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Abstract

The North Anatolian Fault (NAF) is the largest and longest earthquake producing fault of Turkey; it extends from Turkey’s Iranian border in the east to Marmara Sea in the west with a length of 1200 km. It separates the Eurasian Plate and the Anatolian Plate and has similar features to the San Andreas Fault in California in USA in that both faults have a right-lateral strike slip faulting mechanism, similar lengths and linearity as far as their poles of rotation are concerned. NAF is considered to be one of the longest and most active fault systems in the world, and thus has been the stage of 11 major earthquakes (moment magnitude $M_w > 6.7$) since 1939. Two of these major earthquakes occurred in the Ismetpasa segment of the fault, located 350 km east of Istanbul at the intersection of Karabuk and Cankiri provinces in north-central Anatolia, the Asia Minor, and 100 km north of Ankara, the capital of Turkey. The Ismetpasa segment of NAF stands out with its aseismic fault slip feature, also referred to as creeping, as a rare occurrence in the world. The Ismetpasa first drew attention by a gradually enlarging crack on the wall of State Highway Maintenance Station built in 1957 and its aseismic slip movement that caused an offset in the wall was first reported in 1969 by Ambraseys. Since then, many researchers have investigated the creep by conducting various measurement methods from conventional surveying techniques to modern techniques such as GPS, LIDAR, InSAR. This paper documents the studies carried on NAF at Ismetpasa segment in a chronological perspective, and also gives the latest findings obtained by different research groups in the last decade.
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1 Introduction

The North Anatolian Fault (NAF) has a length of approximately 1200 km and is one of the most earthquake producing faults in the world [1]. It has been known to be a natural research laboratory for studying the kinematics and dynamics of plate interactions [2] due to the various tectonic processes involved such as diverse phases of continental collision (Zagros/Caucasus/Black Sea), subduction of ocean floor and related arc spreading (Cyprus/Hellenic/Calabrian arcs, Aegean and Tyrrhenian Seas), continental expansion (western Turkey/Marmara Sea/Gulf of Corinth), continental escape (Anatolia), major continental strike slip faults (North and East Anatolian and Dead Sea faults) and a variety of minor movements mostly resulting from African-Arabian-Eurasian plate interactions [3]. NAF constitutes a border between Eurasian and Anatolian plates with a westward movement of the Anatolian Block mainly because of the collision of the Arabian and African plates against the Eurosian, Anatolian and Black Sea plates [4–7]. NAF was formed by progressive strain localization in a generally westerly widening right-lateral kerogen in northern Turkey mostly along an interface juxtaposing subduction-accretion material to its south and older and stiffer continental basements to its North [6] (fig. 1).
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Figure 1: North Anatolian Fault in Anatolian Block and surrounding tectonic plates (courtesy of Comet+ [8]).

NAF is also identified with its dextral strike-slip fault mechanism with a shape of broad arc which produces remarkable seismic activity. It extends from Turkey’s Iranian border in the east to the north of the Aegean Sea in the west, and consists of a few shorter sub-parallel fault strands exhibiting an anastomosing pattern along much of its length. NAF attains a triple junction and joins with the sinistral East Anatolian Fault at Karliova from where it continues toward south east [9]. This part of the world has been the focus of much geologic, geophysical and geodetic research [10–13].
The history of NAF is full of devastating earthquakes killing many thousands of people and causing economic loss. In the last century, NAF has been the stage for 11 devastating major earthquakes measuring around and over 7.0 in magnitudes since the Erzincan earthquake in 1939 ($M_w = 7.9$) killing over 32000, namely the Niksar-Erbaa in 1942 ($M_w = 6.9$); Tosya-Ladik in 1943 ($M_w = 7.7$); Bolu-Gerede in 1944 ($M_w = 7.5$); Karliova in 1949 ($M_w = 7.1$); Kursunlu in 1951 ($M_w = 6.9$); Abant in 1957 ($M_w = 6.8$); Varto in 1966 ($M_w = 6.9$) killing 2400 and injuring 1500 people; Mudurnu in 1967 ($M_w = 7.1$); Erzincan in 1992 ($M_w = 6.5$) and the recent major earthquakes in Izmit in 17 August 1999 ($M_w = 7.6$) killing over 17,000 and injuring 44000 people and Duzce in 12 November 1999 ($M_w = 7.2$) killing almost 900 people [14].

