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Abstract

With economic development, energy needs grew, utilizing natural resources such as wood, fossil fuels, and nuclear energy in the preceding century. However, rising concerns on energy security and climate change in recent years have focused attention on using alternative sources of energy such as bio-fuels. Bio-fuels are produced from renewable resources, particularly plant-derived materials, and its production provides an alternative nonfossil fuel without the need to redesign current engine technology. This study presents an experimental investigation into the effects of using bio-diesel blends on diesel engine performance and its emissions. The bio-diesel fuels were produced from sunflower oil using the transesterification process with low molecular weight alcohols and sodium hydroxide then tested on a steady state engine test rig using a four cylinder Compression Ignition (CI) engine. The paper also shows how by blending bio-diesel with diesel fuel harmful gas emissions can be reduced while maintaining similar performance output and efficiency. Production optimization was achieved by changing the variables which included methanol/oil molar ratio, NaOH catalyst concentration, reaction time, reaction temperature, and rate of mixing to maximize bio-diesel yield. In addition, a second-order model was developed to predict the bio-diesel yield if the production criteria is known. It was determined that the catalyst concentration and molar ratio of methanol to sunflower oil were the most influential variables affecting percentage conversion to fuel.
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1 Introduction

Energy is very important for humans as it is used to sustain and improve their well-being. It exists in various forms, from many different sources. The concerns over global warming and energy security have raised the issue of using alternative sources of energy such as bio-fuels produced from renewable resources such as plant. There are mainly two types of bio-fuels (first generation bio-fuels): ethanol – produced by fermentation of starch or sugar (such as grains, sugarcane, sugar-beet) and bio-diesel – produced by processing vegetable oils (such as sunflower, rapeseed, palmoil). Another type of bio-fuel is cellulosic ethanol known as second generation bio-fuel, produced mainly from wood, grasses and other lignocellulosic materials from renewable sources.

Bio-fuels have become a high priority in the European Union, Brazil, the United States and many other countries, due to concerns about oil dependence and interest in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The European Union Bio-fuels Directive required that member states realize a 10% share of biofuels (on energy basis) in the liquid fuels market by 2020 [1]. For bio-diesel production, most of the European countries use rapeseed and sunflower oil as their main feedstock while soybean oil is the main feedstock in the United States. Palm oil in South-east Asia (Malaysia and Indonesia) and coconut oil in the Philippines are being considered. In addition, some species of plants yielding non-edible oils, e.g. jatropha, karanja and pongamia, may play a significant role in providing resources.

Bio-diesel is derived from vegetable oils or animal fats through transesterification [2] which uses alcohols in the presence of a catalyst that chemically breaks the molecules of triglycerides into alkyl esters as bio-diesel fuels with glycerol as a by-product. The commonly used alcohols for the transesterification include methanol and ethanol. Methanol is adopted most frequently, due to its low cost.

Engine performance testing of bio-diesels and their blends is indispensable for evaluating their relevant properties. Several research groups have investigated the properties of a bio-diesel blend with soybean oil methyl esters in diesel engines and found that CO and soot mass emissions decreased, while NOx increased. Labeckas and Slavinskas [3], examined the performance and exhaust emissions of rapeseed oil methyl esters in direct injection diesel engines, and found that there were lower emissions of CO, CO₂ and HC. Similar results were reported by Kalligeros et al. [4], for methyl esters of sunflower oil and olive oil when they were blended with marine diesel and tested in a stationary diesel engine. Raheman and Phadatare [5], studied the fuel properties of karanja methyl esters blended with diesel from 20% to 80% by volume. It was found that B20 (a blend of 20% bio-diesel and 80% petroleum diesel) and B40 could be used as an appropriate alternative fuel to petroleum diesels because they apparently produced less CO, NOx emissions, and smoke density. A technique to produce biodiesel from crude Jatropha curcas seed oil (CJCO) having high free fatty acids (15%FFA) has been developed by Berchmans and Hirata [6]; a two-stage transesterification process was selected to improve the methyl ester yield.
Lin et al. [7] confirmed that emission of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) decreased when the ratio of palm bio-diesel increased in a blend with petroleum diesel. In general, bio-diesel demonstrated improved emissions by reducing CO, CO$_2$, HC and PAH emissions though, in some cases, NOx increased.

