Resistance of doped zirconia to ballistic impact
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Abstract

In the 1950s, with the appearance of oxide ceramics (Al2O3, ZrO2, BeO, MgO), ceramic materials started to arouse researchers’ interest. In 1975, a new family of ceramics was originated based on the addition of zirconium oxide. In this work, the mechanical properties and the penetration of zirconia and alumina subjected to ballistic impact were studied using tiles obtained by the sinterization of alumina and zirconia partially stabilized with Y2O3 (Y-PSZ). Several compositions were processed, with a matrix of alumina always predominating, in volume. Besides impact experiments, mechanical properties were also evaluated. The outcomes were analysed, comparing them against alumina formulae without the addition of zirconia.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Introduction to ceramic materials

Ceramic materials have two important characteristics: they are generally harder, more resistant and lighter than metals and are capable of exhibiting a high resistance to deformation at high temperatures. However, the potential applications of ceramic materials such as alumina are conditioned by their fragility, which brings about sudden catastrophic fractures and a low resistance to thermal shock; which is why some authors have suggested the use of ZrO2 as a strengthening material [1]. For the ZrO2 to act as strengthening element it must appear in its tetragonal crystallographic structure since it has been documented that the mechanism through which ZrO2 strengthens the ceramic matrix is the one of transformations of ZrO2-tetragonal to ZrO2-monoclinic when the material is subjected to strains. The retention of the ZrO2-tetragonal is not easy at
environmental temperatures because this is a steady phase at temperatures over 1200°C; the monoclinic shape is the one that persists at low temperatures. Several authors [2–4] have suggested the use of additives as stabilizers of the ZrO$_2$-tetragonal at environmental temperatures; CaO, MgO, CeO and Y$_2$O$_3$ can be used as stabilizers.

The transformation ZrO$_2$-t to ZrO$_2$-m is martensitical [5] and is accompanied by a volume increase of around 6% [6, 7].

These compounds are known as FSZ “Fully Stabilized Zirconia”. Nevertheless, the material of highest technological importance is the PSZ “Partially Stabilized Zirconia” with 9% molar of Mg or 3–6% molar of Y.

1.2 The system ZrO – Y$_2$O$_3$

The diagram of the phases in fig. 1 proposed by Scott [8, 9] shows that the regions of solid solution of the tetragonal and monoclinic phases are conformed from 1200°C to a slightly smaller value of 600°C, according to the amount of stabilizing.

![Figure 1: Diagram of phases of Y$_2$O$_3$ – ZrO$_2$.](image)

In this case, FSZ goes to values bigger than 12% molar (the entire phase is cubic) and PSZ takes place between 2–12% molar, with the monoclinic and cubic phases coexisting.

It is said that PSZ ceramics “self-repair” since they prevent the propagation of micro cracks because of a transformation of tetragonal phases to monoclinic
which, when increasing the volume, closes the crack and stops it from propagating. This is a general mechanism known as *increase of the tenacity by phase transformation* [10, 11].

### 1.3 Strengthening mechanisms in zirconia ceramic materials

In ceramic materials, plastified areas are not formed in front of the cracks as in the case of metals, as there is no a noticeable movement of dislocations [12, 13], at least at environmental temperatures.

The fracture at environmental temperatures will take place by cleavage, once the necessary stress is reached for the propagation of defects.

Nevertheless, there are several mechanisms that increase the tenacity of these materials, and cause a fracture whenever the tension is strong enough for the fracture process around the tip of the crack. It is hazardous because there are numerous works devoted to the study of this subject [12, 14–16], each one with its own way of classifying mechanisms and specifying the way in which they contribute to the tenacity increase. Besides, some mechanisms can operate simultaneously; therefore it is difficult to separate the effect each one produces.

For zirconia alloys there are three main mechanisms [17–19]:
- Tenacity increase by transformation
- Tenacity increase by micro fissures induced by the transformation
- Tenacity increase by diverting the trajectory of the fissure.

### 1.4 Behaviour of materials exposed to a ballistic impact

The behaviour of metals and ceramics is completely different when exposed to ballistic impact.

Ceramic materials:
- Do not sustain plastic deformation in front of an impact, keeping their elasticity until the moment of fracture.
- Have a very high fusion point; therefore they do not suffer a localized effect of temperature on impact. They do not suffer deformation and retain their properties.
- Are fractured by the effect of the shock and at the same time produce a similar effect by erosion on the projectile, causing it (or what is left of it) to stop.

Ceramic materials used alone are not efficient enough to stop a projectile because the impact of the latter breaks the ceramics, facilitating the penetration of the projectiles.

This problem is solved by attaching a layer of material of greater elasticity to the ceramics, which, by deformation, will absorb the residual energy of impact. The material used for the test described in this paper was aluminium.
2 Experimental procedure

2.1 Materials and methods

2.1.1 Preparation of the samples
Calcinated Alumina A-2G (Alcoa) and powder zirconia 3%mol Ytria (Dynamic-Ceramic Ltd.) were used.

The complete processing to obtain the ceramic tiles involves the following stages:
1. Grinding in ball mills.
2. Mixing with binding compounds.
3. Addition of organic agglutinant compound.
4. Drying and pelletizing of the mixture.
5. Compacting of the material.
6. Sinterization of the pieces.
Several formulations were prepared in order to determine the best properties.
After carrying out the sinterization at 1560°C for 2 hours, the different test pieces were subjected to hardness, flexion and impact tests, measuring their different behaviours.

Three kinds of tests pieces were manufactured:
- a. Test pieces for flexion tests.
- b. Test pieces for impact tests (Charpy).
- c. Tiles for the ballistic test.