The NAF is found similar to the San Andreas Fault in California in features that both faults have such as the right-lateral strike slip faulting mechanism, similar lengths and linearity as far as their poles of rotation are concerned [15]. Plate tectonic models analyzing fault systems and earthquake slip vector described in DeMets et al. [16] and Jestin et al. [17] demonstrate that the Arabian plate is moving in a NNW direction relative to Eurasia at a rate of 18-25 mm/yr [3, 18]. The most important findings in relation to these earthquakes is that they have a progressive failure systematically migrating westward [15]. In this respect, a next major earthquake is expected on its branches in the Marmara Sea [19].

2 Ismetpasa segment of North Anatolian Fault

The Ismetpasa segment of the North Anatolian Fault stands out with its aseismic fault slip feature, also referred to as fault creep, or just the creep. It is located 350 km east of Istanbul and 100 km northwest of Ankara, the capital of Turkey, at the intersection of Karabuk and Cankiri provinces in north-central Anatolia, the Asia Minor (fig. 2). Since the fault creep feature is what makes Ismetpasa segment of the NAF so distinct, it deserves some elucidation.

The main rationale for sturdy and destructive earthquakes is that most of the locked active faults accumulate strain over a long period of time in the so-called seismogenic depth interval and when the strain loaded on the fault becomes unbearable, the sudden release of energy leads to devastating tremors [20]. Findings from many researchers indicate that the upper crust on some segments of world renowned faults is where fault creep can be encountered as are the cases of San Andreas Fault (SAF) [21], the Hayward Fault [22], the Supersitition Hills Fault [23] and the North Anatolian Fault (NAF) [24]. Fault creeping is assumed to inhibit the accumulation of potential energy due to elastic deformation and increase in stress providing that its rate matches the long-term fault slip rate, thus resulting in no large earthquake [20]. On the other hand, when the rate of fault creep is much lower than the long-term slip rate, it is possible that the fault with creeping motion is inclined to generate moderate to large magnitude earthquakes [20].

Although the occurrence of creep is well documented, the interactions between locked and creeping portions of a fault and the conditions leading to creep, causes and the extent of creep depth in faults are not well understood [25]. The factors
that can be attributed important roles to play in the creeping processes are the geometry of the fault segment with creeping occurrence, the length of the creeping segment, the degree of coupling on the fault surface and the rheological properties of the lithosphere [26, 27].

![Figure 2: Location of North Anatolian Fault (NAF) depicted by solid white lines. The insert map displays the Ismetpasa segment of NAF. The stars locate the major earthquakes that occurred in the last century (source for base and insert satellite images: Google Earth™).](image)

The Ismetpasa segment of the NAF has not been seismically active for over nine centuries, the last one occurred at a nearby settlement Hamamlı in 1045 AD, as far as official recordings of earthquakes in Turkey are concerned; instead it is found to be creeping along the NAF from the findings that will be historically explained in subsequent sections of this paper. However, two of the largest earthquakes, out of twelve on the NAF, in the last century occurred in the vicinity of Ismetpasa segment, namely the Gerede earthquake in 1944 ($M_w = 7.2$) in the western tail and the Kursunlu earthquake in 1951 ($M_w = 6.9$) in the eastern tail of the fault [28]. It is reported that both earthquakes inflicted offsets on the surface in the Ismetpasa segment, especially the Gerede earthquake with a surface rupture of 160 km, creating vertical displacements of 10-40 cm to the north and south of the main rupture [29]. Although the Kursunlu earthquake did not rupture as much as the Gerede earthquake, it still managed to cause a surface rupture 32 km long with a 60 cm dextral strike-slip offset and a 30 cm vertical displacement with a downthrown northern block [30].

The following sections give detailed account of measurements and analyses conducted on Ismetpasa segment of the NAF. In order for readers to make sound comparisons and arrive at valid conclusions, the historical account of monitoring...
measurements are investigated in two separate sections, namely conventional and modern monitoring measurements at the Ismetpasa segment.