The objective of this study was to optimize the production of bio-diesel from sunflower oil within a laboratory environment and to evaluate its effectiveness through testing using a laboratory engine test rig. The results showed improved engine performance and reduced exhaust gas emissions with levels acceptable to the standard ASTM D6751 (which was correlated to the content of pigments such as gossypol) [8]. A literature search indicated that little research has been conducted using RSM to analyse the optimal production of biodiesel using vegetable oils. This study intended to make use of the RSM process to maximize the production of bio-diesel from sunflower oil using the conventional transesterification method. In addition to using the RSM for optimizing the methanolyis of sunflower oil, it was also desired to develop a mathematical model which would describe the relationships between the variables and so allow yield to be predicted before the production process was finalised.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

The materials used in this study including methanol, sodium hydroxide and sunflower oil were purchased from Fisher Scientific and local shops in the city of Huddersfield, United Kingdom. The bio-diesel from sunflower oil was blended at B5 (5% of bio-diesel to 95% of standard diesel by volume), B10, B15 and B20 and evaluated for engine performance and exhaust gas emissions compared to standard diesel.

2.2 Equipment

Experiments were conducted in a laboratory-scale setup. A 500 ml, three-necked flask equipped with a condenser, a magnetic stirrer and a thermometer was used for the reaction. The flask was kept in the 35 °C water bath and stirring speed was maintained at 200 rpm. The reaction production was allowed to settle before removing the glycerol layer from the bottom, and using a separating funnel to obtain the ester layer on the top, separated as bio-diesel.

2.3 Fatty acid profile

In accordance with the approved method of the American Oil Chemists Society (AOCS), eqn (1) was used to calculate the FFA content of vegetable oils:

$$\%\text{Free Fatty Acid (as oleic acid)} = \frac{T_v \times M \times 28.2}{W}$$

(1)
where \( T_v \) is the titration value (ml of NaOH), \( M \) the Molarity of NaOH (0.025M), and \( W \) the mass of oil sample (g).

3 Experimental setup

3.1 Biodiesel production process

The presence of NaOH is meant to produce methyl esters of fatty acids (biodiesel) and glycerol as shown in fig. 1. In this study, the reaction temperature was kept constant, at 35 °C. The amount of methanol needed was determined by the methanol/oil molar ratio. An appropriate amount of catalyst dissolved in the methanol was added to the precisely prepared sunflower oil. The percentage of the biodiesel yield was determined by comparing the weight of up layer biodiesel with the weight of sunflower oil added. Figure 1 shows the reaction conversion of vegetable oils to bio-diesel.

\[
\text{Major process: triglyceride to methyl esters}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Triglyceride} + \text{CH}_3\text{OH} &\xrightarrow{\text{KOH or NaOH}} \text{Fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) - Bio-diesel} \\
\text{KOH + Free Fatty Acids} &\Rightarrow \text{Soap}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 1: Chemical reaction for sunflower bio-diesel production.

3.2 Engine test setup

The performance of the bio-diesel produced by the transesterification process was evaluated on a Euro 4 diesel engine mounted on a steady state engine test bed. The engine was a four-stroke, direct injection diesel engine, turbocharged diesel, 2009 2.2L Ford Puma Engine as used on the range of Ford Transit vans. The general specification was Bore = 89.9 mm, stroke = 94.6 mm, engine capacity = 2402 cc, compression ratio = 17.5:1, fuel injection release pressure = 135 bar, max power = 130 kW @ 3500 rpm, max torque = 375.0 Nm @ 2000–2250. Emissions were measured using a Horiba EXSA 1500 system, measuring \( \text{CO}_2, \text{CO}, \text{NOx} \) and THC. The test procedure was to run the engine at 25, 50, 75 and 100% engine load over a range of predetermined speeds, 1500, 2200, 2600, 3000 and 3300 rpm. At each of these settings, the torque, fuel consumption and emissions were measured, the standard diesel forming the benchmark.
3.3 Trials and optimization

Optimization of the transesterification process was conducted via a 3-factor experiment to examine effects of methanol/oil molar ratio \((M)\), reaction time \((T)\), and catalyst concentration \((C)\) on yield of methyl ester using a central composite rotatable design (CCRD). The CCRD consisted of 20 experimental runs \((2k + 2k + m)\), where \(k\) is the number of factors and \(m\) the number of replicated centre points, eight factorial points \((2k)\), six axial points \((2 x k)\), and six replicated centre points \((m = 6)\). Here \(k\) is the number of independent variables, and \(k=3\) should provide sufficient information to allow a full second-order polynomial model. The axial point would have \(\alpha = 1.68\). Results from previous research [9] were used to establish a centre point of the CCRD for each factor. The centre point is the median of the range of values used: 6/1 for methanol/oil molar ratio, 1\% catalyst concentration and 60 min reaction time. To avoid bias, the 20 experimental runs were performed in random order. Design-Expert 8.0 software was used for regression and graphical analyses of the data obtained. The experimental data was analyzed using response surface regression (RSREG) procedure in the statistic analysis system (SAS) that fits a full second-order polynomial model

