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Abstract

The trust of customers in adherence to their expectation to a transport service determines the modal split of passenger transport. Therefore, it has to be a goal for each public transport operation company to maintain and to improve the customer’s trust. An important service criteria by a customer accepted public transport offer is travel time. The travel time depends not only on the running time, the dwell time, etc., but also on the number of transfer procedures and the transfer waiting time. One of the essential research problems in the surroundings of the connection planning is the question of under which condition it is appropriate for the planning of a connection. An important aspect to answer this question is the evaluation of the additional total travel time for all involved passengers in consideration of an achieved (additional travel time for transit passengers in the connecting vehicle) or not achieved (additional travel time for transfer passengers in the feeder vehicle) connection.

This paper develops a new method of optimized passenger orientated connection management during the planning process (scheduled timetable). The goal is to minimize the additional travel time in consideration of a planned or not planned connection based on the number of all involved passengers. At first it is necessary to identify all influencing variables. After that, all boundary conditions will be modelled by using the graph theory. With an adequate shortest path algorithm it is possible to generate connection strategies specific for stations, lines or whole networks. The particularities of railway operation (e.g. turnaround times, operating fleet, timetable interval, conflicts, infrastructure bottlenecks) have also been considered in the model.

For practical use the software tool ANPLA was developed, which is helpful for operating companies or for timetable construction and is used to solve the set
of problems in the surroundings of the connection management. Furthermore, the paper includes approaches for a web based application.  
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1 Introduction

In the surroundings of connection planning there is one essential research question:

_Under which condition is it appropriate to plan or not to plan a connection?_

An important aspect to answer this question is the evaluation of the additional total travel time for all involved passengers in consideration of an achieved or not achieved connection.

If passengers can reach their final destination only by transferring from one train into another train, the travel time increases according to the transfer time (minimum transfer time and transfer waiting time). The holding of a connection
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Figure 1: Case study for additional waiting times.
means an extension of the travel time for the passing through passengers (PTP). The no holding of a connection increases the travel time of the transfer passengers (TP). The travel time extension of the PTP in the connecting train and of the TP in the feeder train is normally anti-proportionally. The amount of the additional travel time for all concerned passengers depends of the difference between the arrival time of the feeder train and the departure time of the connecting train (figure 1). Additional dwell times only appear, if the technical minimum departure time of the connecting train is less than the amount of arrival time of the feeder train and the minimum transfer time (cases 1 and 4).

2 Influencing factors

The method for an optimized passenger orientated connection management and the influencing additional total travel depends on several influencing factors:
- Number of transfer passengers.
- Number of passing through passengers.
- Arrival time of the feeder train.
- Minimum transfer time of the feeder train to the connecting train.
- Departure time of the connecting train.
- Departure time of an alternative connecting train (service interval of the connecting train).

3 Determination of number of passengers

The method for an optimized passenger orientated connection management requires information about the modal split, the demand matrix and the time or date of a travel. For the determination of these values different resources are applicable (e.g. statistical evaluation of tickets, interview and count of passengers, positioning with handy ticketing, etc.).

4 Method for the optimized passenger orientated connection management [7]

To minimize the additional dwell times in the planning process, it is necessary to develop a method in consideration of the difference between the arrival time of the feeder train and the earliest departure time of the connecting train, required transfer times, the frequency of the connecting train (the earliest departure time of an alternative connecting train) and the number of concerned passengers.

4.1 Single connection management

The developed method will be demonstrated at first for a two train model (Figure 2), with transfer passengers from the feeder train to the connecting train (application: connection station is final destination of the feeder train).
Required data input:
- Number of passing through passengers (PTP).
- Number of transfer passengers (TP).
- Number of boarding passengers at connection station, without transfer passengers (BP).
- Number of “on the way” boarding passengers (OBP).
- Distance between the amount of the arrival time of the feeder train and the minimum transfer time and the technical minimum departure time of the connecting train.
- Technical minimum departure time of an alternative connecting train.