3 Conventional monitoring measurements at Ismetpasa segment

Ambraseys [31] was the first scientist to observe the fault creep at the Ismetpasa segment when noticed a cracked wall of State Highway Maintenance Station, or the railway station. He immediately measured the width of the crack on the wall with tape meter and found it equal to 24 cm, which gave a 2 cm/yr creeping rate by taking into account twelve years of time span between the building date of the wall (1957) and the measurement date (1969). He stumbled on this discovery when he came to Turkey in 1969 to investigate the ruptures on the NAF since the devastating Erzincan earthquake in 1939. During his visit to the location late spring of 1969, he found that the wall facing east sheared off and displaced in a right-lateral sense by 24 cm, and the longer wall facing south compressed by approximately 5 cm. After carefully examining the fault zone, in line with the crack in the wall, he encountered no apparent offsets a few kilometers on either side of Ismetpasa (fig. 3).

![Figure 3: Plan of rupture at Ismetpasa railway station [31].](image_url)

This movement at the railway station initiated the first efforts to determine the crustal movements by geodetic methods in the west section of the NAF. Aytun [32] conducted a conventional geodetic network at Ismetpasa segment from 1957 to 1969 and arrived at a creep rate of 15 mm/yr [32, 25] and of 11 mm/yr from 1969 to 1978 [32, 33]. Ugur [34] established a microgeodetic network for his Ph.D.
study in 1969, but the displacement he found was within the error margin therefore no significant movement was ascertained with high accuracy and precision.

In 1972, two microgeodetic networks were established, one in Ismetpasa and the other in Gerede by the General Command of Mapping. Both triangulation networks were measured in 1972 and 1973. The Ismetpasa network was later measured by Eren [35] in 1982 and Deniz et al. [36] in 1992 using horizontal angles and electromagnetically measured distances by the Geodesy Working Group at Istanbul Technical University. The first phase of this 1972 network in Ismetpasa was conducted using slant distances, which were then reduced to Gauss projection plane on which the network adjustment was made. During the adjustment of the network, the points on the Eurasian plate were chosen as reference points. The Doppler observations carried out on the same network were adjusted in the same fashion in 1982 by Eren [35]. As a third phase, the terrestrial observations were repeated in 1992 by Deniz et al. [36], only this time without one observation point, namely point 5, since it had been destroyed during the past years. The creep slip rates computed by these terrestrial measurements campaigns are listed in table 1.

Table 1: Summary of the analyses of the Ismetpasa terrestrial network (derived from Deniz et al. [36]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deformation vector (mm)</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>192.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimuth of deformation vector (grad)</td>
<td>250.1</td>
<td>253.1</td>
<td>243.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creep rate (mm/yr)</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be clearly seen from table 1, it is possible to take individual campaigns in their own right and arrive at deductions for the creep rates as 11.1±3.3 mm for the 1972-1982 period and 8.7±3.7 mm for the 1982-1992 period as opposed to the lump sum of both campaigns and stating one creep rate as 9.6±3.0 mm, depending on the point of view (fig. 4).

Altay and Sav [37] measured a creep rate of 7.7 mm/yr between 1982 and 1990 just using creepmeter readings. Saroglu and Barka [38] made a tape meter measurement on the cracked railway station wall and obtained 40 cm total creep since the building of the wall in 1957, which gives a slow creeping rate of 11 mm/yr.

4 Modern monitoring measurements at Ismetpasa segment

The first study conducted by Cakir et al. [39] utilized a modern instrumental creep monitoring method: Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) after the two devastating earthquakes, which occurred along the NAF, three months apart, in 1999 (the Izmit earthquake on 17th August with $M_w = 7.6$ and the Duzce earthquake on 12th November with $M_w = 7.2$). This study contained more than seven usable interferograms out of 20 due to temporal decorrelation from SAR...

Figure 4: Locations of geodetic networks established for monitoring tectonic activities in the NAF at Ismetpasa segment (the right-hand side network at upper right corner) and Gerede segment (left-hand side network at upper right corner). (taken from Deniz et al. [36]).

A modern technique called Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) has been utilized for man-made surface deformations as well as land movements and fault creeping. It has emerged since the turn of the century in collecting data and anomalies on earth surface [40]. The term interferometric is derived from interference, which is formed by combination of two waves of light, sound, electromagnetic and other origin. InSAR gives way to the production of interferogram, which is the outcome image of common pixels in both images and their computed phase differences for the same area. Interferogram is the interference pattern of fringes emerging due to the phase differences of the two images for the same pixels. Each cycle of phase or fringe in an interferogram corresponds to a distance alteration on earth equal to a half wavelength [41]. The Radar Interferometry technique provides a means to monitor, among others, earthquakes, volcanic movements, glacial movements and rebounds, landslides as well as surface deformations fault slips [42].