The test pieces were made within the following measurements:
- a. 0.5 cm × 0.39 cm × 5 cm.
- b. 0.95 cm × 0.84 cm × 7.31 cm.
- c. 7.28 cm × 7.25 cm × 0.89 cm.
2.2 Mechanical tests

2.2.1 Hardness tests
Hardness tests were performed on all the pieces with a Galileo hardmeter and the results were expressed in Rockwell “C” values.

2.2.2 Flexion tests
Flexion tests, known as measurements of the elasticity modulus under three point bending, were performed according to the MIL-STD 1942ª standard.

\[
\text{Resistance to flexion} = \frac{3PL}{(2wh^2)}
\]  

(1)

where \(P\) is the applied load, \(L\) the length between supports, \(w\) the width and \(h\) the depth of the specimen.

The elasticity modulus is obtained indirectly taking into account the slope of the origin of the force-displacement record \((P/X)\), through the expression of the middle displacement for a girder under three points flexion, which leads to the following relation:

\[
\text{Modulus in flexion} = \frac{PL^3}{(4wh^3X)}
\]  

(2)

The machine used for this test was an MTS 810 Universal. The force measurement was performed through a charge cell of 5000 N within the rank of 2000 N and at a constant speed of 0.5 mm/min.

2.2.3 Resilience tests
The resilience tests were carried out on a Charpy pendulum, using a Charpy Impact Testing Machine JIS4J (4 Joules), which is a low capacity machine used for ceramic and plastic (the norm is ASTM D 256-92), with the aim of obtaining the so called CIV: Charpy Impact Value.

2.2.4 Impact test
The international norm NIJ (National Institute of Justice) Standard 0108.01 was chosen for the impact tests. The distance between the cannon mouth and the target was 15 metres and the speed of the projectile ranged between 823–853 m/s.

These tests were carried out by using FAL 7.62×51 mm ammunition and all the tests proved successful.

3 Results

Four compounds were made from different amounts of ZrO₂, which are shown in table 1 and table 2 together with the values of the physical properties measured after the sinterization.

Figs. 3–6 show the comparative values for the different measured properties. The micrographs of figs. 7–9 show the distribution of the alumina and zirconia grains in the sinterized pieces. Figs. 8 and 9 show how the small white zirconia grains are spread in the alumina matrix.
Table 1: Tested compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Reactives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Measured physical properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp</th>
<th>$\delta$ (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>HRC 45N</th>
<th>CIV (J/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$E$ (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,69 ±0.05</td>
<td>77 ±1</td>
<td>0,31 ±0.05</td>
<td>368 ±35</td>
<td>341 ±70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,85 ±0.04</td>
<td>81 ±0.8</td>
<td>0,41 ±0.05</td>
<td>420 ±25</td>
<td>317 ±71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,94 ±0.04</td>
<td>82 ±1.2</td>
<td>0,42 ±0.04</td>
<td>430 ±37</td>
<td>282 ±30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,94 ±0.03</td>
<td>82 ±0.7</td>
<td>0,49 ±0.07</td>
<td>493 ±28</td>
<td>215 ±31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Rockwell C hardness.  
Figure 4: Charpy impact value.
Figure 5: Tension of break. Figure 6: Elastic modulus.

Figure 7: Test piece of pure alumina. Figure 8: Al₂O₃ with 10% ZrO₂.

Figure 9: Al₂O₃ with 20% ZrO₂. Figure 10: Impacted tile tested (front).

Figs. 10 and 11 show a test piece, front and back face, after the ballistic impact.
The ballistic tests were carried out in the shooting polygon at CITEFA, with a cannon length of 53.3 cm FAL (Automatic Light Fusil with ammunition FAL (NATO) 7.62 × 51 mm full metal jacket (lead core and brass jacket). The distance between the cannon mouth and the target was 15 m and a speed measurer allows the measurement at the cannon mouth. Since the tests were carried out in a secluded area there were no significant influences on the impact speed.

Table 3: Parameters of ballistic tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Ballistic Tests</th>
<th>NIJ Norm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bullet mass</td>
<td>9.30 g</td>
<td>9.70 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannon length</td>
<td>53.3 cm</td>
<td>56 cm (suggested)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projectile speed</td>
<td>845 m/s</td>
<td>838 +/- 15 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>21 °C</td>
<td>From 20 to 28 °C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Discussion of results

The density values obtained correspond to approximately 95% of the expected theoretical density. This is the result of the regularity and excellence of the process of sample manufacturing.

The addition of Zr increases the hardness of the material although this tends to be stabilized when its content reaches 10%. Although the hardness stabilizes, the stress resistance to traction continues to grow. This would account for the
influence of some of the mechanism propagation resistance of the previously
described fissures.

Unlike the stress traction resistance, the Charpy impact energy values are not
so sensitive to variations of the percentage of Zr incorporated in the material.

The improvements in the mechanical properties of the samples are due not
only to the addition of Zr but also to a correct manufacturing process consisting
of the homogeneous distribution of small grains of Zr as shown in the
micrographs.

In the ballistic tests, preliminary results show that the deformation in the
supporting aluminium plate that acts as a backup to the tiles was considerably
smaller in those which contained zirconia than in those of pure alumina. This
shows the activity of the strengthening mechanisms produced by the addition of
Zr, even for high speed deformation.

5 Conclusions

The addition of zirconia Y-PSZ to the mixture of alumina seems to have
considerably improved the properties of the sinterized material.

The density and microstructures obtained demonstrated a uniform and
adequate manufacturing process.

An important increase in the values of hardness, Charpy impact energy and
breaking tension can be observed.

The results were highly satisfactory. For subsequent research, the same
targets should be used with a perforating type projectile or, with the same
projectile, using thinner targets taking advantage of the apparent tenacity
increase in order to relieve weight.
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