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ABSTRACT
Last December 2020, the European Commission welcomes the political agreement reached between the European Parliament and the Council on the Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF) already approved. RRF will be structured around six pillars. Between them, the first pillar is ‘green transition’. Green transition includes the goal of balanced CO₂ emissions in 2050. Inside this framework, European transport system must to achieve climate neutrality by 2050. This objective implies recovery transport system to sustainable modes. One of main ways to make the change is translate passengers and freight transport from road to railways that avoid greenhouse emissions if it uses electric energy with sustainable origins. To planning the change is important to now the mobility of passengers and freight by road. This article analyses the mobilities’ situation in Spain by road represented such as network of main nodes and trams, passengers and freight, for medium and large distance in 2017.
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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Previous discussion

The consequences of urban transport in air pollution are well known for a long time. For example, European Commission was working about the urban environment from 1980s and sent a Communication to the Council and Parliament already in 1990. This communication has the title ‘Green Paper on the Urban Environment’ [1] and describe the environmental situation of European cities at the moment and identify the main environmental problems, air pollution produced by traffic between them. But before, some authors such as Colin Buchanan in 1963 [2] or Jane Jacobs in 1961 [3], showed the negative consequences of traffic for society. The urban environmental question has been analysed extensively. In Spain the analysis was updated in 2007 [4] by a report promoted by Ministry of Environment (‘ministerio del medio ambiente’ in Spanish) and in 2012 [5] by a report promoted by Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Environment (‘Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente’ in Spanish).

In consequence, there is a need to change the transport system of cities and territory to improve quality of live and avoid dependence of oil in Europe. This change presents many facets. On the one hand, there are some transport modes: road, maritime, air, railway, channels, etc. In second place, there are passenger and freight transport. In the third place, the efficiency and characteristics of transport are function of distances origin-destination. And finally, transport technology is changing continuously, and as a consequence, the boundary conditions to optimize the efficiency of the transport system also change continuously. In addition, if technology can change rapidly, to the contrary changes in territorial infrastructures to adapt them are very slow and often costly.

Progressively, the analysis of transport system has been changing towards an approach from the point of view of mobility. That is, an approach that consider the movement of passenger/freight from origin to destination by a travel which usually is doing by several transport modes.
With this framework, explained in a synthetic way, it is easy to understand that there are many different ways to change the transport system towards more sustainable modes. That is, to generate a transport system, or a mobility system, with less/zero greenhouse gases emission and energy consumption from sustainable sources.

This article is focused about the potential change to translate passengers and freight from road to railways in Spain. In Spain, there are some railway network:

a) High speed rail (HSR); electric energy AC 25 kV and 50 Hz; width international UIC (1,435 mm); maximal speed ~300 km/h.

b) Conventional train (CT); electric energy CC 3 kV; width Iberic IB (1,668 mm); maximal speed ~200 km/h.

c) Regional trains; width 1 m; only in some urban or metropolitan areas.

From of point of view of passenger transport, HSR is more competitive front air transport in distances between 200 and 500 km approximately. However, CT is progressively improving its possibilities increasing maximal speed and capacity to move by both widths, UIC and IB, in the same travel. In this situation, it is possible to consider approximately three type of distances for passengers:

a) 0–200 km: close distances; in general, urban or metropolitan areas have borders inside this size.

b) 200–500 km: long distances; in general, approximately, urban or metropolitan areas in Spain have these distances between them

c) More than 500 km: in general, in this case, air transport is more competitive than railway.

In any case, usually passenger by train need use others transport to the ‘last mile’. That is, railway travel is station-station and passenger need in addition move from origin to initial station and from final station to destination. On the contrary, road mode allows ‘door-to-door’ travel or a trip directly from origin to destination. Of course, car travels in urban or metropolitan areas have other kind of problems such as congestion.

For freight transport the situation is different. Railway can be an alternative to road mode but always will be necessary to do the ‘last mile’ by road. In addition, with some exception, air mode is not alternative to road mode that can move big quantities of merchandises. In this situation, distances less than 200 km probably always is more competitive the road move. For longer distances it is possible that the railway can compete with the road but, in this case, other variables can be very determinants, for example, the efficiency of loading-unloading in the ‘last mile’ from origin or to destination.