The measurements conducted by Cakir et al. [39] indicate that at the western termination of the 1943 earthquake rupture fault creep initiates to the east and continues approximately 70 km to the west overlapping with the eastern part of the 1944 earthquake fault segment. The InSAR data obtained by the same study produced a creep rate of 8±3 mm/yr around the Ismetpasa segment of the NAF.
Kutoglu and Akcin [43] conducted a geodetic observation campaign in 2002 using another modern technique called Global Positioning System (GPS). For their GPS observations they utilized the micro-geodetic network established by the General Command of Mapping and used by both Eren [35] and Deniz et al. [36] (fig. 5). This micro-geodetic network, also known as the Ismetpasa trilateration network, contained three points on the Eurasian plate and three points on the Anatolian block. For the GPS campaign, the observations were made in 1-hour site occupation in static mode of relative positioning; slope distances between the points were obtained as well as absolute and relative coordinates of the points. The reason for utilizing the distances in this work is because they are independent of datum in three-dimensional space and could therefore be evaluated in any local terrestrial coordinate system. The Helmert (Similarity) transformation was adopted for use on the GPS results after the adjustment in order to eliminate the possible scale difference caused by applying a different surveying method. Accordingly, a common solution was reached for the network over a period of the ten years since 1992, and found a fault creep rate of 7.8±0.5 mm/yr which is consistent with the findings of Cakir et al. [39].

Figure 5: Map of the NAF around the Marmara and the Northern Black Sea regions (adapted from Deniz et al. [36]). The insert map shows the Ismetpasa geodetic network.

A team of researchers from Bülent Ecevit University (formerly known as Zonguldak Karaelmas University) revisited the micro-geodetic network and conducted a 5-year GPS campaign between 2002 and 2007 [24]. They carried out again 1-hr static GPS observations on the grounds that all the baselines were very short (>1 km) and one hour site occupation in static mode of relative positioning should suffice to obtain precise positions to monitor the offsets of five years.
The baselines obtained in this fashion with their precisions ranging from 1.7 mm to 2.2 mm exhibited the adequacy and homogeneity for the deformation monitoring purposes. They arrived at the conclusion from this study that the points on the Anatolian block suffered an offset of 12±0.8 mm/yr.

The same research team conducted a new GPS campaign for the Ismetpasa segment in the same micro-geodetic network in 2008, but only this time they occupied the sites 8 hours a day over four days [44]. The reason for long occupation times, as they stated, was to establish a global network connection so as to obtain absolute displacements at the network points for future analysis. When they compared the two separate coordinate sets for the object points they obtained the deformation components from 3.4 mm to 10.5 mm in the northern direction which translates in a contraction of the network towards the Anatolian block while the eastern component was found to have varied by 10.7 to 15.7 mm. They concluded that the surface creep rate of the Ismetpasa segment was computed to be 15.1±4.1 mm from the geodetic network based on the average of the total displacement rates between 2007 and 2008.

5 Latest findings

Ground-based light detection and ranging (LIDAR), another modern deformation monitoring technique, was used on the two man-made walls at the Ismetpasa segment for the periods of June 2007 and November 2009 by Karabacak et al. [45]. Ground-based LIDAR can be described as an active remote sensing system based on observing the time travelled by a laser beam from the instrument to an object [46]. With millimetre-scale resolution, a three dimensional point cloud is created by multiple laser beams in order to obtain virtual-reality models of the surface by turning these clouds into triangulated surfaces [47].

Two walls situated across the 1944 Gerede earthquake rupture were chosen for scanning at 3-mm resolution during six campaigns at Ismetpasa by Karabacak et al. [45] for the ground-based LIDAR campaign. The results revealed by their surveys indicated a considerable amount of aseismic strain, creeping, along the Ismetpasa segment of the NAF and were given as 8.4 and 9.6±4 mm/yr at the two sites.