This data allows the calculation of the break even point, which defines the time distance between the arrival time of the feeder train (incl. the minimum transfer time) and the departure time of the connecting train. With concern to a minimized extension of travel times, time distance values below the break even point involve a planning of the connection. Time distance values above the break even point entail no planning of the connection.
Figure 3 shows an abstract of the break even point calculation in correlation of the proportion of the number of transfer passenger and the sum of the number of passing through passengers, boarding passengers and on the way boarding passengers and in correlation of the service interval of the connecting train.

The break even point calculation provides a comprehensive spectrum of applications for the scheduling of timetables:

- Determination of the maximum allowed time distance between the arrival time of the feeder train (incl. minimum transfer time) and departure time of the connecting train.
- Determination of the required service interval of the connecting train in cases of no planned connection strategy.
- Determination of required passing through passengers in cases of a no planned connection strategy.
- Determination of required transfer passengers in cases of a planned connection strategy.
- Determination of required minimum transfer time in cases of a planned connection strategy.

4.2 Multiple connection management

In the next step the developed method will be advanced for a station specific n-train model (Figure 4), with transfer passengers between all involved trains. The model for a station specific connection management depends on graph

![Figure 4: Graph of a station specific n-train model.](image)
algorithms. Each graph represents a station with several train connections. The starting node (source) is the pull into a station and the ending node (sink) is the exit of the station. Each involved train has a connection link between source and the second node. This second node represents the arrival time of this train (tan). A waiting link connects the arrival time with a possible departure time (tab) of this train. The number of different waiting time links depends on the number of involved trains. Each waiting time link includes costs and is representing a certain connection strategy of a train. The costs will be calculated based on the additional travel time of all involved passengers. The last link connects the possible departure time with the exit of the station (sink).

To detect an optimal connection strategy it is necessary to compare all the possible waiting links of a train. The link with the lowest costs and therewith the lowest additional waiting time for the concerned passengers is representing the optimal connection strategy. Each train has only one optimal waiting link. The calculation or selection of a waiting link of one train has no influence of the detection of the waiting links of the other trains. This means that the calculation of the optimal connection strategy is for a station specific n-train model for each train independent. The optimal connection strategy for the whole station is the sum of all calculated optimal waiting time links.

To identify the optimal connection strategy it is necessary to calculate the costs of each waiting link (HK) of a train. Therefore two equations (1+2) are necessary:

**Equation 1:**
Costs of the waiting link $\rightarrow$ Train $x_0$ without additional waiting time:

$$HK_{x_0} = \sum_{x=x_0+1}^{x=n} U_{(x)(x_0)} \cdot ((T(x_0) - (\tan(x) + tmüz(x)(x_0) - tab(x_0))))$$

with $x_0 = 1, \ldots, (n-1)$ and with $x > x_0$

if $x_0 = n \rightarrow HK = 0$

**Equation 2:**
Costs of the waiting link $\rightarrow$ Train $x_0$ is waiting for train $x$

$$HK_x =$$

(I) $D_{(x)} \cdot ((\tan(x) + tmüz(x)(x_0) - tab(x_0))) +$

(II) $\sum_{y=1}^{y=x_0-1} U_{(y)(x_0)} \cdot ((\tan(x) + tmüz(y)(x_0) - tab(x_0))) +$

(III) $\sum_{z=x_0+1}^{z=x-1} U_{(z)(x_0)} \cdot (((\tan(x) + tmüz(z)(x_0)) - (\tan(z) + tmüz(z)(x_0)))) +$

(IV) $\sum_{x=x}^{x=n-1} U_{(x)(x+1)(x_0)} \cdot ((T(x_0) - (\tan(x+1) + tmüz(x+1)(x_0) - tab(x_0))))$

with $x = x_0+1, \ldots, n$
**Description:**

(I): Additional travel time for passing through passengers of train xo

(II): Additional travel time for transfer passengers from train y (which arrives earlier than train xo) into train xo

(III): Additional travel time for transfer passengers from train z (which arrives later than train xo, but earlier than train x) into train xo

(IV): Additional travel time for transfer passengers from train x+1 (which arrives later than train x) into train xo