This article is focused on the potential to translate passengers and freight from road to railway in Spain according to the number of passengers and quantity of freight that exist in the different transport corridors.

1.2 Evolution of modal split in Europe and Spain

To understand how it is possible to produce an ecological transition of transport system and mobility, it is convenient to know last trends on modal split in Europe and Spain. In Table 1, the evolution of modal split for passenger transport from 1995 to 2016 is shown. In Fig.1, the evolution of passenger-kilometre for each transport mode is shown. The proportion of passenger cars decreases but very little and maintain a proportion between 71% and 73%. The
most ecological transport modes, railway and tram & metro, practically do not change their proportion or their importance as a means of transport. On the contrary, the only mode that increase it importance is air transport, from 6.5% to 10.5%, that also is the mode that produces more greenhouse gases per passenger. Figure 1 shows that the quantity of passenger-kilometre increases for cars, air and tram & metro modes. So, in fact, the quantity of trips by the most polluting modes increases. Obviously, in these conditions, there are many potential

Table 1: Evolution of modal split for passenger transport in EU. *(Source: European Commission [6]*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Passengers</th>
<th>P2W</th>
<th>Bus &amp; Coach</th>
<th>Railway</th>
<th>Tram &amp; Metro</th>
<th>Air</th>
<th>Sea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: EU performance by mode for passenger transport. *(Source: European Commission [6]*)
possibilities to reduce greenhouse gases emissions moving passengers from not ecological modes to ecological modes, that is, from cars, bus & coach and air transport to railway and tram & metro.

In addition, of course, changes in technology also allow to achieve the goal of greenhouse gases reduction. So, electric or hydrogen cars and buses allow eliminate greenhouse gases emissions. Probably the final scenario will be a combination of both possibilities.

In Table 2, the evolution of modal split for freight transport from 1995 to 2016 is shown. The proportion of freight transport by road increases from 45.3% in 1995 to 49.3% in 2016. The most ecological transport mode, railway, decreases from 13.6% to 11.2%. The others one transport modes maintain its proportions. In addition, note you that inland Europe sea transport is really very low. So most of the sea transport is international transport out of Europe and the proportion of road transport inland Europe is much higher, about 72.8% in 2016.

Figure 2 shows the evolution of tonne-kilometre transported by each transport mode. The quantity of tonne-kilometre transported by rail, inland waterway, oil pipeline and air is practically constant. Only transport by road or sea increasing.

In consequence, in these conditions also there are many potential possibilities to reduce greenhouse gases emissions moving freight from not ecological modes, specially road, to ecological modes, specially railway. This change of freight transport mode is possible for a long distance because for a short distance door-to-door, freight transport by truck is more competitive.

Table 3 and 4 shows the particular evolution of modal split in Spain for passenger and freight transport. The transport distribution between road and railway transport in Spain is more unbalanced than Europe. Thus, for passengers 87.33% of transport in 2015 was by road and only 6.38% was by railway. For freight transport, there was 82.38% by road or truck and only 3.52% by railway. Therefore, the situation in Spain is more radical than Europe and, in consequence, there is more potential possibilities to translate passenger and freight transport from road to railway.

On the other hand, the situation of railway network is complicated in Spain as discussed in section 1.1. Spain decided to develop a railway network for HSR in 1990s with international width which allows connect directly with European railway network. The process to develop this new railway network progress little by little with different territorial impacts

