2 Physical problem under consideration

As shown in Fig. 1, a submerged cylindrical body is pivoted to a column and exposed to VIV due to background fluid flow such as ocean currents. As stated above, the present device is conceptually associated with the earlier development done by Prof. Bernitsas’ group in the University of Michigan.

Figure 1: A schematic diagram of the VIV problem of a pivoted circular cylinder subject to steady flow.

3 Flow model and governing equation

Coordinate system and modeling of present problem is shown in Fig. 2. Rotation vector of the body can be denoted by $\hat{\Omega}(t) = (0,0,\theta(t))$ for the present case of 2D. So, the angular velocity and acceleration can be obtained by time derivative of the rotation vector as $\dot{\hat{\Omega}}(t)$ and $\ddot{\hat{\Omega}}(t)$, respectively. We also assume the flow domain has rectangular shape, and there is no free surface.

We describe the present flow problem by using the viscous incompressible flow model. For the present problem in which we need to describe the grid movement due to vortex induced body motion, we can apply ALE (Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian) framework. Hence, the corresponding set of governing equations can be modified as follows.
\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial t} + N(\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p + \nu L(\tilde{u}) + \tilde{f} \text{ in } V(t) \quad (1)
\]
\[
\nabla \cdot \tilde{u} = 0 \text{ in } V(t) \quad (2)
\]
where \(\tilde{u}(\tilde{x}, t) = (u, v, w)\) is the velocity vector, \(\tilde{w}(\tilde{x}, t)\) the ALE mesh velocity vector, \(p(\tilde{x}, t)\) the pressure field, \(\rho\) the density of the fluid, \(\nu\) the kinematic viscosity of the fluid, and \(\tilde{f}\) the body force that can include the effect of non-inertial reference frame. The underlying Cartesian coordinates is denoted by the spatial coordinates \(\tilde{x}\) and by the time coordinate \(t\). We can define as \(N(\tilde{u}, \tilde{w}) = (\tilde{u} - \tilde{w}) \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}\) and \(L(\tilde{u}) = \nabla \cdot \left[ \nabla \tilde{u} + (\nabla \tilde{u})^T \right]\). So, the partial time derivative of the velocity is taken for a given fluid particle or a pseudo-particle in general. It is also assumed that the fluid domain, \(V(t)\) can be time-varying for generality of the formulation. With ALE concept, the partial time derivative in the above is taken on the mesh frame under consideration.

\[u = U, \quad w = 0 \text{ in } x = x_L \quad (4)\]

Figure 2: Coordinate system and modeling of the problem.

For the body boundary condition, we specify the impermeable condition as follows.

\[\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}_b \text{ in } \Gamma_b(t) \quad (3)\]

where \(\tilde{u}_b = \tilde{\Omega}(t) \times (\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}_{CR})\) with \(\tilde{r}_{CR} = (x_{CR}, y_{CR}, z_{CR})\) to denote the position vector of the center of rotation, \(i.e.\) the pinned position of the rod.

In the present paper, we apply the boundary conditions at truncation boundaries as follows.

\(u = U, \quad w = 0 \text{ in } x = x_L\)
\[ w = 0, \hat{s} \cdot v \left[ \nabla \hat{u} + (\nabla \hat{u})^T \right] \cdot \hat{n} = 0 \text{ in } z = z_L, z_H \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ u = U, \hat{s} \cdot v \left[ \nabla \hat{u} + (\nabla \hat{u})^T \right] \cdot \hat{n} = 0 \text{ in } x = x_L \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \( U \) denotes the outflow velocity, and \( x = x_L, x = x_R, z = z_L, z = z_H \) mean the left, right side and low, high side of the truncated rectangle of the flow domain. The vectors \( \hat{s} \) and \( \hat{m} \) (pointing to \( z \)-axis in 2D problems) are an orthonormal vector pair lying on the tangent plane, and related to the unit normal vector as \( \hat{n} = \hat{s} \times \hat{m} \). Hence, the vector pair, \( (\hat{s}, \hat{m}, \hat{n}) \) constitutes the orthonormal curvilinear coordinates.