\[
y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \beta_{ij} x_i x_j \tag{2}
\]

where \(y\) is \% methyl ester yield, \(x_i\) and \(x_j\) are the independent study factors, and \(\beta_0\), \(\beta_i\), \(\beta_{ii}\) and \(\beta_{ij}\) are intercept, linear, quadratic, and interaction constant coefficients, respectively. A confidence level of \(\alpha = 5\%\) was used to examine the statistical significance of the fitted polynomial model.

The RSREG procedure uses canonical analysis to estimate stationary values for each factor. Using the fitted model, response surface contour plots were constructed for each pair of factors being studied while holding the third factor constant at its estimated stationary point. Confirmatory experiments were carried out to validate the model using combinations of independent variables that were not a part of the original experimental design but within the experimental region.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Fatty acid content analysis

Since higher amounts of free fatty acid (FFA) (>1\%w/w) in the feedstock can directly react with the alkaline catalyst to form soaps, which can then form stable emulsions and prevent separation of the bio-diesel from the glycerol fraction and decrease the yield, it is better to select reactant oils with low FFA content or to reduce FFA in the oil to an acceptable level before the reaction. Nevertheless, the FFA (calculated as oleic acid) content of the sunflower oil used in this experiment was, on average, only 0.13\% which was within acceptable levels to be directly used for reaction with the alkaline catalyst to produce bio-diesel [10].
The remaining main factors affecting the transesterification include reaction time, temperature, molar ratio, rate of mixing, and catalyst concentration.

### 4.2 Modelling the biodiesel production

The regression coefficients for a second-order polynomial model have been determined from Design-Expert 8.0 software program; using these coefficients, the predicted model in terms of uncoded factors for methyl ester yield is given by

\[
Y_{\text{yield}} = -121.52 - 1.29T + 32.05M + 183.66C + 0.49TM - 0.59TC - 4.44MC - 0.05T^2 - 1.99M^2 - 62.91C^2
\]  

(3)

where \( Y_{\text{yield}} \) is the methyl ester yield, and \( T, M \) and \( C \) are the actual values of the test variables. These coefficients show that the linear terms for methanol/oil molar ratio and catalyst concentration (\( M \) and \( C \), respectively), the quadratic terms in \( M^2 \) and \( C^2 \), and the interaction terms in \( TC \) and \( TM \) had significant effects on the yield. Among these, \( M, C, C^2 \) and \( MC \) were significant at the significance level, while \( M^2 \) and \( TM \) were significant at the level.

The results suggest that linear effects of changes in molar ratio (\( M \)) and catalyst concentration (\( C \)) and the quadratic effect \( C^2 \) were primary determining factors on the methyl ester yield as these had the largest coefficients. That the quadratic effect, \( M^2 \) and the interaction effect \( MC \) were secondary determining factors and those other terms of the model showed no significant effect on \( Y_{\text{yield}} \). Positive coefficients, as with \( M \) and \( C \), enhance the yield. However, all the other terms had negative coefficients. Figure 2 show the predicted yield obtained by eqn (3) denoted by solid line and comparison with experimental measured yield for twenty runs.

![Figure 2: Biodiesel production predicted data and comparison with experimental measured data.](image-url)
4.3 Engine performance and emission results

4.3.1 Brake performance analysis
Due to the lower calorific value of biodiesel, both torque and brake power reduces. Figures 3 and 4 show the effect of standard diesel and biodiesel fuel on brake power and torque respectively. However, the differences between standard diesel and biodiesel were very small in most cases. Figure 5 presents the effects of standard diesel and biodiesel fuel on BSFC; a bigger difference is shown in higher speed engine. The increase of BSFC may be attributed to the higher density, higher fuel consumption and lower brake power due to lower calorific value of the biodiesel.

![Figure 3: Variation of the brake power with the engine speed, at full load.](image)

![Figure 4: Variation of the brake torque with the engine speed, at full load.](image)

4.3.2 Engine performance analysis
Sunflower oil itself has relatively low energy content, but the bio-diesel fuel produced from it has a value of about 37.5 MJ/kg, close to that of petroleum diesel; this means that efficiency and output is lower but only by a small percentage. Figures 6 and 7 show the curves for engine power and torque respectively. By simple proportions the energy content of the blend can be calculated. Energy content of blend = (%diesel \times 42.5 + %bio-diesel \times 37.5). It
can be seen from fig. 6 that the loss in power is close to the value predicted. At 20% bio-diesel the calculated power is 41.5 MJ/kg, a decrease of 2.35% compared to petroleum diesel, the measured decrease was about 1.72%.