Table 2: Evolution of modal split for freight transport in EU. (*Source: European Commission [6]*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Road</th>
<th>Rail</th>
<th>Inland Waterways</th>
<th>Pipelines</th>
<th>Sea</th>
<th>Air</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Evolution of modal split for passenger transport in Spain. (Source: Ministerio de Fomento [7])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>391.711</td>
<td>377.544</td>
<td>372.313</td>
<td>350.393</td>
<td>366.092</td>
<td>87.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>30.410</td>
<td>26.678</td>
<td>23.537</td>
<td>23.895</td>
<td>25.392</td>
<td>6.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea</td>
<td>1.444</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>1.578</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>446.878</td>
<td>428.680</td>
<td>421.751</td>
<td>400.854</td>
<td>419.196</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Evolution of modal split for freight transport in Spain. (Source: Ministerio de Fomento [7])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>264.806</td>
<td>241.973</td>
<td>237.455</td>
<td>243.915</td>
<td>254.875</td>
<td>82.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railways</td>
<td>9.588</td>
<td>9.393</td>
<td>9.367</td>
<td>10.303</td>
<td>10.884</td>
<td>3.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea</td>
<td>41.680</td>
<td>40.365</td>
<td>39.367</td>
<td>40.449</td>
<td>43.580</td>
<td>14.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>316.151</td>
<td>291.798</td>
<td>286.251</td>
<td>294.735</td>
<td>309.403</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In fact, today in Spain there are several railway services: high speed rail (width UIC), regional by conventional train (width IB) and short distance (width IB). At the moment, the most efficient service to long distances in Spain is HSR if it exists. But we must remember that HSR infrastructure is not prepared to freight trains because HSR trains are swift and relatively light. They need a perfectly aligned infrastructure. On the contrary, freight trains are heavy and slow. They deform the alignment of the rails. In consequence, in general, the high-speed network is not suitable for freight transport. So, in Spain, freight transport uses conventional network (width IB). With exceptions (Catalonia-French), this network does not connect directly with European railway network.

On the other hand, Spain publishes about traffic on the national road network [13]. Data map shows the ‘Intensidad Media Diaria (IMD)’ (average daily intensity of traffic) in points with gauging station. Data includes total intensity and percentage of P2W, light and heavy vehicles, that is, motorbike or similar, private car or similar and trucks. Therefore, this data can be uses to calculate passenger and freight transport by road. Usually, traffic increases when we approach urban centres or centres of urban areas. In the case of close urban areas, the hinterland of both areas overlapping and both can be considered as a one functional area. This is the case of the cities Alacant-Elx, Málaga-Granada or Gasteiz-Bilbao-Donostia. With this hypothesis, it is possible to obtain the average daily intensity of private cars and trucks between urban areas located a long distance each other.

1.3 Next Generation EU

The Next Generation EU is the temporary instrument designed to boost the recovery, will be the largest stimulus package ever financed through the EU budget [14]. The Recovery and Resilience Facility is the centrepiece of Next Generation EU. According to European Commission: ‘The aim is to mitigate the economic and social impact of the coronavirus pandemic and make European economies and societies more sustainable, resilient and better prepared for the challenges and opportunities of the green and digital transitions’. On 18 February 2021, Official Journal of the European Union published the REGULATION (EU) 2021/241 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 12 February 2021 establishing the Recovery and Resilience Facility. This regulation establishes in art. 3 the 6 pillars of European policy to apply Recovery and Resilience Facility (RRF). Inside the first pillar, green transition, there is the European policy to reach EU climate neutrality by 2050. Consequently, move passengers and freight transport from road by vehicles with greenhouse gases emissions, to public transport as railway, especially electric with sustainable energy sources, is a direct way to achieve the green deal objectives.

2 OBJECTIVES

This article shows the results of a part of a study carried out for a group of municipalities in the surroundings of Valencia (Spain) for the development of a proposal for an arterial railway network in the metropolitan area of Valencia.

The objectives of this part of a study are to analyse the potential that exist to move passengers and freight from road modes to railways modes for long distance with especial attention about the situation of metropolitan area of València. Traffic for short distance, like urban or metropolitan traffic, is out of objectives of this study because it has especial characteristics.
3 MATERIAL AND METHODS

3.1 Data set

Ministry of Transport, Mobility and Urban Agenda (‘Ministerio de Transporte, Movilidad y Agenda Urbana’ in Spanish) publish a traffic map for each year. At the time the study was done, the last traffic map published was from 2017. Data for 2018 are now available and its are similar to 2017. Note you that these data are before COVID period that start in Spain about January 2020. As discussed in section 1.2, data map shows the average daily intensity of traffic (IMD in Spanish) in points with gauging station on the national road network. In addition, includes percentage of light (cars and motorbike) and heavy (trucks) vehicles.