With the ALE methodology, the motion of the mesh nodes, \( \tilde{X} \) is described as

\[ \frac{d\tilde{X}}{dt} = \tilde{w} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

with an operator equation for the mesh velocity vector,

\[ \mathbf{E} \tilde{w} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where \( \mathbf{E} \) stands for the elliptic operator which governs the grid motion. Its type is chosen depending upon the problem in consideration. For example, the Laplace equation was utilized by Ho and Patera [7], and Robertson et al. [8]. On the other hand, Rabier and Medale [9] adopted a Lagrangian-Eulerian kinematic method by the way of an elastic problem for the mesh displacement field rather than a standard ALE formulation. A similar approach can be found in Bouffanais and Deville [10].

The boundary condition on the ALE mesh motion is also to be carefully specified. On the free-slip boundary, ALE mesh nodes move with the fluid particle as follows.

\[ \tilde{w} = \bar{u} \equiv (\bar{u} \cdot \hat{n})\hat{n} + (\bar{u} \cdot \hat{s})\hat{s} + (\bar{u} \cdot \hat{m})\hat{m} \text{ in } \Gamma_s \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

This guarantees the velocity compatibility on the free-slip boundary. The Dirichlet-type boundary specifies the velocity vector and the corresponding boundary is stationary in space and time. So, we may apply the following constraint.

\[ \tilde{w} = \bar{0} \text{ in } \Gamma_D \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

4 Equation of body motion

In the case of 2D problem, the equation of body motion can be written as follows.

\[ J_b \ddot{\theta} + C_b \dot{\theta} + K_b \theta = T_{ext}(t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (11a)

where \( \theta \) denotes the angle of rotation of the body. The coefficients \( J_b, C_b, K_b \) are the moment of inertia, damping factor, and stiffness of rotation, respectively. The external moment, \( T_{ext} \) can be obtained from the equation.

\[ T_{ext}(t) = \tilde{e}_3 \cdot \int_{S_b(t)} \left( \bar{r} - \bar{r}_{CG} \right) \times \left[ -p\hat{n} + v(\nabla \bar{u} + \nabla \bar{u}^T) \cdot \hat{n} \right] ds \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)
where $\vec{r}_{CR}$ means the position vector of the center of rotation, *i.e.* the pinned position of the rod, and $\vec{c}_1 = (0,0,1)$ for the present case. For simplicity of the derivation and notation, the equation (11a) can be normalized as follows:

$$\ddot{\theta} + 2\omega_b \xi_b \dot{\theta} + \omega_b^2 \dot{\theta} = T_{ext}(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11b)

with definitions such as $\omega_b = \sqrt{K_b / J_b}$, $\xi_b = C_b / 2\omega_b J_b$, $T_{ext} = T_{ext}(t) / J_b$.

Based upon the proposed values of preliminary design, some variations of the parameters such as the length of the connection rod, mass moment of inertia, damping factor, and stiffness are compared with in this study by numerical simulation.

In order to couple the body motion with the fluid flow, we need to transfer the velocity and acceleration on the body surface to the flow solver. Thus, we can use the following relationship.

$$\dot{u}_b = \dot{\theta}(t)(-y + y_{CR}, x - x_{CR}) \text{ in } \Gamma_b(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where we use $\dot{\Omega}(t) = (0,0, \dot{\theta})$. The acceleration is written as follows.

$$\ddot{a}_b = \ddot{\Omega}(t) \times (\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{CR}) + \dot{\dot{\Omega}}(t) \times \left[ \dot{\dot{\Omega}}(t) \times (\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{CR}) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Thus, in the present case we have

$$\ddot{a}_b = \dot{\theta}(t)(-y + y_{CR}, x - x_{CR}) + \dot{\theta}(t)^2 (-x + x_{CR}, -y + y_{CR}) \text{ in } \Gamma_b(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

For the present case of rotating circular cylinder, the body boundary can be defined as

$$(x - x_{CR} - R_r \cos \theta)^2 + (y - y_{CR} - R_r \sin \theta)^2 = R_c^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where the parameter $\theta$ is the angle of the point on the cylinder surface, $R_r$ means the distance from the center of rotation and center of the cylinder, and $R_c$ the cylinder radius.