Researchers from Bogazici University, Kandilli Observatory and the Earthquake Institute, Geodesy Department conducted a 6-year GPS campaign between 2005 and 2011 on the network established by General Command of Mapping in 1972, which was utilized by Eren [35], Deniz et al. [36], Kutoglu and Akin [43] and Kutoglu et al. [24]. They carried out 10-hr static GPS observations on 5 points of the network including 18 global IGS reference stations, and found 15.8±1 mm/yr for the average site velocities from six periods of GPS observations with respect to a Eurasia-fixed reference frame [25]. On the other hand, they obtained an average creep rate of 7.6±1 mm/yr for the points south of the fault with respect to a reference point north of the fault [25].

Kutoglu et al. [48] revisited the Ismetpasa segment, but this time conducted a GPS campaign as well as Differential InSAR campaigns using two different data, Palsar and Envisat. For GPS observations, they occupied the 6 points of the 1992
microgeodetic network for three days and 8 hrs in the 2008 campaign and 12 hrs in the 2010 campaign with 10 s epochs in both periods. They also included seven International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) points IGS for datum definition of the geodetic networks. The creep rate was found to be 13±3.9 mm/yr on the basis of 2008 and 2010 GPS observations.

In order to monitor creep motion, they utilized 17 Palsar and 22 Envisat data acquired between 2007 and 2010. The data obtained were processed on the basis of “two-pass method” of the Differential InSAR (DInSAR) technique, and also SRTM 90 m digital elevation model data used for eliminating topographic effect in phase interferograms. The deformation interferograms were obtained by applying the weighted power spectrum method for filtering noises [49]. From 17 Palsar images, the surface displacements were positive on the north side of the microgeodetic network, but negative on the southern side with respect to the satellite line of sight based on the fringes in the 33-km-long area, and the creep rate was found to be 13±2 mm/yr. The surface displacement obtained from 22 Envisat images was 22±2 mm eastward for the northern side, which is the same as the southern part only moving westward; the creep rate was determined to be 12±1 mm/yr.

Another work applying InSAR time series analysis for the same region was carried out by Deguchi [50] using Palsar data in order to measure long-term ground deformation from 2007 to 2011. He concluded that the creep rate along the Ismetpasa segment was 14 mm/yr in the line of sight [50]. Kaneko et al. [20] have also reported the high-resolution measurement of interseismic deformation along the Ismetpasa segment of the NAF using InSAR data from the Advanced Land Observing Satellite (ALOS) and Envisat missions along with GPS observations. They integrated InSAR data with GPS observations to correct for long-wavelength errors of InSAR phase data by summing up interferograms to form a stack of line of sight velocity, removing a GPS model from the stack, high-pass filtering the residual stack and restoring the GPS model by adding it back to the filtered residuals [51]. They generated maps of satellite line-of-sight velocity using 5 ascending ALOS tracks and one descending Envisat track covering the Ismetpasa segment completely and beyond, and found a surface creep at a rate of 9 mm/yr across the Ismetpasa segment of the NAF for a time period of 4 years between 2007 and 2011.

6 Conclusions

NAF has a long history of devastating earthquakes killing many thousands of people and causing economic loss. In the last century NAF has been the stage for 11 devastating major earthquakes measuring around and over 7.0 in magnitudes since the Erzincan earthquake in 1939 (MW = 7.9) killing over 32,000. The Ismetpasa segment of the NAF sustained two of these major earthquakes, which occurred in the last century in its vicinity, namely the Gerede earthquake in 1944 (MW = 7.2) in the western tail and Kursunlu earthquake in 1951 (MW = 6.9) in the eastern tail of the fault. What makes the Ismetpasa segment of the NAF so special
is its aseismic fault slip feature, fault creep, which is a rare occurrence in the world and being the only one in Turkey.

Ambraseys was the first scientist to discover the creeping feature of the Ismetpasa segment of the NAF. He stumbled on this discovery by noticing a cracked wall at the railway station in Ismetpasa when he came to Turkey in 1969 to investigate the ruptures on the NAF since the devastating Erzincan earthquake in 1939. His discovery initiated the measurement campaigns, which took off, as it were, in the region by national and international geologists, geophysicists and geomatics engineers. This study details the historical account of monitoring, measuring, observing campaigns in chorological order. To make it easier for readers to differentiate the results obtained by researchers, the distinction is made in the given accounts between conventional and modern monitoring measurements; the latest findings for the Ismetpasa segment are also included.
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