The same trend in the results was seen for torque, there was a progressive decrease in torque as the proportion of bio-diesel in the blend increased (see figs. 6 and 7). The decrease in torque was more apparent than that of the power, because diesel engines are more focused on torque curves than power curves.

Figure 5: Variation of BSFC with the engine speed, at full load.

Figure 6: Average power output for different bio-diesel blends.

Figure 7: Torque output for different bio-diesel blends.
4.3.3 Engine exhaust gas emissions analysis

As was stated previously the results of bio-diesel blend fuels over the petroleum diesel should show decrease in the emissions of CO, HC, with a slight increase in NOx, and overall similar values for CO\textsubscript{2}. This trend can be seen in fig. 8.

When bio-diesel is present there is additional carbon, hydrogen and oxygen to be added to the reaction. The resulting problem is seen at B5, this additional carbon caused the emitted CO\textsubscript{2}\% to increase. This then falls as the proportion of bio-diesel is increased and a state similar to that for diesel fuel is reached at about B20. Following this trend it is estimated that at higher concentrations of bio-diesel blends (\(> B20\)) the CO\textsubscript{2}\% emitted would actually be lower than for diesel fuel.

![Figure 8: Variation of carbon dioxide emissions for different biodiesel.](image)

The second emission to be analyzed is CO. Carbon Monoxide is present when dissociation is present in the combustion due to incomplete combustion. Figure 9 shows the CO emission for the bio-diesel obtained from sunflower oil. From the data it was clear that the CO emission decreased as the bio-diesel blend increased.

![Figure 9: Variation of carbon monoxide emissions for different biodiesel blends.](image)
Hydrocarbon emission should be reduced by the use of bio-diesel. From the data in fig. 10 there was significant and substantial decrease in HC emissions. As the combustion becomes more complete less dissociation occurs yielding fewer hydrocarbons in the emissions. The decrease in HCs from over 40 ppm to less than 30 ppm is good for a fuel which is as efficient as diesel fuel but friendlier to the environment. An oxide of nitrogen (NOx) was the only emission which did not seem to show a decrease relative to diesel fuel. In fact it was increasing steadily as the percentage of bio-diesel blend increased, see fig. 11. From the data it was apparent that the change is only being incremented at B20 by a maximum value of 3.21%, yet with a mean more resembling that of 2.33%. An oxide of nitrogen is the only emission which did not seem to show a decrease relative to petroleum diesel. In fact it was increasing steadily as the percentage of bio-diesel blend increased.

**Figure 10**: Variation of hydrocarbon emissions for different biodiesel blends.

**Figure 11**: Variation of oxide of nitrogen emissions for different biodiesel blends.

In addition to sunflower bio-diesel various vegetable oils were tested in this study to compare the engine exhaust emissions. Figure 12 shows the effects of standard diesel and bio-diesel fuel on (HC) emission; bio-diesel produces lower HC emission. This may be attributed to the availability of oxygen in bio-diesel,
which facilitates better combustion. Also, HC emission of bio-diesel was almost identical. Figure 13 shows the effects of the other bio-diesel on CO$_2$ emission and comparison with the standard diesel effect.

Figure 12: Variation of hydrocarbon emissions with load for fuels tested.

Figure 13: Variation of carbon dioxide emissions with load for fuels tested.

5 Conclusion

The study showed that after testerification of vegetable oils, the kinematic viscosity is reduced from 40 mm$^2$/s to 5 mm$^2$/s. For the analyzed samples, the properties were similar in some cases and different in others. Any types of vegetable oils bio-diesel can be used as an alternate and nonconventional fuel to run all types of CI engine. By running biodiesel fuel, the experimental data was showed decreased in almost all the emissions (CO, THC and CO$_2$) except for NOx. The study has shown that no matter what type of feedstock is used there will be very similar decreases in emissions and performance of the engine. From the combustion analysis it was found the performance of the B20 was as good as that of standard diesel and the difference in the brake power and torque were very small in most cases. RSM proved to be a powerful tool for the optimization
of methyl ester production at a fixed temperature. The optimal conditions for the maximum methyl ester yield were found to be at methanol/oil molar ratio of 7.7:1, NaOH catalyst concentration of 1%, reaction temperature 35 °C, rate of mixing 200 rpm and a reaction time of 60 min.
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