3.2 Methods

Based on traffic map, it is possible build the corridors road network. That is, the main movements of passengers and freight between the main nodes. To build the network, first the main nodes have been identified. These nodes are connected between them by road trams. There are three type of nodes: urban/metropolitan centres, road junctions and international connections. On the other hand, some important cities are very close between them. In these cases, we accept that the group of cities are an only functional area. That are the cases of Alacant-Eix, Málaga-Granada and Gasteiz-Bilbao-Donostia.

Traffic intensity increases when we approaching to urban/metropolitan nodes. Therefore, we can adopt the criteria that traffic flux between nodes will be the minimal IMD in each road tram to both passengers and freight transport. By this way we can obtain the IMD for each tram between nodes as number of light and heavy vehicles.

In addition, almost all light vehicles are cars with passengers. Then, let us consider the approximation that all light vehicles are cars with passengers. And we apply the same approximation to freight transport: all heavy vehicles are cargo trucks.

In the next phase, we estimate the number of passengers and the amount of cargo transported. To estimate the number of passengers by tram we need to know the average number of passengers by car. El ‘Observatorio del Transporte y la Logística en España’ (‘Observatory of Transport and Logistics in Spain’ in English) publishes annual reports about the situation of transport in Spain. In the methodological annex of 2019 [15] establish that the occupancy coefficient of cars in inter-cities travels is 1.68 passengers/car. With this coefficient and the daily average intensity, it is possible to estimate the number of passengers by tram and year.

To estimate the number of tonnes transported by tram also it is necessary to know the average cargo by truck. The ‘Observatorio del Transporte y la Logística en España’ published data about freight transport between regions in Spain in 2017 on ‘Memoria Anual 2018’ [16] but it is difficult to translate these data to truck intensity by road. Instead, it is possible to estimate quantity of tonnes based in transported freight by truck and percentage of trucks in circulation with cargo. The January 2019 report of the ‘Observatorio de costes del transporte de mercancías por carretera’ [17] considered usual in Spain the semitrailer truck of 40–44 Tn that can transport a load of 25 Tn. But the truck does not always travel loading during a trip. The report cited consider a real cargo of 85% of its capacity. That is, as average, the trucks travel a 85% of time with cargo and a 15% of time without cargo. In addition, the truck can travel some trips without cargo. In fact, the truck travels to move cargo from origin to destination but does not always come back loaded. Consequently, in the worst-case scenario, the trucks run loaded for 50% of the trip while, in the best-case scenario, the trucks
run loaded for 100% of the trip. That is, the percentage of loaded trucks in circulation can vary between a minimum of 50% and a maximum of 100%. In fact, this percentage can vary, for example, between periods of economic crisis (more difficult to find cargo to come back) and periods of economic expansion. In this study we have used a percentage of 80% of loaded trucks in circulation. So, as average, the real load transportation that has been used is: $25 \times 0.85 \times 0.8 = 17$ Tn/truck. This coefficient allows to estimate load transport by tram of network and year.

4 RESULTS

Figures 3 and 4 show the results obtained in this study for passengers and freight transport. With respect to passenger transport, the corridors with the greatest potential to move passenger to railway mode are:

– Mediterranean corridor: Algeciras, Málaga, Múrcia, Alacant, València, Barcelona, France;
– A Coruña-Pontevedra;
– Madrid-Bilbao;
– Zaragoza-Bilbao.