\( U(x)(xo) \): Transfer passengers from train x to train xo

\( T(xo) \): Departure time of the next connecting train (service interval)

\( \tan(x) \): Arrival time of the feeder train

\( \text{tab}(xo) \): Departure time of the connecting train

\( \text{tmüz}(x)(xo) \): Minimum transfer time from train x to train xo

\( D(xo) \): Passing through passengers of train xo

\( HK^x_{xo} \): Waiting link: Train xo is waiting for train x

**Equation 3:**
The link with the minimal costs (\( K_{\text{Min Zug xo}} \)) is representing the optimal connection strategy per train.

\[
K_{\text{Min Zug xo}} = \min\{HK^x_{xo} ; HK^x_{xo} \}
\]

**Equation 4:**
The sum of all minimal costs (\( K_{\text{Min}} \)) is representing the station specific optimal connection strategy:

\[
K_{\text{Min}} = \sum_{xo=1}^{xo=n} K_{\text{Min Zug xo}}
\]

**4.3 Track specific connection management**

In the last step the developed method will be advanced for an n-train model for several stations (Figure 5), with transfer passengers between all involved trains. The detection of an optimal connection strategy for several stations is distinctly more complicated and complex than the detection of a station specific optimal connection strategy. The determination of a path with minimal costs cannot be done station by station. It must be done simultaneously. The required model also depends on the graph algorithm. To model the connection management for several stations it is useful to use a reference train (yo), which is driving through all involved stations. The graph is representing the whole research area. The source represents the first station of the reference train. The final node represents the destination of the reference train. Links, constrained of the number of possible connection strategies, connect the source with the following nodes. These nodes are representing the new arrival time of the reference train at the following station. The arrival time depends on the chosen connection strategy at
the predecessor station. Waiting time links are connecting these nodes with the new arrival times at the next stations. At the last node all possible connection paths are running together. Each waiting link is a possible connection strategy of a train. The costs emerge from the additional travel times of all involved passengers. The detection of the minimum costs overall cannot be calculated train by train. Rather the costs have to be calculated for all influenced trains together. Each possible waiting link of a train at a station will be combined with all possible waiting links at the other stations. The link path (per station only one link) with the minimal additional waiting time or travel time for all passengers is representing the optimal connection strategy for the reference train and for all influenced trains. For the calculation the Dijkstra [1, 2 and 3] algorithm will be used (shortest path or path with minimal costs).

After the detection of an optimal connection strategy follows the verification of the feasibility related to operational aspects. Not conflict free connection strategies must be rejected. Their paths will be blocked and a new calculation will follow.

Figure 5 shows two examples of an abstract of a possible graph model. Example one is a very simple graph model. The connection strategies of the reference train yo and the other trains yo+1(a1), yo+2 (a1) and yo+1 (a2) are independent. They can be calculated by their own.

Figure 5: Example of a graph for the n-station and n-train model.
Example two shows that the connection strategy of train yo is directly connected with the connection strategy of train y-1(a2). The detection of an optimal connection strategy for the reference train yo is providing at the same time the connection strategy of train yo-1(a2). The connection strategies of other trains yo+1(a1) and yo+1(a2) can be calculated by their own.

The connection strategy of a train, which scheduled departure time at a station occurs earlier than the departure time of the reference train, depends on the chosen connection strategy of the reference train at predecessor stations.

Example 2 in Figure 5 illustrates these dependencies: A reference train (train yo) has fixed arrival times at the stations a1 and a2 depending on the chosen connection strategy at the predecessor station. At station a1 train 1 has 2 options: It can continue its journey without holding a connection or it can hold a connection to train yo+1(a1). Therefore, the arrival time of train 1 at station a2 depends on the chosen strategy at station a1. At station a2 train yo-1(a2) has a scheduled departure time which is located earlier than the possible arrival times of train yo. This means that the waiting time costs of train yo-1(a2) depend on the chosen connection strategy of train yo at station a1. If train yo-1(a2) is not waiting for train yo, the additional travel time of transfer passengers of train yo increase. This additional time is independent of the chosen connection strategy at station a1. If train yo-1(a2) is waiting for train yo different additional waiting time costs (depending on the chosen strategy in a1) for the passing through passenger in train yo-1(a2) occur. This waiting time increase depending on postponed arrival time of train yo. To find the minimal additional waiting time for all involved passengers it is necessary to combine all possible connection strategies.