5 **Higher-order splitting scheme and SEM**

For numerical solution of the ALE N-S equations, we utilized the high-order splitting scheme for pressure and velocity coupling by using stiffly-stable time integrators. It was originally developed by Professor G.E. Karniadakis (Karniadakis and Sherwin [1]), which is utilized in this study with some modification. Detailed procedure is thus omitted and a brief introduction is given.

The high-order splitting scheme is applied as follows.

$$\tilde{u} - \sum_{q=0}^{J-1} \alpha_q \tilde{u}^{n-q} = \delta t \left[ - \sum_{q=0}^{J-1} \beta_q N(\tilde{u}^{n-q}, \tilde{w}^{n-q}) + f^{n+1} \right] \text{ in } \Omega^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$\tilde{u} - \bar{u} = -\frac{\delta t}{\rho} \nabla p^{n+1} \text{ in } \Omega^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

$$\gamma_0 \tilde{u}^{n+1} - \bar{u} = \nu \delta t \nabla \left( \bar{u}^{n+1} \right) \text{ in } \Omega^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)
The superscript refers to the time level index, and $J_i$ the order of the time integration, and $\gamma_0$, $\alpha_q$ and $\beta_q$ the coefficients for stiffly stable time integration. Readers are referred to Karniadakis and Sherwin [1] for values of the integration coefficients for different orders. The time step size, $\delta t$, is fixed throughout the time marching in this study.

The primary reason for using the present time integration scheme is due to necessity of attaining the high-order accuracy and robustness in time. The stiffly-stable time integration scheme is known to be very accurate and stable. Particularly in SEM, the condition number is very large compared with other discretization schemes and so the conventional time integration scheme such as the Adams-Bashforth and Runge-Kutta methods may give rise to numerical instability.

The present study is based upon the previous development known as Nektar which was originally due to Prof. Karniadakis at Brown University, USA. Thus in this paper, we can skip most of the details and just cite relevant references such as Karniadakis and Sherwin [1]. Etc. It should be noted that the grid motion is directly obtained by using ALE concept which is implemented on the framework of NEKTAR.

6 Coupling method of FSI (fluid-structure-interaction)

Coupling of the flow dynamics with body motion is realized mainly through time marching procedure. In the above, we mentioned the type of time-stepping for fluid flow. So, the remaining part is the equation of body’s rotational motion, and data transfer and connection between fluid part and structure part, which are discussed below.

The conventional method is based upon the Newmark-family time marching as in Papaioannou et al. [11] and Placzek et al. [12], etc. When we are interested in explicit time marching, any type of time integration scheme can be utilized in principle only if it guarantees numerical stability. However, the practical issue seems to be efficiency and accuracy as well; i.e. a choice is preferred when the type of time marching algorithm for structure part does not deteriorate the level of accuracy of solution method of fluid part, particularly in time-axis. The present method for this FSI problem is briefly explained in the below.

At first, the angular motion of the pivoted cylinder can be described by

$$J_b \ddot{\theta}^{n+1} + C_b \dot{\theta}^{n+1} + K_b \theta^{n+1} = T_{ext}^n$$

(20)

where the superscript denotes the time step, while the subscript means sub-iteration step. Hence, $T_{ext}^n$ stands for the hydrodynamic torque that can be calculated by using the previous time step.

Within this framework, the way to describe $\dot{\theta}$ and $\ddot{\theta}$ can construct the time integration scheme and also can determine its temporal accuracy and stability. The present choice for time discretization is a Newmark scheme which can be written as
It is known that the accuracy of the scheme is dependent upon the parameter set of $(\beta, \gamma)$. In this study, $(\beta, \gamma) = (0.25, 0.5)$ is used.

The whole process for each time step can be summarized as follows.

i) Solve the equation for the solid part with the last solutions of the fluid part.

ii) Obtain the angular displacement, velocity, and acceleration using Eqs. (21a) and (21b).

iii) Calculate the translational velocity and acceleration for the next time-step on the body boundary and transfer those values to fluid flow solver.

iv) Solve the governing equation of fluid motion, and the corresponding mesh field.

v) Compute the traction on the interface between and the solid and the fluid and find the torque acting upon the body.

vi) Repeat the processes i) to v) up to the termination time.