Important corridors of passengers, like Madrid-Barcelona, Madrid-Sevilla or Madrid-València have less potential which is logical. Note you that these corridors, in 2017, had high-speed-rail services, and an important passenger traffic is by railway. Nevertheless, it does not exist rail network between Múrcia and Málaga, and it exists conventional train services between Múrcia-Alacant-València-Barcelona but no HSR services. So, for example, the

Figure 3: Main passenger corridors by road in Spain, 2017.
passenger trip València-Madrid by HSR takes 1 h 40 min while the passenger trip València-Barcelona took more than 3 h in 2017 (now 2 h and 40 min) by conventional train.

With respect to freight transport the situation is different. Remember you that freight transport by train in Spain is very low. So, the need to improve freight transport conditions are more general. In this case, the map of Fig. 4 really represents the absolute importance of each freight transport tram.

It is possible to do a classification of different situations according to the following list:

- International corridors to France from Bilbao and Barcelona: with the biggest quantity of freight transport that is logical because they are the door of road freight transport Spain-Europe.
- Main corridors (~50 million Tn/year or more):
  - Mediterranean corridor (part) Múrcia-Alacant-València-Barcelona-France: shows a very intense freight exchange between these urban areas.
  - Ebro corridor Barcelona-Zaragoza-Bilbao: this corridor is located following the course of Ebro river; connect Zaragoza that is an important logistic centre, with industrial areas of Barcelona and Bilbao.
- Medium corridors (20–50 million Tn/year) that present an important quantity of transport but not so intense:
  - Barcelona-Madrid,
  - Bilbao-Madrid,

-地中海走廊（阿尔贾法斯-马拉加-穆尔西亚）。
-地中海走廊（部分）阿尔贾法斯-马拉加-穆尔西亚。

-低走廊（10–20百万吨/年）：
  -马德里-阿维拉（加利西亚），
  -国际走廊与葡萄牙从阿维拉和埃斯特雷马杜拉，
  -西连接塞维利亚（安达卢西亚）-瓦拉多利德（卡斯蒂利亚和莱昂），
  -巴伦西亚-阿拉贡。
  -毕尔巴鄂-阿维拉。

所以，这张地图展示了一个优先级列表，列出了长距离走廊，以将货物运输从公路运输移动到铁路运输，并且，因此，列出了需要改进的铁路连接。该地图展示了两条主要走廊的重要性：地中海走廊（阿尔贾法斯-马拉加-穆尔西亚-阿尔阿克塔-巴伦西亚-巴塞罗那-法国）和埃布罗走廊（巴塞罗那-萨拉戈萨-毕尔巴鄂-法国）。

不过，显然，还有其他可以用来改善铁路基础设施的标准。例如，萨拉戈萨和阿尔贾法斯（一个重要海港）都是重要的物流区域。这种特性可以为改善这些区域的径向货物列车连接提供理由。

这些分析对于乘客和货物运输而言都非常重要，因为它们有助于识别效率最高的走廊，从而尽可能地将货物运输从公路转移至铁路。

5 CONCLUSIONS

这种类型的分析可以帮助我们识别并论证优先级走廊，以将乘客和货物运输从公路转移至铁路，符合绿色协议欧盟政策和与下一阶段目标一致的最佳实践。这种分析有助于将资金重点集中在铁路基础设施上，以最小化温室气体排放。

这种研究的主要发现是，以合理的方式展示西班牙的主要公路运输走廊，并且因此，列出了需要改进列车服务的优先级连接，以将长途旅行从公路转移至铁路。

这种研究可以与其他交通方式（如铁路和航空）的相似地图相结合，以便全面了解乘客和货物长距离运输的走廊方法，并理解实现交通活动生态过渡的最有效方式。

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

我想要感谢阿尔马塞拉、隆比尼斯-伊米朗贝尔、艾尔皮格和圣玛丽亚、波伊奥斯、马萨尔法萨和梅利亚为瓦伦西亚社区（西班牙）做出的持续努力，他们合作并资助了研究，研究的标题为‘ESTUDI DE TRAÇAT DE L’AVE PER L’AEROPORT DE MANISES I DEL CORREDOR MEDITERRANI EN L’ENTORN DE VALENÇIA’，这包括了本文中所进行的分析。
REFERENCES