5 Software tool for the optimized passenger orientated connection management

For the application of the method of a passenger orientated connection management were developed the software tool ANPLA (Figure 6). ANPLA [7] is based on the software and algorithm technology successfully used for the implementation of Dispo [2, 3, and 6], the railway vehicle allocation tool of IVE. ANPLA calculates for

- n-trains,
- n-stations and
- n-connections

depending on

- the number of concerned passengers,
- the minimum transfer time,
- the service interval of the connecting train and
- the scheduled arrival and departure times

the optimized connection strategy of each train.

The user has, with ANPLA, a tool which could answer the question “to plan or not to plan a connection” fast and reliably. ANPLA is a proper tool during the
timetable construction process to evaluate possible connections, to plan intervals and to define the use of the platforms. Additionally, ANPLA is a useful tool during the operation process and especially for the dispatcher to evaluate, if the connecting train should hold the connection to a delayed feeder train. All railway particularities (e.g. turnaround times, operating fleet, timetable interval, conflicts, infrastructure bottlenecks) are considered in the method of an optimized passenger orientated connection management. But, as well, the system is applicable for all other public transportation systems (e.g. bus, ship or air traffic).

6 Workflow and web based application

After the first stand-alone tests phase of ANPLA the integration into the RailSys®-Enterprise environment to support the timetable request and construction process will be the next step. Figure 7 illustrates an adequate modern workflow between different Train Operating Companies (TOC) and a Railway Infrastructure Undertaking (RIU).

The TOC request train slots and other information from the RIU. In the past, this process in general was a time consuming manual task using telephone, pen and paper or simple spreadsheets. The web based RailSys®-CRM (Customer Relation Management) solution for the Hamburg Port Authority (HPA) offers far more possibilities to support this process taking into account the increased time pressure for the planning tasks. TOC and RIU can save time of unnecessary (multiple) data entry and, therefore avoid mistakes. Furthermore, the streaming less flow of data enables the RUI to perform the time table construction on the
Figure 7: Timetable management workflow and application of ANPLA.

Figure 8: Example of the web interface of RailSys®-CRM.
bases of the original requests and follow up changes in a much better quality to construct a non discriminating timetable. Using this technology, the RIU can provide all necessary information to rail regulation authorities to prove the non discriminating timetable construction according to the agreed timetable construction rules. Figure 8 shows an example of the web interface of the RailSys®-CRM [4, 5] module.

The integration of timetable construction and railway operation simulation enables the RIU to analyse the performance and stability of the timetable before setting the timetable into operation. Analogous to the railway operation simulation, ANPLA will be integrated in this process to perform the planning of various TOC driven passenger orientated strategies. For this task, the TOC should submit their strategies or parts of their strategy regarding the passenger connection in stations to the RIU. These additional requests would enable the RIU to consider the TOC demands in a further dimension to construct timetables in a much better quality.

7 Results and implementation

The overall result is the construction of a timetable with optimized total travel times and dwell times at connection stations in correlation of the service interval and the number of concerned passengers. The potentials for implementation and the impacts for the railway business are for the timetable construction for train operating companies and for an improvement of the service quality of the transport offer by reducing the total travel time.

The new method of a passenger orientated connection management during the planning process and the software tool ANPLA

- will reduce the transfer time,
- will arise the proportion of direct connections,
- will minimize the travel time of all concerned passengers,
- can be integrated into the complex timetable planning environments,
- are applicable for single, multiple or track specific connections, and
- are adaptive for all public transport systems.

References

[2] Radtke, A., Software tools to model the railway operation, Habilitation at the Institute of Transport Railway Construction and Operation, Leibniz University of Hannover, 2005