7 Numerical results and discussion

In this section, we discuss the numerical results of the present VIV problem of a pivoted circular cylinder for varying parameters with the developed numerical method. A typical grid system for present VIV simulation is shown in Fig. 3. As shown, rectangular grids are utilized, and the order of the interpolation of spectral elements was 5 or 6 for most of the cases of simulation.

Figure 3: A typical grid system for present VIV simulation.

We restrict ourselves to the case of $R_e / R_c = 3$ for preliminary test simulation for which we have experimental data. In this case, the flow is incident from the upstream side and the pivoting point is located downstream. The normalized mass moment of inertia was 9.089 for the present case.
In the first place, an example of computational time series data of angular displacement, velocity, acceleration, and hydrodynamic torque of the cylinder is shown in Fig. 4. The Reynolds number was 200, the reduced velocity was 5, and the damping factor was 0.07 for this case. Figure 5 depicts how the cylinder is moving in the plane for the same case. Figure 6 and 7 correspond to pressure and vorticity contour plots for this case at a specified time instant. It is noted that the vorticity contour plot shows some discontinuities around mesh boundary because of relatively coarse grid density in the downstream side.

Figure 4: Time series of variables such as angular displacement, velocity, acceleration, and hydrodynamic torque of the cylinder ( $R_c / R_e = 3.0$, $Re = 200$, $U_r = \pi U / \omega_b R_c = 5$, $\zeta_b = 0.07$ ).

Figure 5: Trajectory of the cylinder ( $R_c / R_e = 3.0$, $Re = 200$, $U_r = \pi U / \omega_b R_c = 5$, $\zeta_b = 0.07$ ).
Figure 6: Pressure contour plot \((t = 49.4, R_r / R_c = 3.0, \text{Re} = 200, U_r = \pi U / \omega_0 R_c = 5, \zeta_b = 0.07)\).

Figure 7: Vorticity contour plot \((t = 49.4, R_r / R_c = 3.0, \text{Re} = 200, U_r = \pi U / \omega_0 R_c = 5, \zeta_b = 0.07)\).

We compared the present numerical results in Fig. 8 with the experiment performed by Choi et al. [13]. It is noted that in the experiment the cylinder is connected to the multi-hinged bars which is also guided to a generator unit. The detailed features of the experiment are referred to the paper cited in the above. The figure indicates that the present numerical method under-predicts the performance of the rotational VIV motion of the cylinder. We note that the present value of damping factor in simulation was found by some series of decay test in the experiment. We suppose that the present numerical method contains appreciable level of numerical damping because the numerical results is similar to the no load case rather than to the zero resistance case.
Figure 8: VIV response for various reduced velocity ($\frac{R_e}{K_u} = 3.0$), for the computation, the damping factor was chosen as $\zeta = 0.07$.

The actual range of Reynolds number of the experiment was $4.74 \times 10^4 \leq Re \leq 1.625 \times 10^5$, while for present simulations we tested the case of $200 \leq Re \leq 2,000$. Since the higher Reynolds numbers cause the present numerical method to show an unstable motion in time. As an intermediate conclusion, it is required to utilize the strong coupling scheme as noted in Baek [14], and Baek and Karniadakis [15]. By resorting to this robust method, it is expected that we can go up to a very high Reynolds number case without unstable solutions. Another reason for this discrepancy is attributed to the one dimensional simplification to the experimental arrangement of multi-hinge connection. A significant feature to be addressed further is the effect of the generator unit on the VIV motion of the cylinder. These three aspects will be studied further.
8 Summary and conclusion

The present paper described some preliminary results of numerical simulation of VIV phenomenon around a pivoted circular cylinder subject to steady flow. The present flow model and method utilized an SEM-based N-S solver, which are originally developed by Professor G.E. Karniadakis’ group. Numerical treatment for movement of the body was done by using ALE approach. The solution procedure and characteristic aspects of the present modeling and numerical method were briefly stated. The present method of coupling of the body motion with the flow problem is based upon a kind of weak coupling scheme. A series of numerical estimation of VIV flow characteristics were carried out for a given parameter set. By comparing with experimental results, it has been found out that there is a great need to utilize a strong coupling scheme and to refine the modeling of the multi-hinge connection of the system, which will be sought sincerely for the forthcoming paper.
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